Photosensitizers

Designing Excited States: Theory-Guided Access to Efficient Photosensitizers for Photodynamic Action**

Yusuf Cakmak, Safacakn Kolomen, Selin Duman, Yavuz Dede, Yusuf Dolen, Bilal Kilic, Ziya Kostereli, Leyla Tatar Yildirim, A. Lale Dogan, Dicle Guc, and Engin U. Akkaya*

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a treatment modality for certain malignant (skin, head and neck, gastrointestinal, gynecological cancers), premalignant (actinic keratosis), and nonmalignant (psoriasis) indications.[5,1] Broader acceptance by the medical community and applicability is hampered, at least in part, by the less than optimal photophysical characteristics of the porphyrin derivatives. This situation sparked a worldwide search for novel sensitizers leading to new compounds, some holding more promise than others.[2–4] The primary cytotoxic agent involved in the photodynamic action is singlet oxygen (\( {^1O_2} \)), the efficient generation of which is linked invariably to the intersystem crossing (ISC) efficiency of the excited sensitizer. Most organic dyes have low triplet quantum yields, and in many recent candidates for photodynamic sensitizers, heavy atoms are incorporated into the structure as a strategy to improve spin–orbit coupling leading to facilitated intersystem crossing.[5,4] While this approach seems fail-safe, incorporation of heavy atoms such as bromine, iodine, selenium, and certain lanthanides very often leads to increased “dark toxicity”. Unlike traditional chemotherapy agents, in principle, photodynamic therapy sensitizers themselves can be nontoxic, either at cellular or organ levels, even at relatively high concentrations. We have been interested in trying to find alternative ways of achieving increased intersystem crossing without the use of heavy atoms to minimize dark toxicity, turning our attention to the excited-state properties of the sensitizers.

Designing efficient photoinduced \(^1O_2\) generators requires that any existing operative fluorescence cycle of the fluorophore, which is through the \( S_0 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \) states, has to be perturbed so as to minimize or shut down the \( S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \) deactivation, and switch to the triplet surface once \( S_1 \) is accessed. A general design principle for a favorable \( S_1 \rightarrow T_1 \) transition from an electronic structure viewpoint would in principle require the structural and electronic compatibility of the \( S_1 \) and \( T_1 \) states to surpass that of the \( S_0 \rightarrow S_0 \) pair. Once multiple electronic states come into play, quantum mechanical calculations providing a detailed understanding of the electronic structure are extremely helpful. Multi-configurational self-consistent field (MCSCF) techniques are the state-of-the-art computational chemistry approaches, when near degeneracies and excited states are considered. These methods may not reach chemical accuracy (\( ±2–3 \) kcalmol\(^{-1}\)) for computing total energies, but they are crucial for a qualitatively correct description of the excited states and are capable of providing a conceptually complete picture of the photophysics taking place. Therefore, we mainly employed a popular variant of MCSCF techniques; the complete active space SCF (CASSCF) method in combination with relatively large basis sets and different active spaces. Details of CASSCF calculations are provided in the Supporting Information.

Our calculations on the parent Bodipy (4,4-difluoro-4-bora-3a,4a-diazas-indacene, Scheme 1) showed that natural orbital occupancies of the \( S_1 \) state describe an open-shell singlet with essentially double \((>1.9)\) or zero \((<0.1)\) electrons for all orbitals except the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) that are singly occupied (see the Supporting Information, Figure S1). It is no surprise to observe a fluorophore with low triplet quantum yield to have an excited state that possesses only two orbitals with single occupancy. Hence, to achieve our goal of efficient switching to the triplet manifold, we have to access excited states that differ from the ones that arise from simple HOMO–LUMO transitions. Among multiply excited configurations, doubly substituted ones are particularly important in enhancing \( S_1 \rightarrow T_1 \) coupling as shown by the seminal work of Salem and Rowland[6,8] and the following work by Michl.[10] Thus, the substitutions should invoke a simultaneous two-electron excitation from the
ground state, guiding us to search for molecules with a pair of degenerate or near-degenerate occupied frontier orbitals that correlate with a similar virtual (unoccupied) pair and pointing out a design principle of orthogonal dimeric chromophores. This foundation has two important features: 1) Orthogonal placement prevents mixing of the π systems of the two subunits leaving us with two essentially undisturbed chromophore cores; 2) Upon irradiation, both cores are almost equally likely to undergo a HOMO→LUMO electron transfer (referring to the original monomer MOs), leading to an excited state mainly comprised of double substitutions.

Our previous involvement with Bodipy dyes led us to seek ways to implement these design considerations using these dyes. Bodipy dyes are exceptional fluorophores with amazing versatility[11–17] and rich chemistry.[18–24] Heavy-atom-functionalized Bodipy dyes showed some promise as potential sensitizers for photodynamic activity.[25–28] It is also interesting to note that a few years ago, dimeric (albeit non-orthogonal) Bodipy derivatives with peculiar properties linked to exciton coupling between the chromophores were reported.[29] We believe orthogonality to be an important distinction in our design. Our calculations on the orthogonal 8,8 dimers clearly show (Figure S3) the essentially unperturbed Bodipy HOMO and LUMO as a result of lack of mixing of orthogonal π frameworks. Orthogonality is secured by the strategic placement of the methyl substituents, resulting in a dihedral angle very close to 90° for the two Bodipy cores. Orthogonal arrangement of the Bodipy units was also experimentally verified by the X-ray diffraction structure of the compound 3 (see below). The calculated energy differences (2.96 eV, 2.97 eV) remain very close to the HOMO–LUMO gap of the Bodipy core (3.10 eV), which further supports our claim of the Bodipy cores remaining essentially unperturbed in the dimeric form. Thus the orthogonal dimer should possess the desired features sought in the excitation process. CASSCF calculations on the orthogonal dimer 3 show that there is a huge amount of electronic reorganization upon S0→S1 transition. S1, with an equilibrium geometry very similar to S0 (Figure S3) lies 80 kcal mol−1 above the ground state (see the Supporting Information, Figure S5).

Computations of the S0→S1 vertical transitions show that only 15 kcal mol−1 of this difference is due to structural deformation, whereas electronic reorganization is more than four times as costly (65 kcal mol−1). This finding implies that the electronic structure of S1 is rather unusual. Natural orbital occupation numbers show four odd electrons in four very similar orbitals (Figure S3). Thus, on excitation, both Bodipy units are essentially equally likely to undergo the inherent (Bodipy) HOMO→LUMO transition from HOMO-1 to LUMO and HOMO to LUMO + 1 of Figure S3. In addition, orbitals optimized for the S1 state support the involvement of both cores through their clearly visible delocalized character. Thus, the S1 state could be predicted to be comprised of a linear combination of doubly substituted configurations (with respect to the closed-shell reference) and in line with this expectation, the CASSCF wave function is almost totally made up of doubly substituted configurations, an unexpectedly large value for any chromophore (see the Supporting Information, Figure S6, and the following discussion).

The dominance of the S1 wave function by double substitutions is in stark contrast with the S1 wave function of the orthogonal dimer, as the latter is dominated by the reference configuration to an extent of approximately 90%. These findings suggest a severe mismatch between the S1 and S0 states and an enhanced transition to the T1 state lying 1.7 eV lower (at 1.8 eV with respect to the ground state). Essentially the same electronic structure fingerprints are observed for 8,8′ dimer 6 (see the Supporting Information, Figure S5). Repeated calculations with different active space basis set combinations without exception, showed a picture dominated by doubly substituted configurations and four singly occupied molecular orbitals in the S1 state.

As a result, orthogonal functionalization of the Bodipy core by a second Bodipy is the key to switching to the triplet manifold. Based on these clearly encouraging computational results, we were highly motivated for the synthesis of a series of orthogonally linked dimeric Bodipys. The synthesis procedure for compound 3 is quite straightforward, especially in view of recent contributions to Bodipy chemistry by Jiao and co-workers.[30] The parent compound 1 was formylated through a Vilsmeier reaction (Scheme 2). The Bodipy framework was then constructed around the formyl carbon atom using standard Bodipy chemistry. The absorption spectrum of the product showed a single band centered around 506 nm, not much different than the parent compound. We were fortunate to be able to grow crystals appropriate for X-ray crystallographic analysis by slow evaporation of the dichloromethane solutions. The crystal structure obtained was consistent with our design expectations; the dihedral angle between the two Bodipy units was very close to 90° (Figure 1), which was also predicted by the computational studies. Organic solutions of the orange-colored compound were noticeably lacking detectable fluorescence emission under ambient or hand-held UV lamp irradiation, an indication of competing excited state processes in action.

Recent progress in the derivatization of Bodipy dyes also allowed us to synthesize two different and more symmetrical 8,8′ orthogonal dimers. The recently reported[31] 8-formyl-
Bodipy derivative was transformed into the orthogonal dimers 6 and 7, using standard protocols (Scheme 2).

We surmised that a comparative study of compounds 1, 3, 6, and 7 would be instructive. First, we attempted to detect singlet-oxygen phosphorescence at 1270 nm in chloroform for all four compounds excited with a xenon-arc source at their respective absorption maxima; detection was carried out with a near-IR-sensitive detector. When excited at equal absorbances, dimer 3 gave the strongest singlet-oxygen phosphorescence emission (Figure 2) at the signature wavelengths (with a maximum around 1270 nm); dimers 6 and 7 also showed phosphorescence peaks, but with somewhat reduced intensity. On the other hand, no such emission was observed with compound 1 (Figure 2). That nonhalogenated Bodipy dyes have a low intersystem-crossing efficiency is a well-established fact,[7] indeed that is at least part of the reason for their bright fluorescence and photostability. A list of relevant photophysical parameters for the sensitizers is given in Table 1. It is interesting to note that for the dimers 6 and 7, significant fluorescence emission quantum yields are preserved, opening the possibility for a dual use as therapeutic and imaging agents. While the integral areas under the phosphorescence emission peak are a measure of singlet oxygen quantum yield, we opted for more quantitative assessment of singlet oxygen quantum yields using 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran as a trap molecule and methylene blue as the reference compound (methylene blue has a singlet oxygen quantum yield of 0.57 under the conditions of the study in dichloromethane[32]). Excitation of the dyes was carried out by irradiation at the respective absorbance peak wavelengths with a monochromatized light source, at a fluence rate around 30 μW cm⁻². The quantum yield for singlet oxygen generation for 3 is 0.51 in dichloromethane, which is much higher than for all non-halogenated Bodipy dyes and many other organic chromophores and photosensitizers under comparable conditions. The other Bodipy dimers 6 and 7 also showed respectable singlet oxygen quantum yields of 0.46 and 0.21, respectively.

For further demonstration of the singlet generation capacity and photocytotoxicity of the most active dimeric dye (compound 3), we carried out a cell culture assay with cancer cell lines. To that end, we prepared a micellar formulation of the 8,2'-orthogonal dimeric dye using Cremophor-EL (see the Experimental Section).[25] The size distribution of the micelles was determined using electrophoretic light scattering (see the Supporting Information, Figure S7). The size distribution reveals a median size of 100 nm for the micellar constructs. Micelle-embedded dyes retained high levels of singlet oxygen generation capacity as revealed by another singlet oxygen trapping experiment, this time in aqueous media, using a water-soluble anthracene derivative (see the Supporting Information, Figure S13). Experimental verification of photocytotoxicity was carried out as follows: varying concentrations of the dimeric dye in Cremophor-EL micelles were incubated with K562 human erythroleukemia cells within a standard culture medium at 37 °C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂. Cells were irradiated with a green light-emitting diode (LED) source for 4 h, followed by 44 h of incubation in the dark. The control group was incubated in the dark under otherwise identical conditions. The cell viabilities were determined using a standard MTT assay (Figure 3). Even at very low concentrations of dyes (as embedded within micelles), a significant decrease of the cell viability was observed (green bars in Figure 3) with a remarkable EC₅₀ of 50 nm. No statistically significant change was observed when the cells were kept in the dark, in the presence of the same concentration of the photosensitizer 3 (black bars in Figure 3). Photocytotoxicity was also revealed (Figure 4) using confocal microscopy with two fluorescent probes for cell viability (acridine orange and propidium iodide). Cells incubated with the sensitizer 3 in the dark show
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**Table 1: Comparative spectroscopic properties of Bodipy compounds.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>λ_{abs}[a] [nm]</th>
<th>λ_{em}[a] [nm]</th>
<th>φ₂</th>
<th>τ [ns]</th>
<th>φ₃[e]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>0.80[d]</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.35[a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>0.03[a]</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>0.31[a]</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>0.49[a]</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] in CHCl₃, [b] in reference to fluorescein in 0.1 M NaOH solution excited at 496 nm, [c] in reference to rhodamine 6G in EtOH excited at 480 nm, [d] in EtOH, [e] Singlet oxygen quantum yield was determined with respect to methylene blue (0.57 in dichloromethane).[32]

---

**Figure 1.** Structures of the dimeric Bodipys. a) ORTEP diagram (50% probability level) for the X-ray diffraction structure of the orthogonal 8,2’ dimer 3. Dihedral angles between the two Bodipy units are almost 90° (89.4°); b) optimized geometry of the orthogonal 8,8’ dimer 6 at the CAS(6e in 6o)/cc-pVDZ level. Dihedral angle between the two Bodipy units is almost (± 0.5°) 90° in both dimers.

**Figure 2.** Singlet oxygen phosphorescence with sensitization from Bodipy derivatives: 1 (green), 3 (black), 6 (blue), and 7 (red) in CHCl₃ at equal absorbances (0.2) at the wavelength of the maximum of their respective absorbances.
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no changes as revealed by differential staining with the neutral and cell-permeable acridine orange. These cells appear bright green when excited at 488 nm. Cationic propidium iodide stains these cells only when the membrane integrity is compromised; this happens only when both green LED irradiation and the sensitizer are acting on the cells. The use of excess propidium iodide results in red fluorescence in dead cells, or in cells dying through either apoptotic or necrotic pathways.

Thus, our work for the first time demonstrated a practical example of excited state design leading to efficient cytotoxic singlet oxygen generation with a potential in photodynamic therapy. With a sound theoretical framework established in their design, it is only natural to expect other photosensitizers to emerge with a similar line of reasoning. In this particular proof-of-principle work, excitation outside the therapeutic window is needed, but that issue can be easily addressed by the use of upconverting or persistent luminescence nanoparticles as secondary excitation sources. The other alternative is extending the conjugation in the dyes and exploring the longer wavelength extremes of the design principle employed herein. Our work along these lines is in progress.

Experimental Section
Cremophor-EL solubilization: Photosensitizer 3 (5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol}) was dissolved in THF (1 mL), treated with Cremophor EL (CrEL, 150 mg), and sonicated for 30 min. THF was removed under reduced pressure, the remaining oil was dissolved in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 5 mL), filtered through a 0.2 \mu m membrane filter, and filled up to 10 mL with PBS (1 \times \text{ pH } 7.4).

Cell culture and MTT assay: K562 human erythroleukemia cells (ATCC) were cultured in 25 cm² culture flasks containing RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with heat-inactivated 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 unitsmL⁻¹ penicillin G, and 100 \mu g mL⁻¹ streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂. Micellar preparation of the sensitizer was dissolved in RPMI 1640 medium and test concentrations were prepared daily. The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was used to evaluate cell viability. Briefly, 50 \mu L cell suspensions in RPMI 1640 medium containing 4 \times 10^5 K562 cells were seeded in 96-well flat-bottom plates (Costar, Cambridge, MA), and 50 \mu L of varying concentrations of Cremophor-EL solubilized dye 3 in RPMI 1640 medium (final concentrations: 41 nm–164 nm) were added into each well. All dye concentrations were tested three times in triplicate. Then, cells were kept either in the dark or were illuminated with a green (520 nm) LED array at 2.5 mWcm⁻² fluence rate for a period of 4 h at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂. To evaluate the cytotoxicity of the dye, plates that had been irradiated for 4 h were kept in the dark for a further 44 h and then MTT solution was added for measuring cell viability. As control, plates kept in the dark for 4 h were also incubated for an additional 44 h. After 48 h, 25 \mu L of MTT solution (1.0 mg mL⁻¹) final concentration; Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) were added to each well, and the plates were incubated for further 4 h. The formazan precipitate was solubilized by adding lysing buffer (80 \mu L, pH 4.7) composed of 25% SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) dissolved in a solution of 45% N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF). After incubation overnight at 370°C, the absorbances were read at 570 nm using a microplate

\textbf{Figure 3.} Photocytotoxicity of the sensitizer 3 as demonstrated by MTT assay. Cell suspensions (K562, human erythroleukemia cells) were seeded in 96-well flat-bottom plates and varying concentrations of the sensitzers were added to each well. Cells were kept either in the dark (back row), or under illumination with a green (520 nm) LED array at 2.5 mWcm⁻² flow rate (front row) for a period of 4 h at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂.

\textbf{Figure 4.} Photocytotoxic activity of the dimeric Bodipy 3 visualized by confocal microscopy. Cell suspensions (K562, human erythroleukemia cells) were seeded in 24-well plates. a) Cells in control-1-wells (upper plates) were incubated in the dark for 24 h; b) cells in control-2-wells (second row plates) were incubated with 500 \mu L well Cremophor-EL solubilized sensitizer 3 (at a final concentration of 164 nm) and were kept in the dark for 24 h in the same incubator; c) cells in control-3-wells were illuminated for 4 h without the sensitizer and incubated for a further 20 h in the dark in the incubator; d) cells in control-4-wells (bottom row of plates) were illuminated for 4 h after addition of 164 nm dye 3 and were incubated for a further 20 h in the dark in the incubator. Cells in the wells were collected after 24 h and were imaged at 40× magnification. Live cells are preferentially stained with acridine orange (AO, green) (a), whereas dead cells are preferentially stained with propidium iodide (PI, red) because of increased cellular permeability (b).
reader (Spectramax Plus, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, California, USA). Cells incubated in culture medium alone served as control for cell viability (nontreated wells) either on the irradiated plate or on a plate that was kept in the dark. Cell viability (%) was calculated as optical density (OD) of treated wells/OD of nontreated cells × 100.

Preparation of stock solutions for fluorescence microscopy: A stock solution of propidium iodide (PI; Sigma P-4170) was prepared in distilled water, and used at a concentration of 0.5 mg/mL. Acridine orange (AO) was dissolved in phosphate buffered saline at a concentration of 100 μg/mL. One tablet of phosphate buffered saline (PBS; Amresco) was dissolved in distilled water to give a pH 7.4 buffered solution.

Confocal microscopy: Confocal microscopic analysis was also performed to evaluate cell viability. Briefly, 1500 mL cell suspensions in RPMI 1640 medium containing 2% FBS were plated in 96-well plates. Cells in the control-1-well were incubated in the dark for 24 h at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂. Cells in the control-2-well were incubated with 500 μL/well Cremophor-EL solubilized dye 3 (final dye concentration: 164 nM) and were kept in the dark for 24 h in the same incubator. Cells in the control-3-well were illuminated for 4 h without dye and incubated for a further 20 h in the dark in the incubator. Cells in control-4-well were kept in the dark for 24 h in the same incubator. Cells in control-3-well were illuminated for 4 h after addition of 164 nM dye 3 and were incubated for a further 20 h in the dark in the incubator. All conditions were studied in duplicate. Cells in wells were collected after 24 h and confocal microscopy images were acquired.
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