EXTENSIONS OF STRONGLY II-REGULAR RINGS

H. Chen, K. Kose and Y. Kurtulmaz

ABSTRACT

An ideal I of a ring R is strongly π -regular if for any $x \in I$ there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in I$ such that $x^n = x^{n+1}y$. We prove that every strongly π -regular ideal of a ring is a B-ideal. An ideal I is periodic provided that for any $x \in I$ there exist two distinct $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^m = x^n$. Furthermore, we prove that an ideal I of a ring R is periodic if and only if I is strongly π -regular and for any $u \in U(I), u^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$.

Key Words: strongly π -regular ideal; *B*-ideal; periodic ideal.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E50, 16U50, 16E20.

1. INTRODUCTION

A ring R is strongly π -regular if for any $x \in R$ there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in R$ such that $x^n = x^{n+1}y$. For instance, all artinian rings and all algebraic algebra over a filed. Such rings are extensively studied by many authors from very different view points (cf. [1], [3-4], [7], [9-12] and [14]). We say that an ideal I of a ring R is strongly π -regular provided that for any $x \in I$ there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in I$ such that $x^n = x^{n+1}y$. Many properties of strongly π -regular rings were extended to strongly π -regular ideals in [6].

Recall that a ring R has stable range one provided that aR + bR = R with $a, b \in R$ implies that there exists a $y \in R$ such that $a + by \in R$ is invertible. The stable range one condition is especially interesting because of Evans' Theorem, which states that a module cancels from direct sums whenever has stable range one. For general theory of stable range conditions, we refer the reader to [6]. An ideal I of a ring R is a B-ideal provided that aR + bR = R with $a \in 1 + I, b \in R$ implies that there exists a $y \in R$ such that $a + by \in R$ is invertible. An ideal I is a ring R is stable provided that aR + bR = R with $a \in I, b \in R$ implies that there exists a $y \in R$ such that $a + by \in R$ is invertible. As is well known, every B-ideal of a ring is stable, but the converse is not true.

In [1, Theorem 4], Ara proved that every strongly π -regular ring has stable range one. This was extended to ideals, i.e., every strongly π -regular ideal of a ring is stable (cf. [5]). The main purpose of this note is to extend these results, and show that every strongly π -regular ideal of a ring is a *B*-ideal. An ideal *I* of a ring *R* is periodic provided that for any $x \in I$ there exists two distinct $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^m = x^n$. Furthermore, we show that an ideal I of a ring R is periodic if and only if I is strongly π -regular and for any $u \in U(I)$, $u^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$. Several new properties of such ideals are also obtained.

Throughout, all rings are associative with an identity and all modules are unitary modules. U(R) denotes the set of all invertible elements in the ring R and $U(I) = (1+I) \bigcap U(R)$.

2. STRONGLY II-REGULAR IDEALS

The aim of this section is to investigate more elementary properties of strongly π -regular ideals and construct more related examples. For any $x \in R$, we define $\sigma_x : R \to R$ given by $\sigma_x(r) = xr$ for all $r \in R$.

Theorem 2.1. Let I be an ideal of a ring R. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) I is strongly π -regular.
- (2) For any $x \in I$, there exists $n \ge 1$ such that $R = ker(\sigma_x^n) \oplus im(\sigma_x^n)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $x \in I$. In view of [6, Proposition 13.1.15], there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in I$ such that $x^n = x^{n+1}y$ and xy = yx. It is easy to check that $\sigma_x^n = \sigma_x^{n+1}\sigma_y$. If $a \in ker(\sigma_x^n) \bigcap im(\sigma_x^n)$, then $a = \sigma_x^n(r)$ and $\sigma_x^n(a) = 0$. This implies that $x^{2n}r = \sigma_x^{2n}(r) = 0$, and so $a = x^n r = x^{n+1}yr = yx^{n+1}r = y^nx^{2n}r = 0$. Hence, $ker(\sigma_x^n) \bigcap im(\sigma_x^n) = 0$. For any $r \in R$, we see that $r = (r - \sigma_x^n(y^n r)) + \sigma_x^n(y^n r)$, and then $R = ker(\sigma_x^n) + im(\sigma_x^n)$, as required.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Write 1 = a + b with $a \in ker(\sigma_x^n)$ and $b \in im(\sigma_x^n)$. For any $x \in I$. $\sigma_x^n(1) = \sigma_x^n(b)$, and so $x^n \in x^{2n}R$. Thus, I is strongly π -regular.

Corollary 2.2. Let I be a strongly π -regular ideal of a ring R, and let $x \in I$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) σ_x is a monomorphism.
- (2) σ_x is an epimorphism.
- (3) σ_x is an isomorphism.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) In view of Theorem 2.1, there exists $n \ge 1$ such that $R = ker(\sigma_x^n) \oplus im(\sigma_x^n)$. Since σ_x is a monomorphism, so is σ_x^n . Hence, $ker(\sigma_x^n) = 0$, and then $R = im(\sigma_x^n)$. This implies that σ_x is an epimorphism.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Since $R = ker(\sigma_x^n) \oplus im(\sigma_x^n)$, it follows from $R = im(\sigma_x^n)$ that $ker(\sigma_x^n) = 0$. Hence, σ_x is a monomorphism. Therefore σ_x is an isomorphism.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ is trivial.

Proposition 2.3. Let I be an ideal of a ring R. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) I is strongly π -regular.
- (2) For any $x \in I$, RxR is strongly π -regular.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $x \in I$. For any $a \in RxR$, there exists an element $b \in I$ such that $a^n = a^{n+1}b$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $a^n = a^{n+1}(ab^2)$. As $ab^2 \in RxR$, we see that RxR is strongly π -regular.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) For any $x \in I$, RxR is strongly π -regular, and so there exists a $y \in RxR$ such that $x^n = x^{n+1}y$. Clearly, $y \in I$, and therefore I is strongly π -regular.

The index of a nilpotent element in a ring is the least positive integer n such that $x^n = 0$. The index i(I) of an ideal I of a ring R is the supremum of the indices of all nilpotent elements of I. An ideal I of a ring R is of bounded index if $i(I) < \infty$. It is well known that $i(I) \le n$ if and only if I contains no direct sums of n+1 nonzero pairwise isomorphic right ideals (cf. [9, Theorem 7.2]).

Theorem 2.4. Let R be a ring, and let

$$I = \{a \in R \mid i(RaR) < \infty\}.$$

Then I is a strongly π -regular ideal of R.

Proof. Let $x, y \in I$ and $z \in R$. Then $RxzR, RzxR \subseteq RxR$. This implies that RxzR and RzxR are strongly π -regular of bounded index. Hence, $xz, zx \in I$.

Obviously, $R(x-y)R \subseteq RxR+RyR$. For any $a \in R(x-y)R$, a = c+d where $c \in RxR$ and $d \in RyR$. Since RxR is strongly π -regular, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c^n = c^{n+1}r$ for a $r \in R$. Let RyR is of bounded index m. Then $c^n = c^{nm+1}s$ for a $s \in R$. Hence, $a^{nm+1}s - a^n \in RyR$. As RyR is strongly π -regular, we can find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in RyR$ such that

$$(a^{nm+1}s - a^n)^k = (a^{nm+1}s - a^n)^{k+1}d_{2} d = d(a^{nm+1}s - a^n)d_{2} d(a^{nm+1}s - a^n) = (a^{nm+1}s - a^n)d_{2}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \left((a^{nm+1}s-a^n)-(a^{nm+1}s-a^n)^2d\right)^k \\ = & \left(a^{nm+1}s-a^n\right)^k \left(1-(a^{nm+1}s-a^n)d\right)^k \\ = & \left(a^{nm+1}s-a^n\right)^k \left(1-(a^{nm+1}s-a^n)d\right) \\ = & 0. \end{array}$$

Therefore $(a^{nm+1}s - a^n)^m = (a^{nm+1}s - a^n)^{m+1}t$. As a result, $a^{nm} \in a^{nm+1}R$. Hence, we can find a $r \in R$ such that $a^{nm} = a^{nm+1}(ar)$. Therefore I is a strongly π -regular ideal of R.

Corollary 2.5. Let R be a ring of bounded index. Then

=

$$I = \{a \in R \mid RaR \text{ is strongly } \pi\text{-regular}\}$$

is the maximal strongly π -regular ideal of R.

Proof. Since R is of bounded index, so is RaR for any $a \in R$. In view of Theorem 2.4, $I = \{a \in R \mid RaR \text{ is strongly } \pi\text{-regular}\}$ is a strongly $\pi\text{-regular}$ ideal of R. Thus we complete the proof by Proposition 2.3.

Example 2.6. Let V be an infinite-dimensional vector space over a field F, let $R = End_F(V)$, and let $I = \{\sigma \in R \mid \dim_F \sigma(V) < \infty\}$. Then I is strongly π -regular, while R is not strongly π -regular.

Proof. Clearly, I is an ideal of the ring R. We have the descending chain $\sigma(V) \supseteq \sigma^2(V) \supseteq \cdots$. As $\dim_F \sigma(V) < \infty$, we can find some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma^n(V) = \sigma^{n+1}(V)$. Since V is a projective right F-module, we can find some $\tau \in R$ such that the following diagram

$$V$$

$$\tau \swarrow \quad \downarrow \sigma^{n}$$

$$V \quad \xrightarrow{\sigma^{n+1}} \sigma^{n+1}(V)$$

commutates, i.e., $\sigma^{n+1}\tau = \sigma^n$. Hence, $\sigma^n = \sigma^{n+1}(\sigma\tau^2)$. Therefore I is a strongly π -regular ideal of R. Let ϵ be an element of R such that $\varepsilon(x_i) = x_{i+1}$ where $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots\}$ is the basis of V. If R is strongly π -regular, there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon^m R = \varepsilon^{m+1} R$, and so $\varepsilon^m(V) = \varepsilon^{m+1}(V)$. As $\varepsilon^m(x_i) = x_{i+m}$ for all i, we see that $\varepsilon^m(V) = \sum_{i>m} x_i F \neq \sum_{i>m+1} x_i F = \varepsilon^{m+1}(V)$. This gives a contradiction. Therefore R is not a strongly π -regular

Example 2.7. Let V be an infinite-dimensional vector space over a field F, let $R = End_F(V)$, and let $S = \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$. Then $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a strongly π -regular ideal of R, while S is not a strongly π -regular ring.

Proof. By the discussion in Example 2.6, R is not strongly π -regular. Hence, S is not strongly π -regular. As $I^2 = 0$, one easily checks that I is a strongly π -regular ideal of the ring S.

An ideal I of a ring R is called a gsr-ideal if for any $a \in I$ there exists some integer $n \ge 2$ such that $aRa = a^n Ra^n$. For instance, every ideal of strongly regular rings is a gsr-ideal.

Example 2.8. Every gsr-ideal of a ring is strongly π -regular.

Proof. Let I be a gsr-ideal of a ring R. Given $\overline{x}^2 = \overline{0}$ in $I/(I \cap J(R))$, then $x^2 \in I \cap J(R)$. As I is a gsr-ideal, we see that $xRx = x^2Rx^2 \subseteq J(R)$, i.e., $(RxR)^2 \subseteq J(R)$. As J(R) is semiprime, it follows that $RxR \subseteq J(R)$, and so $x \in J(R)$. That is, $\overline{x} = \overline{0}$. This implies that $I/(I \cap J(R))$ is reduced. For any idempotent $e \in I/(I \cap J(R))$ and any $a \in R/J(R)$, it follows from $(ea(\overline{1} - e))^2 = 0$ that $ea(\overline{1} - e) = 0$, thus ea = eae. Likewise, ae = eae. This implies that ea = ae. As a result, every idempotent in $I/(I \cap J(R))$ is central. For any $x \in I \cap J(R)$, there exists some $y \in R$ such that $x^2 = x^2yx^2$, and then $x^2(1-yx^2) = 0$. This implies that $x^2 = 0$. Assume that $x^2 = 0$. As I is a gsr-ideal, we see that $xRx = x^2Rx^2 = 0$. That is, $(RxR)^2 \subseteq J(R)$, and so $x \in J(R)$. Therefore $I \cap J(R) = \{x \in I \mid x^2 = 0\}$. Let $x \in I$. Then there exists some $n \ge 2$ such that $xRx = x^nRx^n$. Hence, $x^2 = x^2yx^2$. As $x^2y \in I$ is an idempotent, we see that $x^2 - x^6y^2 \in I \cap J(R)$. By the preceding discussion, we get $(x^2 - x^6y^2)^2 = 0$. This implies that $x^4 = x^5r$ for some $r \in I$. Thus I is strongly π -regular.

3. STABLE RANGE CONDITION

For any $x, y \in R$, write $x \circ y = x + y + xy$. We use $x^{[n]}$ to stand for $\underbrace{x \circ \cdots \circ x}_{n}$ $(n \ge 1)$

ring.

and $x^{[0]} = 0$. The following result was firstly observed in [1, Lemma 1], we include a simple proof to make the paper is self-contained.

Lemma 3.1. Let
$$x_i, y_j \in R$$
, and let $p_i, q_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n)$. If $\sum_i p_i = \sum_j q_j = 1$,
then $\left(\sum_i p_i x_i\right) \circ \left(\sum_j q_j y_j\right) = \sum_{i,j} (p_i q_j) (x_i \circ y_j)$; If $\sum_i p_i = \sum_j q_j = 0$, then $\left(\sum_i p_i x_i\right) \left(\sum_j q_j y_j\right) = \sum_{i,j} (p_i q_j) (x_i \circ y_j)$.

Proof. For any $p_i, q_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, one easily checks that $\sum_{i,j} (p_i q_j)(x_i \circ y_j) = (\sum_i p_i x_i) (\sum_j q_j y_j) + (\sum_j q_j) (\sum_j p_i x_i) + (\sum_i p_i) (\sum_j q_j y_j)$. Therefore the result follows. \Box

Lemma 3.2. Let I be a strongly π -regular ideal of a ring R. Then for any $x \in I$, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^{[n]} = x^{[n+1]} \circ y = z \circ x^{[n+1]}$ for $y, z \in I$.

Proof. Let $x \in I$. Then $-x - x^2 \in I$. Since I is a strongly π -regular ideal, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(-x - x^2)^n = (-x - x^2)^{n+1}s = s(-x - x^2)^{n+1}$. Clearly, $x - x^{[2]} = -x - x^2$. Thus,

$$(x - x^{[2]})^n = (x - x^{[2]})^{n+1}s = (x - x^{[2]})^{2n}t,$$

where $t = s^n$. Since $\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} = 0$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} (x^{[n-i]} \circ (x^{[2]})^{[i]}) = (x - x^{[2]})^n.$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} \binom{n}{i} \left(x^{[n-i]} \circ (x^{[2]})^{[i]} \right) = \left(x - x^{[2]} \right)^{n}.$$

Thus,

$$(x - x^{[2]})^n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} x^{[n+i]}$$

= $x^{[n]} + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} x^{[n+i]}.$

Let $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{n}{i} x^{[i]}$. Then $u \circ x^{[n]} = x^{[n]} \circ u$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{n}{i} = 1$, by using Lemma 2.1 again, $(x - x^{[2]})^n = x^{[n]} - x^{[n]} \circ u$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x^{[n]} - x^{[n]} \circ u &= (x^{[n]} - x^{[n]} \circ u)^2 t \\ &= (x^{[n]} - x^{[n]} \circ u) (x^{[n]} - x^{[n]} \circ u) (t - 0) \\ &= (x^{[2n]} - x^{[2n]} \circ u - x^{[2n]} \circ u + x^{[2n]} \circ u^{[2]}) (t - 0) \\ &= x^{[2n]} \circ (t - u \circ t - u \circ t + u^{[2]} \circ y + u + u - u^{[2]}) - x^{[2n]} \\ &= x^{[2n]} \circ (u^2 t) - x^{[2n]}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $v = x^{[2n]} \circ (u^2 t) - x^{[2n]}$. Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x^{[n]} & = & x^{[n]} \circ u + v \\ & = & \left(x^{[n]} \circ u + v - 0 \right) \circ u + v \\ & = & x^{[n]} \circ u^{[2]} + \left(v \circ u - u \right) + v \\ & \vdots \\ & = & x^{[n]} \circ u^{[n+1]} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(v \circ u^{[i]} - u^{[i]} \right) \end{array}$$

Further,

$$\begin{array}{lll} v \circ u^{[i]} - u^{[i]} &=& \left(x^{[2n]} \circ (u^2 t) - x^{[2n]} \right) \circ u^{[i]} - u^{[i]} \\ &=& \left(x^{[2n]} \circ (u^2 t) - x^{[2n]} + 0 \right) \circ u^{[i]} - u^{[i]} \\ &=& x^{[2n]} \circ (u^2 t) \circ u^{[i]} - x^{[2n]} \circ u^{[i]} \end{array}$$

Hence

$$x^{[n]} = x^{[n]} \circ u^{[n+1]} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(x^{[2n]} \circ (u^2 t) \circ u^{[i]} - x^{[2n]} \circ u^{[i]} \right)$$

Further, we see that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(x^{[2n]} \circ (u^2 t) \circ u^{[i]} - x^{[2n]} \circ u^{[i]} \right) = x^{[2n]} \circ \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} ((u^2 t) \circ u^{[i]} - u^{[i]}) + 0 \right) - x^{[2n]}.$$

As
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ i \end{pmatrix} = 1$$
, we see that

$$u^{[n+1]} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} {n \choose i} x^{[i]}\right)^{[n+1]}$$

=
$$\sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = n+1}^{n} C_{i_1 \dots i_n} x^{[i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + ni_n]}$$

=
$$\sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = n+1}^{n} C_{i_1 \dots i_n} x^{[n]} \circ x^{[1+i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_n]}.$$

It is easy to check that $\sum_{i_1+\dots+i_n=n+1} C_{i_1\dots i_n} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \binom{n}{i}\right)^{n+1} = 1$, and so $u^{[n+1]} = x^{[n]} \circ v$, where $v = \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_n=n+1}^{n} C_{i_1\dots i_n} x^{[1+i_2+\dots+(n-1)i_n]}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x^{[n]} &= x^{[2n]} \circ v + x^{[2n]} \circ \big(\sum_{i=0}^{n} ((u^{2}t) \circ u^{[i]} - u^{[i]})\big) - x^{[2n]} \\ &= x^{[2n]} \circ \big(v + (\sum_{i=0}^{n} ((u^{2}t) \circ u^{[i]} - u^{[i]})) - 0\big) \\ &= x^{[2n]} \circ \big(v + \sum_{i=0}^{n} ((u^{2}t) \circ u^{[i]} - u^{[i]})\big) \end{aligned}$$

Let $y = x^{[n-1]} \circ \left(v + \sum_{i=0}^{n} ((u^2 t) \circ u^{[i]} - u^{[i]})\right)$. Then $x^{[n]} = x^{[n+1]} \circ y$ with $y \in I$. Likewise, $x^{[n]} = z \circ x^{[n+1]}$ for a $z \in I$, as required.

Theorem 3.3. Every strongly π -regular ideal of a ring is a B-ideal.

Proof. Let *I* be a strongly π-regular ideal of a ring *R*. Let $a \in 1 + I$. Then $a - 1 \in I$. In view of Lemma 2.2, we can find some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $b, c \in 1 + I$ such that $(a-1)^{[n]} = (a-1)^{[n+1]} \circ (b-1) = (c-1) \circ (a-1)^{[n+1]}$. One easily checks that $(a-1)^{[n]} = a^n - 1$ and $(a-1)^{[n+1]} = a^{n+1} - 1$. Therefore $a^n = a^{n+1}b = ca^{n+1}$, and so $a^n \in a^{n+1}R \cap Ra^{n+1}$. According to [6, Proposition 13.1.2], $a \in 1 + I$ is strongly π-regular. According to [6, Theorem 13.1.7], *I* is a *B*-ideal. □

Corollary 3.4. Let I be a strongly π -regular ideal of a ring R, and let A be a finitely generated projective right R-module. If A = AI, then for any right R-modules B and C, $A \oplus B \cong A \oplus C$ implies that $B \cong C$.

Proof. For any $x \in I$, we have $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in R$ such that $x^n = x^{n+1}y$ and xy = yx. Hence $x^n = x^n z x^n$, where $z = y^n$. Let $g = z x^n$ and $e = g + (1 - g) x^n g$. Then $e \in Rx$ is an idempotent. In addition, we have $1 - e = (1 - g)(1 - x^n g) = (1 - g)(1 - x^n) \in Rx$. Set f = 1 - e. Then there exists an idempotent $f \in I$ such that $f \in Rx$ and $1 - f \in Rx$. Therefore I is an exchange ideal of R. In view of Theorem 3.3, I is a B-ideal. Therefore we complete the proof by [6, Lemma 13.1.9].

Corollary 3.5. Let I be a strongly π -regular ideal of a ring R, and let $a, b \in 1 + I$. If aR = bR, then a = bu for for some $u \in U(R)$.

Proof. Write ax = b and a = by. As $a, b \in 1 + I$, we see that $x, y \in 1 + I$. In view of Theorem 3.3, I is a B-ideal. Since yx + (1 - yx) = 1, there exists an element $z \in R$ such that $u := y + (1 - yx)z \in U(R)$. Therefore bu = b(y + (1 - yx)z) = by = a, as required. \Box

Corollary 3.6. Let I be a strongly π -regular ideal of a ring R, and let $A \in M_n(I)$ be regular. Then A is the product of an idempotent matrix and an invertible matrix.

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 3.3, I is a B-ideal. As $A \in M_n(I)$ is regular, we have a $B \in M_n(I)$ such that A = ABA. Since $AB + (I_n - AB) = I_n$, we get $(A + (I_n - AB))B + (I_n - AB)(I_n - B) = I_n$ where $A + (I_n - AB) \in I_n + M_n(I)$. Thus, we can find a $Y \in M_n(R)$ such that $U := A + (I_n - AB) + (I_n - AB)(I_n - B)Y \in GL_n(R)$. Therefore $A = ABA = AB(A + (I_n - AB) + (I_n - AB)(I_n - B)Y) = ABU$, as required. \Box

Let A is an algebra over a field F. An element a of an algebra A over a field F is said to be algebraic over F if a is the root of some non-constant polynomial in F[x]. An ideal I of A is said to be an algebraic ideal of A if every element in I is algebraic over F.

Proposition 3.7. Let A is an algebra over a field F, and let I be an algebraic ideal of A. Then I is a B-ideal.

Proof. For any $a \in I$, a is the root of some non-constant polynomial in F[x]. So we can find $a_m, \dots, a_n \in F$ such that $a_n a^n + a_{n-1} a^{n-1} + \dots + a_m a^m = 0$, where $a_m \neq 0$. Hence, $a^m = -(a_n a^n + \dots + a_{m+1} a^{m+1}) a_m^{-1} = -a^{m+1}(a_n a^{n-m-1} + \dots + a_{m+1}) a_m^{-1}$. Set $b = -(a_n a^{n-m-1} + \dots + a_{m+1}) a_m^{-1}$. Then $a^m = a^{m+1} b$. Therefore I is strongly π -regular, and so we complete the proof by Theorem 3.3.

In the proof of Theorem 3.3, we show that for any $a \in 1+I$, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^n \in a^{n+1}b$ for a $b \in 1+I$ if I is a strongly π -regular ideal. A natural problem asks that if the converse of the preceding assertion is true. The answer is negative from the following counterexample. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a prime and set $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \{a/b \mid b \notin \mathbb{Z}p(a/b \text{ in lowest terms})\}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is a local ring with maximal $p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Thus, the Jacobson radical $p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ satisfies the condition above. Choose $p/(p+1) \in p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Then $p/(p+1) \in J(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is not nilpotent. This shows that $p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is not strongly π -regular.

4. PERIODIC IDEALS

An ideal I of a ring R is periodic provided that for any $x \in I$ there exist distinct $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^m = x^n$. We note that an ideal I of a ring R is periodic if and only if for any $a \in I$, there exists a potent element $p \in I$ such that a - p is nilpotent and ap = pa. **Lemma 4.1.** Let I be an ideal of a ring R. If I is periodic, then for any $x \in 1 + I$ there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $x^m = x^{m+1}f(x)$.

Proof. For any $a \in I$, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^n = a^{n+1}(a^{m-n-1})$ where $m \ge n+1$. For any $x \in 1+I$, we see that $x-1 \in I$. As in the proof in Lemma 3.2, we can find a $f(t) \in R[t]$ such that $(x-1)^{[n]} = (x-1)^{[n+1]} \circ (f(x)-1)$. One easily checks that $(x-1)^{[n]} = x^n - 1$ and $(x-1)^{[n+1]} = x^{n+1} - 1$. Therefore $x^n = x^{n+1}f(x)$, as required. \Box

Lemma 4.2. Let R be a ring, and let $c \in R$. If there exist a monic $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ and some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that mc = 0 and f(c) = 0, then there exist $s, t \in \mathbb{N}(s \neq t)$ such that $c^s = c^t$.

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{Z}c \subseteq \{0, c, \cdots, (m-1)c\}$. Write $f(t) = t^k + b_1 t^{k-1} + \cdots + b_{k-1}t + b_k \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. Then $c^{k+1} = -b_1 c^k - \cdots - b_{k-1} c^2 - b_k c$. This implies that $\{c, c^2, c^3, \cdots, c^l, \cdots\} \subseteq \{c, c^2, c^3, \cdots, c^k, 0, c, \cdots, (m-1)c, c^2, \cdots, (m-1)c^2, \cdots, c^k, \cdots, (m-1)c^k\}$. That is, $\{c, c^2, c^3, \cdots, c^k, \cdots\}$ is a finite set. Hence, we can find some $s, t \in \mathbb{N}, s \neq t$ such that $c^s = c^t$, as desired.

As is well known, a ring R is periodic if and only if for any $x \in R$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $x^n = x^{n+1}f(x)$. We extend this result to periodic ideals.

Lemma 4.3. Let I be an ideal of a ring R. If for any $x \in I$, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $x^n = x^{n+1}f(x)$, then I is periodic.

Proof. Let $x \in I$. If x is nilpotent, then we can find some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n = x^{n+1} = 0$. Thus, we may assume that $x \in I$ is not nilpotent. By hypothesis, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $x^n = x^{n+1}g(x)$. Thus, $x^n = x^{n+1}f(x)$, where $f(x) = x(g(x))^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. In addition, f(0) = 0. Let $e = x^n(f(x))^n$. Then $0 \neq e = e^2 \in R$ and $x^n = x^n e$. Set S = eReand $\alpha = ex = xe$. Then $f(\alpha) = ef(x)$. Further,

$$\alpha^n (f(\alpha))^n = e, \alpha^n = x^n, \alpha^n = \alpha^{n+1} f(\alpha).$$

Thus, $e = \alpha^n (f(\alpha))^n = \alpha^{n+1} (f(\alpha))^{n+1} = \alpha^n (f(\alpha))^n \alpha f(\alpha) = e\alpha f(\alpha) = \alpha f(\alpha)$ in S. Write $f(t) = a_1 t + \dots + a_n t^n$. Then $\alpha (a_1 \alpha + \dots + a_n \alpha^n) = e$. This implies that $(\alpha^{-1})^{n+1} - a_1(\alpha^{-1})^{n-1} - \dots - a_n e = 0$. Let $g(t) = t^{n+1} - a_1 t^{n-1} - \dots - a_n \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. Then g(t) is a monic polynomial such that $g(\alpha^{-1}) = 0$.

Let $T = \{me \in S \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then T is a subring of S. For any $me \in I$, by hypothesis, there exists a $g(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $(me)^p = (me)^{p+1}g(me) \in (me)^{p+1}T$. This implies that T is strongly π -regular. Construct a map $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \to T, m \to me$. Then $\mathbb{Z}/Ker\varphi \cong T$. As \mathbb{Z} is not strongly π -regular, we see that $Ker\varphi \neq 0$. Hence, $T \cong \mathbb{Z}_q$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, qe = 0. As a result, $q\alpha^{-1} = 0$. In view of Lemma 4.2, we can find some $s, t \in \mathbb{N}(s \neq t)$ such that $(\alpha^{-1})^s = (\alpha^{-1})^t$. This implies that $\alpha^s = \alpha^t$. Hence, $x^{ns} = x^{st}$, as asserted. \Box

Theorem 4.4. Let I be an ideal of a ring R. Then I is periodic if and only if

- (1) I is strongly π -regular.
- (2) For any $u \in U(I)$, $u^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$.

Proof. Suppose that I is periodic. Then I is strongly π -regular. For any $u \in U(I)$, it follows by Lemma 4.1 that there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $u^m = u^{m+1}f(u)$. Hence, uf(u) = 1, and so $u^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$.

Suppose that (1) and (2) hold. For any $x \in I$, there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in I$ such that $x^m = x^m y x^m, y = y x^m y$ and xy = yx from [6, Proposition 13.1.15]. Set $u = 1 - x^m y + x^m$.

Then $u^{-1} = 1 - x^m y + y$. Hence, $u \in U(I)$. By hypothesis, there exists an $g(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that ug(u) = 1. Further, $x^m = x^m y (1 - x^m y + x^m) = x^m y u$. Hence, $x^m u^{-1} = x^m y$, and so $x^m = x^m y x^m = x^{2m} g(u) = x^{2m} x^m (g(u))^2$. Write $(g(u))^2 = b_0 + b_1 u + \dots + b_n u^n \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$. For any $i \ge 0$, it is easy to check that $x^m u^i = x^m (1 - x^m y + x^m)^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. This implies that $x^m (g(u))^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. According to Lemma 4.3, I is periodic.

It follows by Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 3.3 that every periodic ideal of a ring is a B-ideal.

Corollary 4.5. Let I be a strongly π -regular ideal of a ring R. If U(I) is torsion, then I is periodic.

Proof. For any $u \in U(I)$, there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u^m = 1$. Hence, $u^{-1} = u^{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$. According to Theorem 4.4, we complete the proof.

Example 4.6. Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix}$ and $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then I is a nilpotent ideal of R; hence, I is strongly π -regular. Clearly, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U(I)$, but $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^m \neq 0$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, U(I) is torsion.

The example above shows that the converse of Corollary 4.6 is not true. But we can derive the following.

Proposition 4.7. Let I be an ideal of a ring R. If $char(R) \neq 0$, then I is periodic if and only if

- (1) I is strongly π -regular.
- (2) U(I) is torsion.

Proof. Suppose that I is periodic. Then I is strongly π -regular. Let $x \in U(I)$. Then x is not nilpotent. By virtue of Lemma 4.1, there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $x^m = x^{m+1}f(x)$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.3, we have a monic polynomial $g(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $g(\alpha^{-1}) = 0$. As $char(R) \neq 0$, we assume that $char(R) = q \neq 0$. Then $q\alpha^{-1} = 0$. According to Lemma 4.2, we can find two distinct $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\alpha^{-1})^s = (\alpha^{-1})^t$. Similarly to Lemma 4.3, $x^{ns} = x^{st}$, and so x is torsion. Therefore U(I) is torsion. The converse is true by Corollary 4.5.

REFERENCES

- P. Ara, Strongly π-regular rings have stable range one, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124(1996), 3293–3298.
- [2] A. Badaw; A.Y.M. Chin and H.V. Chen, On rings with near idempotent elements, Internat. J. Pure Appl. Math., 1(2002), 253–259.
- [3] G. Borooah; A.J. Diesl and T.J. Dorsey, Strongly clean matrix rings over commutative local rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 212(2008), 281–296.
- [4] M. Chacron, On a theorem of Herstein, Canadian J. Math., 21(1969), 1348-1353.
- [5] H. Chen and M. Chen, On strongly π-regular ideals, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 195(2005), 21-32.

- [6] H. Chen, *Rings Related Stable Range Conditions*, Series in Algebra 11, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
- [7] A.J. Diesl and T.J. Dorsey, A note on completeness in the theory of strongly clean rings, Preprint.
- [8] X. Du and Y. Yang, The adjoint semigroup of a π-regular rings, Acta Sci. Nat. Univ. Jilinensis 3(2001), 35–37.
- [9] K.R. Goodearl, Von Neumann Regular Rings, Pitman, London, San Francisco, Melbourne, 1979.
- [10] N.K. Kim and Yang Lee, On strong π -regularity and π -regularity, Comm. Algebra, **39**(2011), 4470–4485.
- [11] M Ohori, On strongly π -regular rings and periodic rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 27(1985), 49–52.
- [12] F. T. Shirley, Regular and Strongly Π-Regular Rings, University of Texas at Austin, 1984.
- [13] L.W. White, Strongly Π-Regular Rings and Matrix Rings over Regular Rings, University of Texas at Austin, 1987.
- [14] H.P. Yu, On strongly π -regular rings of stable range one, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 51(1995), 433–437.

H. Chen Department of Mathematics Hangzhou Normal University Hangzhou 310034, China E-mail: huanyinchen@yahoo.cn

H. Kose Department of Mathematics Ahi Evran University, Kirsehir, Turkey E-mail: handankose@gmail.com

Y. Kurtulmaz Department of Mathematics Bilkent University, Ankara, Turkey E-mail: yosum@fen.bilkent.edu.tr