

STRONGLY P -CLEAN RINGS AND MATRICES

Huanyin Chen, Handan Köse and Yosum Kurtulmaz

Received: 8 June 2013; Revised: 14 September 2013

Communicated by Sait Halicioğlu

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Efraim P. Armendariz

ABSTRACT. An element of a ring R is strongly P -clean provided that it can be written as the sum of an idempotent and a strongly nilpotent element that commute. A ring R is strongly P -clean in case each of its elements is strongly P -clean. We investigate, in this article, the necessary and sufficient conditions under which a ring R is strongly P -clean. Many characterizations of such rings are obtained. The criteria on strong P -cleanness of 2×2 matrices over commutative projective-free rings are also determined.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 16S50, 16U99.

Keywords: Strongly P -clean ring, $n \times n$ matrix, projective-free ring, uniquely nil-clean ring, Boolean ring

1. Introduction

An element $a \in R$ is *strongly clean* provided that there exist an idempotent $e \in R$ and an element $u \in U(R)$ such that $a = e + u$ and $eu = ue$, where $U(R)$ is the set of all units in R . A ring R is strongly clean in case every element in R is strongly clean. Recently, strong cleanness has been extensively studied in the literature (cf. [1-5],[8],[10],[12],[13]). As is well known by [9] that, every 2×2 matrix A over a field satisfies the conditions: $A = E + W$, E is similar to a diagonal matrix, $W \in M_2(R)$ is nilpotent and E and W commute. Such a decomposition over a field is called the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition in Lie algebra theory. This motivates us to investigate certain strong cleanness related to nilpotent property. Following Diesl [7], a ring R is *strongly nil clean* provided that for any $a \in R$ there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $a - e \in R$ is nilpotent and $ae = ea$. If such idempotent is unique, we say R is uniquely nil clean. In [4], the author develop the theory for strongly nil clean matrices. The main purpose of this article is to introduce a subclass of strongly nil cleanness but behaving better than those ones.

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LY13A010019) and the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (2221 Visiting Scientists Fellowship Programme).

An element a of a ring R is *strongly nilpotent* if every sequence $a = a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$ such that $a_{i+1} \in a_i R a_i$ is ultimately zero. Obviously, every strongly nilpotent element is nilpotent. The prime radical $P(R)$ of a ring R , i.e. the intersection of all prime ideals, consists of precisely the strongly nilpotent elements. Replacing nilpotent elements by strongly nilpotent elements, we shall investigate strong P -cleanness over a ring R . An element of a ring R is called *strongly P -clean* provided that it can be written as the sum of an idempotent and an element in $P(R)$ that commute. A ring R is *strongly P -clean* in case each of its elements is strongly P -clean. In Section 2, we give several necessary and sufficient conditions under which a ring R is strongly P -clean. Many characterizations of such rings are obtained. A ring R is said to be local if R has only one maximal right ideal. In Section 3, the strong P -cleanness of triangular matrix ring over a local ring is determined. Finally, we characterize strongly P -clean matrix over commutative local rings by means of the solvability of quadratic equations.

Throughout, all rings are associative rings with identity. As usual, $M_n(R)$ denotes the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices over a ring R and $GL_2(R)$ denotes the 2-dimensional general linear group of a ring R . An ideal I of a ring R is locally nilpotent provided that for any $x \in I$, RxR is nilpotent. Let $a \in R$. Then $\text{ann}_\ell(a) = \{r \in R \mid ra = 0\}$ and $\text{ann}_r(a) = \{r \in R \mid ar = 0\}$. $J(R)$ and $P(R)$ stand for the Jacobson radical and prime radical of R , respectively.

2. Strongly P -Clean Rings

Recall that a ring R is *Boolean* provided that every element in R is an idempotent. Obviously, all Boolean rings are commutative. Let R be a ring. Then $P(R) = \{x \in R \mid RxR \text{ is nilpotent}\}$. We begin with the connection between strong P -cleanness and strong cleanness.

Theorem 2.1. *A ring R is strongly P -clean if and only if*

- (1) *R is strongly clean.*
- (2) *$R/J(R)$ is Boolean.*
- (3) *$J(R)$ is locally nilpotent.*

Proof. Suppose that R is strongly P -clean. Let $x \in R$. Then there exist an idempotent $e \in R$ and a $w \in P(R)$ such that $x = e + w$ and $ew = we$. Thus, $x = (1 - e) + ((2e - 1) + w)$. Since $w \in P(R) \subseteq J(R)$ and $2e - 1$ is invertible and $ew = we$, $(2e - 1) + w \in J(R)$. Hence, $x \in R$ is strongly clean. Thus, R is strongly clean. Clearly, $P(R) \subseteq J(R)$. This implies that $R/J(R)$ is Boolean. Let $x \in J(R)$. Then there exist an idempotent $e \in R$ and an element $w \in P(R)$ such that $x = e + w$. Clearly, $w \in J(R)$, and so $e = x - w \in J(R)$. This implies that

$e = 0$. Hence, $x = w \in P(R)$, i.e., RxR is nilpotent. Therefore $J(R)$ is locally nilpotent.

Conversely, assume that conditions (1), (2) and (3) hold. Let $x \in R$. Since R is strongly clean, we can find an idempotent $e \in R$ and an invertible $u \in R$ such that $x = e + u$ and $ex = xe$. Thus, $x = (1 - e) + (2e - 1 + u)$ and $(1 - e)^2 = 1 - e$. As $R/J(R)$ is Boolean, we see that $\bar{u}^2 = \bar{u}$, and so $u - 1 \in J(R)$. As $\bar{2}^2 = \bar{2} \in R/J(R)$, we deduce that $2 \in J(R)$; hence, $2e - 1 + u \in J(R)$. Since $J(R)$ is locally nilpotent, $R(2e - 1 + u)R$ is nilpotent; hence, $2e - 1 + u \in P(R)$, as required. \square

Recall that a ring R is *strongly J -clean* provided that for any $x \in R$, there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in J(R)$ and $xe = ex$ (cf.[5]). One easily checks that a ring R is strongly P -clean if and only if R is strongly J -clean and $J(R)$ is locally nilpotent.

Corollary 2.2. *Let R be a local ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is strongly P -clean.
- (2) $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $J(R)$ is locally nilpotent.

Proof. It is immediate from Theorem 2.1. \square

The following example shows that strongly clean rings may be not strongly P -clean.

Example 2.3. Let $R = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$. For each n , \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} is a local ring with the Jacobson radical $2\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$. One easily checks that \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} is strongly clean. Thus, R is strongly clean. Choose $r = (0, 2, 2, 2, \dots)$. It is easy to check that $r \in R$ is not strongly P -clean. Therefore R is not a strongly P -clean ring.

Let $\text{comm}(x) = \{r \in R \mid xr = rx\}$ and $\text{comm}^2(x) = \{r \in R \mid ry = yr \text{ for all } y \in \text{comm}(x)\}$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is strongly P -clean.
- (2) $R/P(R)$ is Boolean.
- (3) For any $x \in R$, there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in P(R)$.
- (4) For any $x \in R$, there exists an idempotent $e \in \text{comm}^2(x)$ such that $x - e \in P(R)$.
- (5) For any $x \in R$, there exists a unique idempotent $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in P(R)$ and $xe = ex$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3) is trivial.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) is clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (4) By hypothesis, $R/P(R)$ is Boolean. For any $x \in R$, then $\bar{x} \in R/P(R)$ is an idempotent. Hence, $x - x^2 \in P(R)$, i.e., $x(1-x) \in P(R)$. Write $x^n(1-x)^n = 0$. Let $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n}{i} t^{2n-i}(1-t)^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. Then $f(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{t^n}$. It follows from

$$f(t) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \binom{2n}{i} x^{2n-i}(1-t)^i = (t + (1-t))^n = 1$$

that $f(t) \equiv 1 \pmod{(1-t)^n}$. Thus, $f(t)(1-f(t)) \equiv 0 \pmod{t^n(1-t)^n}$. Let $e = f(x)$. We see that $e(1-e) = 0$; hence, $e \in R$ is an idempotent. For any $y \in \text{comm}(x)$, we have $yx = xy$, and then $ye = yf(x) = f(x)y = ey$. This implies that $y \in \text{comm}^2(x)$. Further, $x - e \in P(R)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) For any $x \in R$, there exists an idempotent $e \in \text{comm}^2(x)$ such that $x - e \in P(R)$. As $x \in \text{comm}(x)$, we get $ex = xe$. If there is an idempotent $f \in R$ such that $x - f \in P(R)$ and $xf = fx$, then $f \in \text{comm}(x)$. This implies that $ef = fe$, and so $e - f = (x - f) - (x - e) \in P(R)$. But $(e - f)^3 = e - f$, and then $(e - f)(1 - (e - f)^2) = 0$. Therefore $e = f$, as desired.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) is trivial. \square

Immediately, we see that every Boolean ring is strongly P -clean. As every Boolean ring has stable range one, it follows from Theorem 2.4 that every strongly P -clean ring has stable range one. As usual, we call R *periodic* if for each $x \in R$, there exist distinct positive integers m, n such that $x^m = x^n$.

Corollary 2.5. *A ring R is strongly P -clean if and only if*

- (1) *R is periodic.*
- (2) *Every element in $1 + U(R)$ is strongly nilpotent.*

Proof. Suppose R is strongly P -clean. For any $x \in R$, it follows by Theorem 2.4 that $x - x^2 \in P(R)$. Thus, $(x - x^2)^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that $x^n = x^{n+1}f(x)$, where $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. By using Herstein's Theorem, R is periodic. Let $x \in 1 + U(R)$. Write $x = e + w$ with $e = e^2, w \in P(R)$ and $we = ew$. Then $1 - x = (1 - e) - w$, and so $1 - e = (1 - x) + w \in U(R)$. It follows that $e = 0$, and therefore $x = w \in P(R)$ is strongly nilpotent.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Since R is periodic, it is strongly π -regular. In view of [3, Proposition 13.1.8], there exist $e = e^2 \in R, u \in U(R)$ and a nilpotent $w \in R$ such that $x = eu + w$, where e, u, w commute. By hypothesis, $1 - u \in P(R)$, and then $u \in 1 + P(R)$. Moreover, we see that $w = 1 - (1 - w) \in P(R)$. Accordingly, $x = e + (w - x(1 - u))$ with $w - x(1 - u) \in P(R)$. Therefore R is strongly P -clean. \square

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}, i^2 = -1\} (n \geq 2)$. Then we claim that $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]$ is strongly P -clean. One easily checks that $P(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]) = (1 + i)$. Further, $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]/P(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is Boolean, and we are through by Theorem 2.4.

Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $P(R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, $R/P(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, and so $R/P(R)$ is Boolean. Therefore R is strongly P -clean.

Lemma 2.6. *Every homomorphic image of strongly P -clean rings is strongly P -clean.*

Proof. Let I be an ideal of a strongly P -clean ring R . Let M be a prime ideal of R/I . Then $M = P/I$, where P is a prime ideal of R . Let $\bar{x} \in R/I$. In light of Theorem 2.4, $x - x^2 \in P$; hence, $\bar{x} - \bar{x}^2 \in M$. This shows that $\bar{x} - \bar{x}^2 \in P(R/I)$. Thus $R/I/P(R/I)$ is Boolean, and we therefore complete the proof by Theorem 2.4. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let I be a nilpotent ideal of a ring R . Then R is strongly P -clean if and only if R/I is strongly P -clean.*

Proof. If R is strongly P -clean, then so is R/I by Lemma 2.6. Write $I^n = 0 (n \in \mathbb{N})$. Suppose R/I is strongly P -clean. For any $x \in R$, it suffices to show that $x - x^2 \in P(R)$ by Theorem 2.4. Given $x - x^2 = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n + \cdots$ with each $a_{i+1} \in a_i R a_i$, we have $\overline{x - x^2} = \overline{a_0} + \overline{a_1} + \cdots + \overline{a_n} + \cdots$ with each $\overline{a_{i+1}} \in \overline{a_i}(R/I)\overline{a_i}$. As R/I is strongly P -clean, it follows by Theorem 2.4 that $\overline{a_m} = \bar{0}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $a_m \in I$. This shows that $a_{n+m} \in \underbrace{(a_m R)(a_m R) \cdots (a_m R)}_n \subseteq I^n = 0$.

Therefore $x - x^2 \in P(R)$, hence the result. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let I be an ideal of a ring R . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R/I is strongly P -clean.
- (2) R/I^n is strongly P -clean for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (3) R/I^n is strongly P -clean for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3) It is easy to verify that

$$R/I \cong (R/I^n)/(I/I^n).$$

As $(I/I^n)^n = 0$, we see that R/I is strongly P -clean, by Lemma 2.7.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Clearly,

$$R/I \cong (R/I^n)/(I/I^n).$$

Therefore the proof is completed in terms of Lemma 2.6. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Every finite subdirect product of strongly P -clean rings is strongly P -clean.*

Proof. Let R be the subdirect product of R_1, \dots, R_n , where each R_i is strongly P -clean. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i$ is strongly P -clean. Furthermore, R is a subring of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i$. Let $x \in R$. Then $x - x^2 \in P(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i)$. Given $x - x^2 = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m, \dots$ in R and each $a_{i+1} \in a_i R a_i$, we see that $x - x^2 = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m, \dots$ in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i$ and each $a_{i+1} \in a_i (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i) a_i$. In view of Theorem 2.4, $x - x^2 \in P(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i)$. Hence, we can find some $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_s = 0$. This implies that $x - x^2 \in P(R)$. That is, $R/P(R)$ is Boolean. In light of Theorem 2.4, R is strongly P -clean, as required. \square

Proposition 2.10. *Let I and J be ideals of a ring R . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R/I and R/J are strongly P -clean.
- (2) $R/(IJ)$ is strongly P -clean.
- (3) $R/(I \cap J)$ is strongly P -clean.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3) Construct maps $f : R/(I \cap J) \rightarrow R/I, x + (I \cap J) \mapsto x + I$ and $g : R/(I \cap J) \rightarrow R/J, x + (I \cap J) \mapsto x + J$. Then $\ker(f) \cap \ker(g) = 0$. Therefore $R/(I \cap J)$ is the subdirect product of R/I and R/J . Thus, $R/(I \cap J)$ is strongly P -clean, by Lemma 2.9.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Obviously, $R/(I \cap J) \cong (R/IJ)/((I \cap J)/IJ)$, and $((I \cap J)/IJ)^2 = 0$. In view of Lemma 2.7, $R/(IJ)$ is strongly P -clean.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) As $R/I \cong (R/IJ)/(I/IJ)$, it follows from Lemma 2.6 that R/I is strongly P -clean. Likewise, R/J is strongly P -clean. \square

We say that a ring R is *uniquely P -clean* provided that for any $x \in R$ there exists a unique idempotent $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in P(R)$, and that R is *uniquely nil-clean* provided that for any $x \in R$ there exists a unique idempotent $e \in R$ such that $x - e$ is nilpotent. Every uniquely P -clean ring is uniquely nil-clean.

Theorem 2.11. *Let R be a ring. Then R is uniquely P -clean if and only if*

- (1) R is abelian.
- (2) R is strongly P -clean.

Proof. Suppose R is uniquely P -clean. For all $x \in R$ there exists a unique idempotent $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in P(R)$. Thus, $R/P(R)$ is Boolean. In view of Theorem 2.4, R is strongly P -clean. Furthermore, $\overline{ex - exe}^2 = \overline{ex - exe} = 0$. Hence, $ex - exe \in P(R)$. Clearly, e and $e + ex - exe \in R$ are idempotents, and that $e - e, e - (e + ex - exe) \in P(R)$. By the uniqueness, we get $ex = exe$. Likewise,

$xe = exe$, and so $ex = xe$. That is, every idempotent in R is central. Therefore R is abelian.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. For any $x \in R$, there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in P(R)$. Suppose that $x - f \in P(R)$ where $f \in R$ is an idempotent. Then $e - f = (x - f) - (x - e) \in P(R)$. Hence, we can find some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(e - f)^{2n+1} = e - f = 0$. This implies that $e = f$, as required. \square

In light of Theorem 2.11, one directly verifies that \mathbb{Z}_4 is uniquely P -clean. Recall that a ring R is *uniquely clean* provided that each element in R has a unique representation as the sum of an idempotent and a unit (cf. [12]). Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{pmatrix}$. By [12, Example 21], R is not uniquely clean. But it is strongly P -clean.

Corollary 2.12. *Every uniquely P -clean ring is uniquely clean.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.1, R is strongly clean. Write $x = e + u$ where $e = e^2 \in R$ and $u \in U(R)$. Then $(1 - e) - x = (1 - 2e) - u$. Clearly, $(1 - 2e)^2 = 1$. As $R/P(R)$ is Boolean, we see that $\bar{u} = \overline{1 - 2e} = \bar{1}$. Thus, $(1 - 2e) - u \in P(R)$. This implies that $(1 - e) - x \in P(R)$. Write $x = f + v$ where $f = f^2 \in R$ and $v \in U(R)$. Likewise, $(1 - f) - x \in P(R)$. By the uniqueness, we get $1 - e = 1 - f$, and then $e = f$. Therefore R is uniquely clean. \square

Corollary 2.13. *Let R be uniquely P -clean. Then $T = \{(a_{ij}) \in T_n(R) \mid a_{11} = \cdots = a_{nn}\}$ is strongly P -clean.*

Proof. Let $S = \{(a_{ij}) \in T_n(R) \mid a_{11} = \cdots = a_{nn} = 0\}$. Then S be a ring (not necessary unitary), and S is a R - R -bimodule in which $(s_1 s_2)r = s_1(s_2 r)$, $r(s_1 s_2) = (rs_1)s_2$ and $(s_1 r)s_2 = s_1(rs_2)$ for all $s_1, s_2 \in S, r \in R$. Construct $I(R; S) = \{(r, s) \mid r \in R, s \in S\}$. Define $(r_1, s_1) + (r_2, s_2) = (r_1 + r_2, s_1 + s_2)$; $(r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2) = (r_1 r_2, s_1 s_2 + r_1 s_2 + s_1 r_2)$. Then $I(R; S)$ is a ring with an identity $(1, 0)$. Obviously, $T \cong I(R; S)$. Let $(r, s) \in I(R; S)$. Since R is strongly P -clean, write $r = e + w$, $ew = we$, $e = e^2 \in R, w \in P(R)$. Hence, $(r, s) = (e, 0) + (w, s)$. Clearly, $(e, 0)^2 = (e, 0)$. In light of Proposition 2.10, every idempotent in R is central, we see that $es = se$, and so $(e, 0)(w, s) = (w, s)(e, 0)$. As $w \in P(R)$, we can find some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(RwR)^m = 0$. This implies that $(I(R; S)(w, s)I(R; S))^{m+n} = (0, 0)$. Hence, $(w, s) \in P(I(R; S))$. Therefore $I(R; S)$ is strongly P -clean, as required. \square

Theorem 2.14. *Let R be a ring. Then R is uniquely P -clean if and only if*

- (1) R is strongly P -clean.
- (2) R is uniquely nil clean.

Proof. Suppose R is uniquely P -clean. It follows by Proposition 2.10 that R is strongly P -clean. Additionally, R is abelian. Let $w \in R$ is nilpotent. Then we

have an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $w - e \in P(R)$ and $we = ew$. This shows that $e = w - (w - e) \in R$ is nilpotent. Hence, $e = 0$, and so $w \in P(R)$. Therefore R is uniquely nil clean.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Then R is abelian. Therefore we complete the proof by Proposition 2.10. \square

We note that $\{\text{uniquely } P\text{-clean rings}\} \subsetneq \{\text{strongly } P\text{-clean rings}\} \subsetneq \{\text{strongly clean rings}\}$.

3. Triangular Matrix Rings

We use $T_n(R)$ to denote the ring of all upper triangular $n \times n$ matrix over a ring R . The aim of this section is to investigate the conditions under which $T_n(R)$ is strongly P -clean for a local ring R .

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a ring, and let $a = e + w$ be a strongly P -clean decomposition of a in R . Then $\text{ann}_\ell(a) \subseteq \text{ann}_\ell(e)$ and $\text{ann}_r(a) \subseteq \text{ann}_r(e)$.*

Proof. Let $r \in \text{ann}_\ell(a)$. Then $ra = 0$. Write $a = e + w, e = e^2, w \in P(R)$ and $ew = we$. Then $re = -rw$; hence, $re = -rwe = -rew$. It follows that $re(1+w) = 0$ as $1+w \in U(R)$, and so $re = 0$. That is, $r \in \text{ann}_\ell(e)$. Therefore $\text{ann}_\ell(a) \subseteq \text{ann}_\ell(e)$. A similar argument shows that $\text{ann}_r(a) \subseteq \text{ann}_r(e)$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a ring, and let $f \in R$ be an idempotent. Then $a \in fRf$ is strongly P -clean in R if and only if $a \in fRf$ is strongly P -clean in fRf .*

Proof. Suppose that $a = e + w, e = e^2 \in fRf, w \in P(fRf)$ and $ew = we$. Then there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(fRfwfRf)^n = 0$, and so $(RfwfR)^{n+4} = 0$. That is, $(RwR)^{n+4} = 0$. This infers that $w \in P(R)$. Hence, $a \in fRf$ is strongly P -clean in R .

Conversely, suppose that $a = e + w, e = e^2 \in R, w \in P(R)$ and $ew = we$. As $a \in fRf$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - f &\in \text{ann}_\ell(a) \cap \text{ann}_r(a) \\ &\subseteq \text{ann}_\ell(e) \cap \text{ann}_r(e) \\ &= R(1 - e) \cap (1 - e)R \\ &= (1 - e)R(1 - e). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $ef = e = fe$. We observe that $a = fef + fwf, (fef)^2 = fef$. Furthermore, $fef \cdot fwf = fewf = fwe f = fwf \cdot fef$. As $w \in P(R)$, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(RwR)^n = 0$. Thus, $(fRfwfRf)^n \subseteq (RwR)^n = 0$, and so $fwf \in P(fRf)$. Therefore we complete the proof. \square

As is well known, every corner of a strongly clean ring is strongly clean. Analogously, we can derive the following.

Corollary 3.3. *A ring R is strongly P -clean if and only if so is eRe for all idempotents $e \in R$.*

Let $a \in R$. Then $l_a : R \rightarrow R$ and $r_a : R \rightarrow R$ denote, respectively, the abelian group endomorphisms given by $l_a(r) = ar$ and $r_a(r) = ra$ for all $r \in R$. Thus, $l_a - r_b$ is an abelian group endomorphism such that $(l_a - r_b)(r) = ar - rb$ for any $r \in R$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let R be a local ring and suppose that $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(R)$. Then for any set $\{e_{ii}\}$ of idempotents in R such that $e_{ii} = e_{jj}$ whenever $l_{a_{ii}} - r_{a_{jj}}$ is not a surjective abelian group endomorphism of R , there exists an idempotent $E \in T_n(R)$ such that $AE = EA$ and $E_{ii} = e_{ii}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Proof. See [1, Lemma 7]. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a local ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is strongly P -clean.
- (2) R is uniquely P -clean.
- (3) $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $J(R)$ is locally nilpotent.
- (4) $T_n(R)$ is strongly P -clean.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is obvious from Theorem 2.11.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) In view of Theorem 2.1, $R/J(R)$ is Boolean, and $J(R)$ is locally nilpotent. As R is local, we get $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(R)$. We need to construct an idempotent $E \in T_n(R)$ such that $EA = AE$ and such that $A - E \in P(T_n(R))$. By hypothesis, $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $J(R)$ is locally nilpotent. Thus, $R = J(R) \cup (1 + J(R))$. Begin by constructing the main diagonal of E . Set $e_{ii} = 0$ if $a_{ii} \in J(R)$, and set $e_{ii} = 1$ otherwise. Thus, $a_{ii} - e_{ii} \in J(R)$ for every i . If $e_{ii} \neq e_{jj}$, then it must be the case (without loss of generality) that $a_{ii} \in U(R)$ and $a_{jj} \in J(R)$. Thus, $a_{jj} \in P(R)$ is nilpotent. Write $a_{jj}^m = 0$. Construct a map $\varphi = l_{a_{ii}^{-1}} + l_{a_{ii}^{-2}}r_{a_{jj}} + \dots + l_{a_{ii}^{-m}}r_{a_{jj}^{m-1}} : R \rightarrow R$. For any $r \in R$, it is easy to verify that $(l_{a_{ii}} - r_{a_{jj}})(\varphi(r)) = r$. Thus, $l_{a_{ii}} - r_{a_{jj}} : R \rightarrow R$ is surjective. According to Lemma 3.4, there exists an idempotent $E \in T_n(R)$ such that $AE = EA$ and $E_{ii} = e_{ii}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Further, $a_{ii} - e_{ii} \in P(R)$. Write $(R(a_{ii} - e_{ii})R)^{m_i} = 0$. Then one easily checks that

$$(T_n(R)(A - E)T_n(R))^{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i + n + 1} = 0.$$

This implies that $A - E \in P(T_n(R))$. Therefore $T_n(R)$ is strongly P -clean.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) is clear by Corollary 3.3. □

We close this section by considering a single 2×2 strongly P -clean triangular matrix over a local ring.

Proposition 3.6. *Let R be a local ring, let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in T_2(R)$. Then A is strongly P -clean if and only if a and b are in $P(R)$ or $1 + P(R)$.*

Proof. Suppose that A is strongly P -clean and $A, I_2 - A \notin P(T_2(R))$. Then there exists some $E = \begin{pmatrix} e & w \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \in R$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} - E \in P(T_2(R)) \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} E = E \begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since A and B are local rings, we see that $e = 0, 1$ and $f = 0, 1$. Thus, $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where $x \in R$. This implies that $a \in P(R), b \in 1 + P(R)$ or $a \in 1 + P(R), b \in P(R)$, as desired.

Suppose that $a, b \in P(R)$ or $a, b \in 1_A + P(R)$, then $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly P -clean. Assume that $a \in 1 + P(R), b \in P(R)$. As $P(R)$ is locally nilpotent, we may write $b^m = 0$. Construct a map $\varphi = l_{a^{-1}} + l_{a^{-2}}r_b + \cdots + l_{a^{-m}}r_{b^{m-1}} : R \rightarrow R$. Choose $x = \varphi(v)$. Then one easily checks that $(l_a - r_b)(\varphi(v)) = v$. Hence, $ax - xb = v$. Choose $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $E = E^2, A - E \in P(T_2(R))$ and

$$AE = \begin{pmatrix} a & ax \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & v + xb \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = EA.$$

Assume that $a \in P(R), b \in 1 + P(R)$. Analogously, we can find an idempotent $E \in T_2(R)$ such that $AE = EA$ and $A - E \in P(T_2(R))$. Therefore $A \in T_2(R)$ is strongly P -clean. \square

Example 3.7. *Let $\mathbb{Z}_{3^n}[\alpha] = \{a + b\alpha \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{3^n}, \alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0\} (n \geq 1)$. Then $P(\mathbb{Z}_{3^n}[\alpha]) = (1 - \alpha)$, i.e., the principal generated by $1 - \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{3^n}[\alpha]$. Therefore $\mathbb{Z}_{3^n}[\alpha]$ is local. Additionally, $T_2(\mathbb{Z}_{3^n}[\alpha])$ is not strongly P -clean, by Theorem 3.5.*

But, we see from Proposition 3.6 that $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \in T_2(\mathbb{Z}_{3^n}[\alpha])$ is strongly P -clean if and only if $x, y \in (1 - \alpha)$ or $1 + (1 - \alpha)$.

4. Strongly P -Clean Matrices

The main purpose of this section is to investigate the strong P -cleanness of a single matrix over commutative local rings. We start with a well known result.

Lemma 4.1. [11, Theorem 4.29] *Let R be a ring. Then $P(M_n(R)) = M_n(P(R))$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let R be a local ring. Then $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly P -clean if and only if $A \in M_2(P(R))$ or $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$ or A is similar to a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$, where $\lambda \in 1 + P(R), \mu \in P(R)$.*

Proof. If $A \in M_2(P(R))$ or $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$, it follows by Lemma 4.1 that either A or $I_2 - A$ is in $P(M_2(R))$, and so A is strongly P -clean. For any $w_1, w_2 \in P(R)$, we see that $\begin{pmatrix} 1+w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 \end{pmatrix}$. In light of Lemma 4.1, $\begin{pmatrix} w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(P(R))$. Thus, one direction is clear.

Conversely, assume that $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly P -clean, and that $A, I_2 - A \notin M_2(P(R))$. Then there exist an idempotent $E \in M_2(R)$ and a $W \in P(M_2(R))$ such that $A = E + W$ with $EW = WE$. This implies that the idempotent $E \neq 0, I_2$. In view of [3, Lemma 16.4.11], E is similar to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_1 \\ 1 & 1+w_2 \end{pmatrix}$. As $E = E^2$, we deduce that $w_1 = w_2 = 0$; hence, E is similar to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Obviously, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus, we have an $H \in GL_2(R)$ such that $HEH^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus, $HAH^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + HWH^{-1}$. Set $V = (v_{ij}) := HWH^{-1}$. It follows from $EW = WE$ that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V = V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$; hence, $v_{12} = v_{21} = 0$ and $v_{11}, v_{22} \in P(R)$. Therefore A is similar to $\begin{pmatrix} 1+v_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & v_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, as desired. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let R be a local ring, and let $A \in M_2(R)$ be strongly P -clean. Then $A \in M_2(P(R))$ or $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$ or A is similar to a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$, where $\lambda \in P(R), \mu \in 1 + P(R)$.*

Proof. If $A, I_2 - A \notin M_2(P(R))$, it follows from Theorem 4.2 that there exists a $P \in GL_2(R)$ such that $P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$, where $\alpha \in 1 + P(R), \beta \in P(R)$. One computes that $[\alpha - \beta, 1]B_{12}(-\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1})B_{21}(1)P^{-1}APB_{21}(-1)B_{12}(\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1})[(\alpha - \beta)^{-1}, 1]$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\alpha - \beta)\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1}\beta \\ 1 & (\alpha - \beta)\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1} + \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, $[\xi, \eta] = \text{diag}(\xi, \eta)$ and $B_{ij}(\xi) = I_2 + \xi E_{ij}$ where E_{ij} is the matrix with 1 on the place (i, j) and 0 on other places. Let $\lambda = -(\alpha - \beta)\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1}\beta$ and $\mu = (\alpha - \beta)\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1} + \beta$. Therefore A is similar to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$, where $\lambda \in P(R), \mu \in 1 + P(R)$. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let R be a commutative local ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly P -clean.
- (2) $A - A^2 \in M_2(P(R))$.
- (3) $A \in M_2(P(R))$ or $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$ or the equation $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$ has a root in $P(R)$ and a root in $1 + P(R)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Write $A = E + W$ with $EW = WE, W \in P(M_2(R))$. Then $A - A^2 = W - EW - WE - W^2 \in P(M_2(R))$. Therefore, $A - A^2 \in M_2(P(R))$, by Lemma 4.1.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Since $A - A^2 \in M_2(P(R))$, we get $A - A^2 \in P(M_2(R))$ by Lemma 4.1. As $P(M_2(R))$ is locally nilpotent, we can find an idempotent $E \in M_2(R)$ such that $A - E \in P(M_2(R))$. Explicitly, $AE = EA$, as required.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Let $A \in M_2(R)$ be strongly P -clean and $A, I_2 - A \notin M_2(P(R))$. By virtue of Theorem 4.2, A is similar to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(R)$, where $\lambda \in 1 + P(R), \mu \in P(R)$. Thus, $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = \det(xI_2 - A) = (x - \lambda)(x - \mu)$, which has a root $\lambda \in 1 + P(R)$ and a root $\mu \in P(R)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $A \in M_2(R)$. If $A \in M_2(P(R))$ or $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly P -clean. Otherwise, it follows by the hypothesis that the equation $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$ has a root $x_1 \in P(R)$ and a root $x_2 \in 1 + P(R)$. Clearly, $x_1 - x_2 \in -1 + P(R) \subseteq U(R)$. In addition, $\text{tr}A = x_1 + x_2 \in 1 + P(R)$ and $\det A = x_1 x_2 \in P(R)$. As $\det A \in P(R)$, $A \notin GL_2(R)$. It follows from $\det(I_2 - A) = 1 - \text{tr}A + \det A \in P(R)$ that $I_2 - A \notin GL_2(R)$. In light of [10, Lemma 4], there are some $\lambda \in J(R), \mu \in 1 + J(R)$ such that A is similar to $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$. Further, $x^2 - \text{tr}B \cdot x + \det B = \det(xI_2 - B) = \det(xI_2 - A) = x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A$; and so $x^2 - \text{tr}B \cdot x + \det B = 0$ has a root in $1 + P(R)$ and a root in $P(R)$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.3, there exists a $P \in GL_2(R)$ such that $P^{-1}BP = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $\alpha_1 \in 1 + P(R), \alpha_2 \in P(R)$. By virtue of Lemma

4.1, $P^{-1}BP = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a strongly P -clean expression. Consequently, $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly P -clean. \square

Example 4.5. Let $R = \{\frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 2 \nmid n\}$. Then R is a commutative local ring. Choose $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(R)$. Clearly, $A, I_2 - A \notin M_2(P(R))$. Further, the equation $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$ has a root 4 and a root -1 . But $4, -1 \notin P(R)$. Thus, $A \in M_2(R)$ is not strongly P -clean from Theorem 4.4. But $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly clean by [6, Corollary 2.2]. It is worth noting that every strongly P -clean 2×2 matrix over integral domains must be an idempotent by Theorem 4.4.

Recall that $a \in R$ is strongly nil clean provided that a is the sum of an idempotent and a nilpotent element that commute.

Corollary 4.6. Let R be a commutative local ring, and let $A \in M_2(R)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly nil clean.
- (2) $A \in N(M_2(R))$ or $I_2 - A \in N(M_2(R))$, or $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly P -clean.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) If $A, I_2 - A \notin N(M_2(R))$, then the equation $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$ has a root in $N(R)$ and a root in $1 + N(R)$, by [4, Corollary 3.6]. As R is commutative, $N(R) = P(R)$. In light of Theorem 4.4., $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly P -clean, as required.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) is obvious. \square

Example 4.7. Let $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}\}$, and let $A = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{1} & \bar{2} \\ \bar{2} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_4)$. Then $A - A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(P(\mathbb{Z}_4))$. Thus, $A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ is strongly P -clean. In fact, we have the strongly P -clean decomposition: $A = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{1} & \bar{2} \\ \bar{2} & \bar{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix}$. In this case, $A, I_2 - A \notin N(M_2(\mathbb{Z}_4))$.

5. Characteristic Criteria

For several kinds of 2×2 matrices over commutative local rings, we can derive accurate characterizations.

Theorem 5.1. Let R be a commutative local ring, and let $A \in M_2(R)$. If A is strongly P -clean, then either $A \in M_2(P(R))$, or $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$, or $\text{tr}A \in 1 + P(R)$ and $\text{tr}^2 A - 4\det A = u^2$ for some $u \in 1 + P(R)$.

Proof. According to Corollary 4.6, $A \in M_2(P(R))$ or $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$, or $\text{tr}A \in 1 + P(R)$ and the equation $x^2 - x = \frac{\det A}{-\text{tr}^2 A}$ has a root $a \in P(R)$. Then $\det A \in P(R)$ and $2a - 1 \in -1 + P(R)$. Further, $(2a - 1)^2 = 4(a^2 - a) + 1 = \frac{4\det A}{-\text{tr}^2 A} + 1 = \frac{\text{tr}^2 A - 4\det A}{\text{tr}^2 A}$, and therefore $\text{tr}^2 A - 4\det A = (\text{tr}A \cdot (2a - 1))^2$. Set $u = \text{tr}A \cdot (2a - 1)$. Then $u \in 1 + P(R)$, as required. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let R be a commutative local ring. If $\frac{1}{2} \in R$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly P -clean.
- (2) $A \in M_2(P(R))$ or $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$, or $\text{tr}A \in 1 + P(R)$ and $\text{tr}^2 A - 4\det A = u^2$ for a $u \in 1 + P(R)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is clear by Theorem 5.1.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) If $\text{tr}A \in 1 + P(R)$ and $\text{tr}^2 A - 4\det A = u^2$ for some $u \in 1 + P(R)$, then $u \in U(R)$ and the equation $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$ has a root $\frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}A - u)$ in $P(R)$ and a root $\frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}A + u)$ in $1 + P(R)$. Therefore we complete the proof by Theorem 4.4. \square

Example 5.3. *Let R be a commutative local ring, and let $p \in P(R), q \in R$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} p+1 & p \\ q & p \end{pmatrix}$ is strongly P -clean if and only if $1 + 4pq = u^2$ for a $u \in 1 + P(R)$.*

Proof. Set $A = \begin{pmatrix} p+1 & p \\ q & p \end{pmatrix}$. Then $A, I_2 - A \notin M_2(P(R))$. As $\text{tr}^2 A - 4\det A = 1 + 4pq$, the result follows by Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.4. *Let R be a commutative local ring, and let $A \in M_2(R)$. Then A is strongly P -clean if and only if*

- (1) $A \in M_2(P(R))$, or
- (2) $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$, or
- (3) $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly π -regular and A is similar to a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$,
where $\lambda \in P(R), \mu \in 1 + P(R)$.

Proof. Let $A \in M_2(R)$ be strongly P -clean. Assume that $A, I_2 - A \notin M_2(P(R))$. In view of Lemma 4.3, there exists a $P \in GL_2(R)$ such that $P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$, where $\lambda \in 1 + P(R), \mu \in P(R)$. According to Theorem 4.4, the equation $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$ has a root in $P(R)$ and a root in $1 + P(R)$. As $\text{tr}A = \mu$ and $\det A = -\lambda$, we see that $h(x) = x^2 - \mu x - \lambda$ has two roots, one is in $U(R)$ and the other one is nilpotent. In light of [13, Lemma 20], we conclude that $P^{-1}AP$ is strongly π -regular. Thus, we can find some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B \in M_2(R)$ such that

$(P^{-1}AP)^m = (P^{-1}AP)^{m+1}B$ and $(P^{-1}AP)B = B(P^{-1}AP)$. It follows that $A^m = A^{m+1}(PBP^{-1})$ and $A(PBP^{-1}) = (PBP^{-1})A$, and thus $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly π -regular.

Conversely, assume that $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly π -regular and A is similar to a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$, where $\lambda \in P(R), \mu \in 1 + P(R)$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$ is strongly π -regular. In light of [13, Lemma 20], $x^2 - \mu x - \lambda$ has two roots, one $\alpha \in U(R)$ and one $\beta \in R$ which is nilpotent. Obviously, $\alpha^2 - \mu\alpha - \lambda = 0$ and $\beta^2 - \mu\beta - \lambda = 0$; hence, $\alpha + \beta = \mu$. As R is commutative, we see that $\beta \in P(R)$, and then $\alpha = \mu - \beta \in 1 + P(R)$. Obviously, $\text{tr} A = \mu$ and $\det A = -\lambda$. Therefore the equation $x^2 - \text{tr} A \cdot x + \det A = 0$ has two roots, one in $1 + P(R)$ and the other one is in $P(R)$. According to Theorem 4.4, A is strongly P -clean. \square

Proposition 5.5. *Let R be a commutative ring, and let $A \in M_2(R)$. If $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $J(R)$ is nilpotent, then A is strongly π -regular if and only if $A \in GL_2(R)$ or A is nilpotent, or A is strongly P -clean.*

Proof. If $A \in GL_2(R)$ or A is nilpotent, then A is strongly π -regular. If A is strongly P -clean, it follows from Theorem 5.4 that A is strongly π -regular. Conversely, assume that A is strongly π -regular, $A \notin GL_2(R)$ and $A \in M_2(R)$ is not nilpotent. As $J(R) = P(R)$, we see that $A \notin M_2(J(R))$. By virtue of [10, Lemma 19], A is similar to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$, where $\lambda \in P(R), \mu \in R$. If $\mu \in 1 + P(R)$, it follows from Theorem 5.4 that $A \in M_2(R)$ is strongly P -clean. If $\mu \in P(R)$, then A^2 is isomorphic to $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda\mu \\ \mu & \mu + \mu^2 \end{pmatrix}$. This implies that $A^2 \in M_2(P(R))$. Hence, $A \in M_2(R)$ is nilpotent, a contradiction. Therefore the result follows. \square

Example 5.6. *Let $A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i])(n \geq 1)$. Then A is strongly π -regular if and only if $A \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i])$ or A is nilpotent, or A is strongly P -clean.*

Proof. Clearly, $J(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]) = (1 + i)$, and that $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]/J(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus, $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]$ is a commutative local ring with the nilpotent Jacobson radical. Therefore we complete the proof by Proposition 5.5. \square

References

- [1] G. Borooah, A.J. Diesl and T.J. Dorsey, *Strongly clean triangular matrix rings over local rings*, J. Algebra, 312 (2007), 773–797.
- [2] G. Borooah, A.J. Diesl and T.J. Dorsey, *Strongly clean matrix rings over commutative local rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 212 (2008), 281–296.

- [3] H. Chen, *Rings Related Stable Range Conditions*, Series in Algebra 11, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
- [4] H. Chen, *On strongly nil clean matrices*, Comm. Algebra, 41(3) (2013), 1074–1086.
- [5] J. Chen, X. Yang and Y. Zhou, *When is the 2×2 matrix ring over a commutative local ring strongly clean?*, J. Algebra, 301 (2006), 280–293.
- [6] J. Chen, X. Yang and Y. Zhou, *On strongly clean matrix and triangular matrix rings*, Comm. Algebra, 34(10)(2006), 3659–3674.
- [7] A. J. Diesl, *Nil clean rings*, J. Algebra, 383 (2013), 197–211.
- [8] L. Fan and X. Yang, *A note on strongly clean matrix rings*, Comm. Algebra, 38(3) (2010), 799–806.
- [9] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebra and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Beijing, 2006.
- [10] Y. Li, *Strongly clean matrix rings over local rings*, J. Algebra, 312(1) (2007), 397–404.
- [11] N. H. McCoy, *The Theory of Rings*, Chealsea Publ.Comp., New York, 1973.
- [12] W. K. Nicholson and Y. Zhou, *Rings in which elements are uniquely the sum of an idempotent and a unit*, Glasgow Math. J., 46 (2004), 227–236.
- [13] X. Yang and Y. Zhou, *Strongly cleanness of the 2×2 matrix ring over a general local ring*, J. Algebra, 320 (2008), 2280–2290.

Huanyin Chen

Department of Mathematics,
Hangzhou Normal University
Hangzhou 310036, China,
e-mail: huanyinchen@aliyun.com

Handan Köse

Department of Mathematics,
Ahi Evran University
Kirsehir, Turkey,
e-mail: handankose@gmail.com

Yosum Kurtulmaz

Department of Mathematics,
Bilkent University
Ankara, Turkey,
e-mail: yosum@fen.bilkent.edu.tr