Impurity coupled to an artificial magnetic field in a Fermi gas in a ring trap
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The dynamics of a single impurity interacting with a many-particle background is one of the central problems of condensed-matter physics. Recent progress in ultracold-atom experiments makes it possible to control this dynamics by coupling an artificial gauge field specifically to the impurity. In this paper, we consider a narrow toroidal trap in which a Fermi gas is interacting with a single atom. We show that an external magnetic field coupled to the impurity is a versatile tool to probe the impurity dynamics. Using a Bethe ansatz, we calculate the eigenstates and corresponding energies exactly as a function of the flux through the trap. Adiabatic change of flux connects the ground state to excited states due to flux quantization. For repulsive interactions, the impurity disturbs the Fermi sea by dragging the fermions whose momentum matches the flux. This drag transfers momentum from the impurity to the background and increases the effective mass. The effective mass saturates to the total mass of the system for infinitely repulsive interactions. For attractive interactions, the drag again increases the effective mass which quickly saturates to twice the mass of a single particle as a dimer of the impurity and one fermion is formed. For excited states with momentum comparable to number of particles, effective mass shows a resonant behavior. We argue that standard tools in cold-atom experiments can be used to test these predictions.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.91.053625 PACS number(s): 67.85.Lm, 05.30.Fk, 03.75.Lm, 73.20.Mf

I. INTRODUCTION

Ultracold-atom systems are effectively used as a test bed for condensed-matter models. They are preferred because of the high degree of control in experiments such as tunable interactions, impurities, and direct measurements by optical techniques. Certain theoretical models of condensed matter such as resonant interactions [1] or a bosonic Mott transition [2] have been realized for the first time using cold atoms. Many models of one-dimensional systems have been realized using two-dimensional optical lattices to form narrow tubes [3–5].

One of the powerful theoretical tools to describe one-dimensional systems is the Bethe ansatz (BA). The BA solution has been generalized to many integrable models, e.g., systems with multiple components, different statistics, or spin [6–10]. This exact solution method has been employed to explain experimental data in a number of instances [4,11]. However, as BA methods are restricted to one dimension, they have not been used to describe systems where an external artificial gauge field is present.

In one dimension, such an external magnetic field can be disregarded by using a gauge transformation, unless the one-dimensional system closes onto itself. Thus, if the particles are confined to a ring as opposed to a tube, the artificial magnetic field will significantly affect the physics. Such rings, in the form of toroidal traps, have been realized experimentally [12–19], although none of these experiments have included an artificial gauge field so far.

In this work, we consider such a toroidal trap containing noninteracting fermions and describe the behavior of a single charged impurity interacting with background atoms (Fig. 1). We argue that an artificial magnetic field coupling to the impurity is an efficient way to probe the polaron state forming due to the interactions. Artificial magnetic fields are created by coupling light to the internal states of the atoms [20–22]. Hence, they are highly specific to the internal state, making it possible to create effective magnetic fields coupling only to one type of atom.

The charged particle is expected to drag the uncharged fermions along with itself around the ring. Because of the interactions between the impurity and the background atoms, a collective excitation, usually called a polaron, is formed [23]. This excitation will couple to the external magnetic field with the charge of the impurity particle; however, its mass will critically depend on the interaction strength. The amount of angular momentum carried by the impurity and the uncharged fermions also depends on the total external flux through the ring. By changing the artificial magnetic field strength, it is possible to access excited states of the system adiabatically. We show that an artificial magnetic field coupling specifically to the impurity would be a very effective tool to probe polaron physics.

We describe this system exactly using a Bethe ansatz (BA) solution for contact interactions, which are justified for cold atoms as the dominant scattering is s wave. For strongly attractive interactions, the impurity forms a bound state with one of the background fermions and the physics reduces to the motion of a dimer with twice the mass of the particle. In the other limit of infinitely repulsive interaction, effective mass saturates to total particle number. We calculate the energy and momentum distributions, total transferred momentum, and the effective mass for all interaction strengths. We believe these results can be experimentally checked with state-of-the-art toroidal traps and techniques for artificial gauge field generation.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we define the model, introduce the notation, and review earlier studies. In Sec. III, we solve the system for two particles and then generalize to any particle number using the BA. Section IV contains the analytical solution of the BA equations in certain limits and a comparison with numerical solutions. We present our results for several quantities such as energy, angular momentum, and effective mass of the charged particle. We give our conclusions along with a brief discussion of possible experiments in Sec. V.
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II. THE MODEL

The first quantized Hamiltonian for one charged particle among $N - 1$ uncharged fermions under a magnetic field reads

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - qA \right)^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + 2e \sum_{j=2}^{N} \delta(x_1 - x_j).$$

(1)

All particles are assumed to be on a ring of radius $R$, $0 \leqslant x_j \leqslant 2\pi R$. The position of the charged particle is $x_1$ and $A$ is the vector potential in the symmetric gauge. The Hamiltonian can be made dimensionless by using $\tilde{x}_j = x_j / R$, $\tilde{E} = E \frac{2\pi R}{\hbar}$, $\tilde{\beta} = \frac{2\pi R}{\hbar} \beta$, and $\beta = \frac{\mu R}{\hbar}$ is the total magnetic flux through the ring in units of flux quantum $q / h$. Dropping the tildes, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H} = \left( -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \beta \right)^2 - \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + 2e \sum_{j=2}^{N} \delta(x_1 - x_j).$$

(2)

The effect of the magnetic field can be shifted to the boundary conditions by a gauge transformation [24]. Namely, when the first particle makes a full circle around the ring, the wave function gains a phase factor of $e^{i\beta 2\pi}$ where the periodic boundary conditions (PBCs) for the uncharged particles remain unaffected by the gauging process,

$$\mathcal{H} \rightarrow e^{-i\beta x_1} \mathcal{H} e^{i\beta x_1}.$$  

(3)

Apart from the twisted BCs, the $\delta$-function interaction can be handled as a two-sided boundary condition (BC) between two different regions of $N$-particle space corresponding to different permutations of particles. The discontinuity relation at the boundary $x_1 = x_j$ (which is obtained by passing to the center of mass and relative coordinates and then integrating the Hamiltonian) is

$$\langle \partial_j - \partial_1 \rangle \psi \big|_{x_j < x_1} - \langle \partial_j - \partial_1 \rangle \psi \big|_{x_j > x_1} = 2c \psi \big|_{x_j = x_1}, \quad j \neq 1.$$  

(4)

In the past, this one-dimensional problem of two-component fermions has been studied by using the BA. First, the one-spin deviate problem in a Fermi sea was solved by McGuire [9], and Flicker and Lieb [25] solved the two-spin deviate problem. Yang [8] elegantly derived the BA equations for the general $M$ down-spins among $N$ up-spins. Twisted BCs have been used throughout the BA literature as a way to probe ground-state properties. However, with the possibility of optically inducing artificial magnetic fields, it is important to calculate the properties of the system at finite flux as opposed to infinitesimal values near zero. It is also necessary to consider cases where different components in the system experience different gauge fields.

Our calculation takes both of these constraints into account and allows us to exactly study the dynamics resulting from the dragging effect of the charged particle on the uncharged particles. The resulting polaron physics has attracted great interest in the context of cold atoms over the last few years [23,26].

III. THE ANSATZ

As the interactions are reduced to BCs, the wave function for a given permutation of the particles is a superposition of plane waves. As the collisions of equal-mass particles in one dimension conserve magnitudes of the incoming momenta, the interacting problem is integrable. Hence, in a given region, only a finite number of plane waves are needed to construct the wave function. To make our notation clear, we first start with the case of one charged particle with one neutral particle.

A. $N = 2$ particles

For two particles, we have $2! = 2$ regions and the wave function in these regions is expressed as follows:

$$\Psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) = (12)_{12} e^{i(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)} + (21)_{12} e^{i(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)},$$

$$\Psi_{21}(x_1, x_2) = (12)_{21} e^{i(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)} + (21)_{21} e^{i(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)},$$

(5)

where we use parentheses with a subscript to indicate the coefficients of the plane waves. In this notation, numbers in the parentheses indicate the order in which the wave vectors $k_1, k_2$ are distributed to the coordinates in the exponent and the subscript indices indicate the ordering of the particles on the ring, i.e., $\Psi_{12}$ means $x_1 < x_2$. At $x_1 = x_2$, the wave functions in the two regions should be equal, whereas their derivative should obey Eq. (4). Equating the coefficients of each plane wave on both sides, we obtain the following:

BCs at $x_1 = x_2$:

$$(12)_{12} + (21)_{21} = (12)_{12} + (21)_{12},$$

$$(12)_{21} - (21)_{21} = (12)_{12} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{s_{12}} \right) + (21)_{12} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{s_{12}} \right).$$

(6)

(7)
where $s_{12} = i(k_1 - k_2)/c$. Combined BCs give
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
(12) \\
(21)
\end{pmatrix}
_{21} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 + \frac{1}{r_{12}} & \frac{1}{s_{12}} \\
-\frac{1}{s_{12}} & 1 - \frac{1}{r_{12}}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
(12) \\
(21)
\end{pmatrix}
_{12}.
\tag{8}
\]

Allowed values for $k_1, k_2$ are found by applying the PBCs. The PBC for one of the particles gives the BA equation.

BCs at $2\pi$,
as $x_2 : 0 \rightarrow 2\pi$, $\Psi_{21}(x_2 = 0) = \Psi_{12}(x_2 = 2\pi)$,
\[
(12)_{21} = (12)_{12}e^{ik_22\pi}, \quad (21)_{21} = (21)_{12}e^{ik_22\pi};
\tag{9}
\]
as $x_1 : 0 \rightarrow 2\pi$, $\Psi_{12}(x_1 = 0) = e^{i\beta_2\pi} \Psi_{21}(x_1 = 2\pi)$,
\[
(12)_{12} = (12)_{21}e^{i(k_1 + \beta)2\pi}, \quad (21)_{12} = (21)_{21}e^{i(k_1 + \beta)2\pi}.
\tag{10}
\]

Combining the two BCs at $2\pi$, we obtain another constraint $k_1 + k_2 + \beta = n$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is a reflection of the total angular momentum conservation in the system. Equations (8) and (10) have nontrivial solutions only when the determinant below vanishes,
\[
\begin{vmatrix}
1 + \frac{1}{r_{12}} - e^{-i(k_1 + \beta)2\pi} \\
-\frac{1}{s_{12}} & 1 - \frac{1}{r_{12}} - e^{-i(k_1 + \beta)2\pi}
\end{vmatrix} = 0.
\tag{11}
\]

The solution of this determinant gives the BA equation,
\[
\alpha = \frac{c}{2} \cot \left[ \frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha + n - \beta) \right] + \frac{c}{2} \cot \left[ \frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha - n + \beta) \right],
\tag{12}
\]
where the energy is $E = \frac{(n-\beta)^2 + \alpha^2}{2}$ for $\alpha = k_2 - k_1$. For the two-particle case, this problem can also be solved exactly without using the BA [27],
\[
c = \alpha \left\{ \frac{\cos[\pi(n - \beta)]}{\sin(\pi \alpha)} - \cot(\pi \alpha) \right\}.
\tag{13}
\]

\[\Psi_{123...} = (123...)_{123...}e^{i(k_1x_1 + k_2x_2 + k_3x_3 + \ldots)} + (213...)_{123...}e^{i(k_2x_1 + k_3x_2 + k_4x_3 + \ldots)} + \ldots,
\quad \Psi_{213...} = (123...)_{213...}e^{i(k_1x_1 + k_2x_2 + k_3x_3 + \ldots)} + (213...)_{213...}e^{i(k_2x_1 + k_3x_2 + k_4x_3 + \ldots)} + \ldots,
\quad \Psi_{312...} = (123...)_{312...}e^{i(k_1x_1 + k_2x_2 + k_3x_3 + \ldots)} + (213...)_{312...}e^{i(k_2x_1 + k_3x_2 + k_4x_3 + \ldots)} + \ldots,
\quad \vdots
\tag{14}
\]
where $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_N$ are distinct wave numbers. BCs at $x_1 = x_2$ are not affected by the addition of other fermions at the end of the sequence:
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
(123...) \\
(213...)
\end{pmatrix}
_{213...} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 + \frac{1}{r_{12}} & \frac{1}{s_{12}} \\
-\frac{1}{s_{12}} & 1 - \frac{1}{r_{12}}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
(123...) \\
(213...)
\end{pmatrix}
_{123...}.
\tag{15}
\]

BCs at $2\pi$ follow the same logic: as $x_2 : 0 \rightarrow 2\pi$, $\Psi_{213...N}(x_2 = 0) = \Psi_{132...N}(x_2 = 2\pi)$, yielding
\[
(123...)_{213...N} = (123...)_{132...N}e^{ik_22\pi},
\quad (213...)_{213...N} = (213...)_{132...N}e^{ik_22\pi}.
\tag{16}
\]

The number of independent coefficients decreases considerably by requiring antisymmetry upon exchange of fermions. Every coefficient of a plane wave in the region $x_1 < x_3 < x_2 < \ldots < x_N$ is identical to the coefficient of the same plane wave in the region $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \ldots < x_N$. This can be shown.
by noticing that at \( x_2 = x_3 \), the wave functions must vanish, requiring, e.g., \((123 \ldots)_{123 \ldots N} = -(123 \ldots)_{123 \ldots N}\). Fermionic antisymmetry also relates the wave function in separate regions, \( \Psi_{123 \ldots N} = -\Psi_{132 \ldots N} \). As a result, the coefficients only depend on the position of the charged particle in the order. We can move indistinguishable fermions through one another at will and the \( N! \) regions reduce to \( N \) regions.

After this simplification, it is easy to combine the BC at a \( \delta \) function with the overall PBC,

\[
\begin{align*}
(123 \ldots)_{213 \ldots N} &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{s_{12}} \right) (123 \ldots)_{123 \ldots N} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{s_{12}} \right) (123 \ldots)_{213 \ldots N} \\
&= e^{ik_22\pi} (123 \ldots)_{123 \ldots N}.
\end{align*}
\]

(17)

The determinant can only vanish if \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) satisfy

\[
k_1 - \frac{c}{2} \cot \pi k_1 = k_2 - \frac{c}{2} \cot \pi k_2. \quad (18)
\]

The same procedure can be applied to any pair of wave numbers \( k_i, k_j \). Thus, all the wave numbers must satisfy

\[
k_1 - \frac{c}{2} \cot \pi k_1 = k_2 - \frac{c}{2} \cot \pi k_2 = k_3 - \frac{c}{2} \cot \pi k_3 = \cdots = \lambda,
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a real constant. This form is equivalent to the usual BA equations [7].

Hence, the \( N \) wave numbers which define an eigenstate must be chosen as \( N \) distinct roots of the equation,

\[
k - \lambda = \frac{c}{2} \cot \pi k. \quad (19)
\]

However, there is another constraint. Applying PBCs sequentially on all particles restricts \( \lambda \);

as \( x_1 : 0 \rightarrow 2\pi, \ \Psi_{123 \ldots N}(x_1 = 0) = e^{i\beta 2\pi} \Psi_{23 \ldots N1}(x_1 = 2\pi), \)

\[
(123)_{123} = (123)_{231} e^{i(\beta + k_2)2\pi},
\]

as \( x_2 : 0 \rightarrow 2\pi, \ \Psi_{123 \ldots N}(x_2 = 0) = e^{i\beta 2\pi} \Psi_{132 \ldots N}(x_2 = 2\pi), \)

\[
(123)_{123} = (123)_{312} e^{i(k_2 + k_3)2\pi},
\]

as \( x_3 : 0 \rightarrow 2\pi, \ \Psi_{123 \ldots N}(x_3 = 0) = e^{i\beta 2\pi} \Psi_{123 \ldots N}(x_3 = 2\pi), \)

\[
(123)_{123} = (123)_{132} e^{i(k_2 + k_3)2\pi},
\]

In combination,

\[
(123 \ldots)_{123 \ldots N} = e^{ik_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_N} (123 \ldots N)_{123 \ldots N}, \quad (20)
\]

reflecting angular momentum conservation; the sum of all of the wave numbers plus the flux must be an integer on a ring. In short, the BA equation is solved by finding \( N \) roots of a simple equation subject to the angular momentum constraint,

\[
k - \lambda = \frac{c}{2} \cot \pi k, \quad \sum_j k_j = n - \beta, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (21)
\]

So far, our treatment implicitly assumed repulsive interactions. In that case, all of the wave vectors \( k_i \) are real. The ansatz can easily be extended to attractive interactions yielding exactly the same equations, given by Eq. (21) [28]. However, for negative \( c \), two of the roots will be complex, as the \( \delta \) potential in one dimension has only a single bound state.

### IV. SOLUTION OF THE BA EQUATION

The \( \cot \pi k \) term in Eq. (21) diverges at every integer \( k \); thus, regardless of the value of \( \beta \) (or \( \lambda \)), there is a root between every consecutive integer (Fig. 5). By changing the value of \( \lambda \), all roots can be adjusted so that the total angular momentum constraint is satisfied. All of the eigenstates in this problem can be labeled identically by choosing \( N \) distinct integers corresponding to the different branches of \( \cot \pi k \) and the total angular momentum \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). The energy of an eigenstate is simply the sum of squares of all wave numbers,

\[
E = \sum_{j=1}^{N} k_j^2. \quad (22)
\]

For the simplest case of \( \beta = 0 \), the ground state corresponds to \( \lambda = 0 \) and the total angular momentum \( n = 0 \). The roots \( k \) are distributed symmetrically around zero for even \( N \) and, hence, automatically satisfy the total angular momentum condition. The wave vectors for the ground state are in the \( N \) branches of “\( \cot \)” from \(-N/2 \) to \( N/2 \). Excitations above this ground state can be generated by two procedures. First, by changing \( \lambda \), \( N \) roots which are on the same branches of \( \cot \) can be generated so as to create an eigenfunction with nonzero total angular momentum \( n \neq 0 \). Second, at least one of the roots can be chosen to reside on a branch that is not occupied for the ground state. For such a particle-hole excitation, \( \lambda \) must be adjusted to ensure the total angular momentum constraint.

Inclusion of the magnetic field affects only the total angular momentum constraint. As that constraint is defined only up to an integer \( n \), the problems with values of \( \beta \) differing by an integer are identical. The eigenstates for flux \( \beta \) which have total angular momentum \( n \) are also eigenstates for flux \( \beta + 1 \) which have total angular momentum \( n + 1 \). This is a restatement of flux quantization. We can analyze the system by considering flux values between \(-1/2 < \beta < 1/2 \).

However, in an experimental setting, slowly increasing the value of the flux through the ring is a useful method to access excited states. As the flux is increased adiabatically from zero to one, the ground state evolves to an eigenstate which has its roots exactly in the same branches as the ground state, but has a total angular momentum of minus one at zero flux (Fig. 3). The crossings between different eigenstates do not pose a problem for adiabatic evolution as only states with different total angular momentum \( n \) can be degenerate in energy.

The BA equation (21) can be very efficiently solved once the regions for the roots are determined. We used the Newton-Raphson algorithm to find a solution within a particular region. As all of the roots depend monotonically on \( \lambda \), another Newton-Raphson search is employed to satisfy the total angular momentum condition. We have found numerical solutions for systems of up to 10 000 particles with high accuracy.

Although numerically solving the BA equation is efficient and accurate, an analytic solution can provide more insight about the physics of the system. Analytic formulas for energy, angular momentum, and effective mass also would be desirable to correspond with experimental observations.

In the limit of strong interactions \( 1/c \ll 1 \) and large particle number \( N/c \gg 1 \), such an analytic form can be obtained by
for this small deviation, we find that the roots occur at a deviation of the root \( \Delta \). The system can be analyzed by considering flux values between \(-1/2 < \beta < 1/2\) for all \( n \). As the flux is adiabatically increased by one, the system evolves to a higher excited state which has one more unit of total angular momentum. As can be observed, the crossings between different eigenstates are not a problem for adiabatic evolution since only states with different total angular momentum \( n \) are degenerate.

approximating the roots of the BA equation. In this limit, because the cot diverges quickly near integers, most of the roots are close to integers. Apart from the few roots near \( k \sim \lambda \), the deviation of the root \( \Delta \) from an integer \( s \) is small [9]. Solving for this small deviation, we find that the roots occur at

\[
k^+_s = s + \frac{1}{\pi} \text{cot} - \frac{2}{c} (s - \lambda),
\]

\[
k^-_s = -s - \frac{1}{\pi} \text{cot} - \frac{2}{c} (s + \lambda), \quad s = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{N}{2} - 1.
\]

where \( \text{cot} \) is defined in the continuous region \((0, \pi)\) for Eqs. (23) to be accurate guesses. Here we have restricted \( s \) to analyze the ground state and excited states with roots on the same cot branches. Applying the total angular momentum condition, we get

\[
n - \beta = \sum_{s=0}^{N/2-1} k_s
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{s=0}^{N/2-1} \left[ \frac{2}{c} (s - \lambda) - \frac{2}{c} (s + \lambda) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{c}{2\pi} \int_0^{x_F} dx \left[ \text{cot}(x - b) - \text{cot}(x + b) \right],
\]

with \( b = 2\lambda/c \) and \( x_F = (N - 1/2)/c \). Here the initial assumption of strong interactions and large particle numbers allows us to approximate the sum by an integral. For the ground state and the first few excited states, \( n - \beta \) is small compared to \( N \) and the integral can be approximated as

\[
n - \beta = \frac{c}{2\pi} \int_{x_F-b}^{x_F+b} dx \, \text{cot} x \approx \frac{cb}{\pi} \text{atan} x_F.
\]

Through this relation \( b, \lambda \), is obtained for any flux value, allowing us to find expressions for all of the roots in a self-consistent way.

### A. Energy

Using these expressions for the roots, the total energy is

\[
E = \sum_{s=0}^{N/2-1} k_s^2
\]

\[
= \sum_{s=0}^{N/2-1} \left( 2s^2 + \frac{2s}{\pi} \left[ \text{cot}^2 (s - \lambda) + \text{cot}^2 (s + \lambda) \right] \right)
\]

\[\quad + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \text{cot}^2 (s - \lambda) + \text{cot}^2 (s + \lambda) \right]^2 \right).
\]

The first term above is the total ground-state energy of \( N - 1 \) noninteracting fermions. Interactions result in the second and third terms which are first- and second-order corrections in our expansion. When \( \Delta \)'s are small, the third term is negligible. In this limit, the energy shift due to interactions for \( c > 0 \) is

\[
\Delta E = cb(n - \beta) + \frac{c^2 x_F^2}{4} - \frac{c^2}{4\pi} \left[ (x_F + b)^2 + 1 \right] \text{atan}(x_F + b)
\]

\[\quad + \left[ (x_F - b)^2 + 1 \right] \text{atan}(x_F - b) - 2x_F],
\]

with \( b = \frac{\pi(n - \beta)}{c \, \text{atan}(x_F)} \).

This approximate form for energy successfully reproduces numeric results for particle numbers as small as 4 throughout all of the interaction range. Ground-state energy as a function of interaction strength is plotted for a typical case in Fig. 4 for 1000 particles at \( \beta = 0.2 \) flux. The deviation between the numerical and analytical results is too small to observe in this plot.

For attractive interactions, the \( \delta \)-function interaction supports one bound state in one dimension. Corresponding imaginary wave vectors appear as solutions of the BA equation. For \( k = \alpha + i\sigma \) with \( (\alpha, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \), the BA equation has only two roots with \( \sigma \neq 0 \). The charged particle is bound with only one of the background fermions. When \( 1/|\sigma| \ll 1 \), the complex roots are at \( k = \lambda \pm i\sigma/2 \) while the rest of the roots preserve their form of Eqs. (23). If the bound state is narrow, Pauli repulsion between the fermion in the bound pair and background fermions decreases the effective interaction. Within these approximations, we analytically calculate the total energy for attractive interactions,

\[
\Delta E = -\frac{c^2(b^2 + 1)}{2} + \frac{c^2 x_F^2}{4} + \frac{c^2}{4\pi} x_F \times \left[ (x_F + b)^2 + 1 \right] \text{atan}(x_F + b)
\]

\[\quad + \left[ (x_F - b)^2 + 1 \right] \text{atan}(x_F - b) - 2x_F],
\]

with \( b = \frac{\lambda}{c \, \text{atan}(x_F)} \).
generally called the polaron state or dimer state, especially for attractive interactions.

As stated above, one of the most interesting physical quantities in this system is the angular momentum carried by the charged particle, represented by the operator $\hat{P}_1 = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}$. As this particle is coupled to the external magnetic field, $\hat{P}_1$ is the canonical momentum, not the kinetic momentum. However, canonical momentum is the quantity that is generally measured by expansion imaging in artificial magnetic field experiments. The expectation value of $\langle \hat{P}_1 \rangle = L_1$ is easily obtained by taking the derivative of the total energy with respect to flux,

$$L_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Delta E}{\partial \beta}.$$  \hfill (29)

Using the approximate form for the energy given by Eq. (27), we obtain

$$L_1 = \frac{\pi (n - \beta)}{4 \tan \xi_F} \left\{ (x_F + b) \tan (x_F + b) - (x_F - b) \tan (x_F - b) \right\}.$$  \hfill (30)

This form is valid for positive $c$ and easy to interpret. In the noninteracting limit, the canonical momentum of the charged particle is fixed by the external flux. Hence, all of the angular momentum is carried by the charged particle. As interaction is turned on, the charged particle drags the background fermions and transfers some of its angular momentum to them. Stronger interactions increase the fraction of the transferred angular momentum and, in the limit of infinitely repulsive interactions, angular momentum is equally shared by $N$ particles. On the other hand, for strong attractive interactions, $L_1$ saturates to half of the angular momentum in the system, proving the formation of a dimer with one background fermion.

The behavior of $L_1$ is displayed in Figs. 6 and 7 as a function of $c$ and $\beta$. Even for $N = 100$ particles, the difference between the numerical calculation of the derivative and the expression given above is negligible. As a function of interaction strength, the rapid decrease and eventual saturation of $L_1$ validates the scenario discussed above. The linear dependence on flux is
expected; however, the slope of $L_1$ decreases as the interaction gets stronger. This slope carries valuable information as it is related to the effective mass of the composite excitation formed by the impurity and background fermions.

### C. Effective mass

We define the effective mass as

$$m^* = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Delta E}}.$$  

In the noninteracting limit, the effective mass is equal to $m$; however, its behavior is very different for attractive and repulsive interactions. As repulsive interactions are increased, it gets harder for the impurity to tunnel through the fermions and the dragged particles increase the effective mass. The increase in the effective mass saturates only when all of the particles are moving together with the impurity. Thus, at large repulsive interaction, the effective mass reaches $Nm$. For weak attractive interactions, the first effect is once again the drag increasing the effective mass. However, the attractive $\delta$ function has a single bound state in one dimension. Thus, the impurity captures one of the background fermions and, as the size of the bound state gets smaller, Pauli exclusion effectively repels the other fermions. The effective mass for attractive interactions increases and reaches $2m$ for infinitely attractive interaction where a dimer is formed from the impurity and one fermion. For attractive interactions, the analytical expression in the strongly interacting limit is useful to calculate the dimer mass, in one dimension. As the number of fermions increases, the effective mass in the ground state has weak dependence on $\beta$. In this case, effective mass is essentially determined locally as the ability of the impurity particle to complete a full rotation is hampered.

The utility of an external magnetic field is the access it provides to excited states through adiabatic pumping. Excited states in this system are expected to be stable due to angular momentum conservation. It is thus reasonable to expect effective mass measurements to be carried out on such states in a cold-atom setting. For the excited states, with angular momentum $|n| < N/4$, the main effect is faster saturation of the effective mass as $c$ increases. However, for higher excited states, there is resonant behavior (Fig. 9). Due to the nature of the BA solution, a state for which all of the roots are on the cot branches from $-N/2$ to $N/2$ can have, at most, $N/2$ units of angular momentum. When the total angular momentum of an excited state is comparable to particle number $N$, the sharing of this angular momentum between the impurity and the background is limited by this constraint. Thus, it is possible for this system to support negative effective mass if the external force acted by the magnetic field is overcome by the back reaction from the fermions. We numerically find this behavior for both low and high particle number (see Fig. 9). Experimentally, this effect should be more accessible for a
small number of particles as it is easier to pump angular momentum comparable to particle number.

D. Correlations

Apart from the single-particle properties related to the impurity, it is instructive to look at global properties to understand how the external particle disturbs the one-dimensional Fermi liquid. A common way to visualize the disturbance in the Fermi sea is to plot the deviation of the distance between the BA roots (wave vectors) from one. For a undisturbed Fermi sea, this deviation is always one. For a weakly interacting impurity, the deviation is confined to a narrow region in $k$ space; however, the deviation gets smaller. For infinitely repulsive interactions, the impurity becomes indistinguishable from the background fermions (Fig. 10). For highly excited states where $n$ is comparable to $N$, particle-hole excitations complicate this picture similar to the effect we discussed for the effective mass.

Another important physical property is the two-particle correlation function. Although for $\delta$-function interactions only the value of this function at zero determines the interaction energy, its general form is experimentally accessible through Hanbury-Brown-Twiss [29] type measurements. This correlation also can be regarded as the real-space form of the bound state created by the impurity. To calculate the two-particle correlation function, we need to determine the coefficients of the plane waves in each region. Following McGuire [9], we choose the first coefficient in the first region $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_N$, the roots are closer to each other around $(n - \beta)$. The impurity carrying $n - \beta$ units of angular momentum in the noninteracting limit first disturbs the fermions which are matched in momentum. As the strength of repulsion increases, so does the effected region in $k$ space; however, the deviation gets smaller.

Other coefficients in this region determined by BCs yield very similar expressions. The wave number associated with the distinguishable particle appears in the exponent and the sign of the permutation multiplies the coefficient,

$$\langle 123..N \rangle_{123..N} = -(1 - e^{i2\pi k_1}), \quad (34)$$

$$\langle 312..N \rangle_{123..N} = -(1 - e^{i2\pi k_1}), \quad (35)$$

$$\ldots$$

The coefficients in other regions are related to the same coefficient in the first region with a phase factor determined by the PBCs. This phase factor is a full circle rotation around the ring of the particles that $x_1$ has to pass to be in the given region. Thus, the momenta belonging to the particles that $x_1$ has passed multiply the coefficient, e.g.,

$$\langle 21354..N \rangle_{213..N} = -(1 - e^{i2\pi k_1})e^{i2\pi(k_1+k_3)} \ldots, \quad (36)$$

In the simple form, the wave functions are not normalized, but we normalize the correlation function at the end. The two-particle correlation function in any state is given as

$$g_{12}(x_1,x_2) = \int_0^{2\pi} dx_3 \ldots \int_0^{2\pi} dx_N \Psi^*\Psi. \quad (37)$$

For the $N=2$ particle case, the correlation function is simply the absolute square of the wave function. As could be expected, the correlation function is highly affected by the flux for the two-particle case. Using the numerically and analytically found wave numbers in the expression

$$g_{12}(x_1,x_2) = 4 - 2\cos(2\pi k_1) - 2\cos(2\pi k_2) - 8\sin(\pi k_1) \times \sin(\pi k_2) \cos((k_1-k_2)(x_2-x_1-\pi)), \quad (38)$$

we observe that inclusion of the flux generally decreases the two-particle correlation function (Fig. 11). However, if the
interactions are strong enough so that the particles are almost fermionized, this decrease is very small. It is also notable that although the flux breaks time-reversal symmetry and the wave functions choose a direction on the ring, the correlation function is even with respect to \( x_1 - x_2 \). This property holds for any particle number.

For the general \( N \) particle case, we arrange the wave function in a better form to evaluate the integrals. We assign two wave numbers to \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \), and the rest of the particles are represented by a Slater determinant since they are indistinguishable fermions. For example, if we have \( k_1, k_4 \) associated with \( x_1, x_2 \), respectively, the Slater determinant is represented by \( D_{14} \), indicating the use of all wave numbers except \( k_1 \) and \( k_4 \) in the exponents,

\[
D_{14} = \begin{vmatrix}
  e^{ik_2x_1} & e^{ik_3x_1} & e^{ik_3x_3} \\
  e^{ik_2x_4} & e^{ik_4x_4} & e^{ik_4x_4} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
  e^{ik_{2N}x} & e^{ik_{3N}x} & e^{ik_{3N}x} \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]  

(39)

Hence, the wave function in the first region can be written as

\[
\Psi = [1(2\ldots N)_{12\ldots N} + (21\ldots N)_{12\ldots N}]D_{12} \\
+ [1(3\ldots N)_{12\ldots N} + (31\ldots N)_{12\ldots N}]D_{13} + \ldots
\]

(40)

Integrating \( \Psi^*\Psi \) over \( x_1, \ldots, x_N \), the Slater determinants are orthogonal in the large particle number limit, as the outer roots of the cot’s are very close to integer values. The correlation function is then expressed as a sum over pairs of momenta associated with \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \),

\[
g_{12}(x) = \sum_{t<s}^N \sum_{s<t}^N |(ts\ldots N)|^2 + |(st\ldots N)|^2 \\
+ 2Re\{(ts\ldots N)^* (st\ldots N)e^{i(k_t-k_s)x}\}
\]

(41)

where \( x = x_2 - x_1 \). Here, \( x > 0 \), but the symmetry of the correlation function for \( x < 0 \) can be easily seen by using the region \( x_2 < x_1 \ldots x_N < x_1 \) instead of the first region. Finally, the correlation function is normalized to average density on the ring so that it saturates to one. The correlation functions for \( N = 2 \) and \( N = 50 \) particles are given in Figs. 11 and 12, respectively, for different interaction strengths and flux.

The two-particle correlation function is a local quantity, while the external flux changes the system properties globally. For any pair to feel the effect of the flux, one of the particles must go a full circle through the ring. Hence, as could be expected, the effect of the artificial magnetic field on the correlation function decreases as the number of particles increases or if they interact strongly. Even for the lowest-lying
The problem of a single impurity interacting with a fermion background has attracted the attention of the condensed-matter community for years. In this paper, we argue that an artificial gauge field coupling exclusively to the impurity is an effective tool to probe the physics of this system at any interaction strength.

We consider a Fermi gas in a narrow ring trap and an artificial magnetic field coupling only to a single impurity. We solve this system exactly by using the BA for contact interactions and calculate the dependence of measurable quantities on the external magnetic flux. We observe this dependence for total energy, angular momentum of the charged particle, effective mass, and the two-particle correlation function.

Using an artificial magnetic field in this system has two advantages. The usual measurement tools such as expansion imaging become probes of thermodynamic quantities by comparing measurements at different flux values. For example, the change of the momentum carried by the impurity caused by the magnetic field is a direct probe of the effective mass of the impurity. The second advantage is obtained by adiabatically increasing the flux value. Although the Hamiltonian of the system is periodic with flux, adiabatic evolution connects the ground state at zero flux to excited states at integer flux. In a cold-atom experiment, such excited states can be expected to have long lifetimes due to total angular momentum conservation. Thus, we have calculated the physical properties for not only the ground state but also for excited states adiabatically connected to it.

Our results show that the system can be described by a simple physical picture. The charged particle interacting with the background particles drags them along with itself around the ring. In the noninteracting limit, all of the angular momentum in the system is carried by the impurity. As interactions are turned on, fermions which are close to the impurity in momentum are disturbed more and start to gain momentum. At the limit of infinitely repulsive interactions, the charged particle is effectively indistinguishable from the background and the total momentum is shared equally between all particles. The pair correlation function also confirms this picture. The value of the correlation function near zero is mostly insensitive to the external flux, while away from the correlation hole frequency of the Friedel oscillations sensitively depends on it. For strongly repulsive interactions, the effective mass saturates twice the mass of the particles since it is dragging all of the background fermions along with itself around the ring.

For attractive interactions, the impurity forms a bound pair with one of the fermions. The effect of dimer formation can be clearly seen in the angular momentum and the effective mass. For infinitely strong attractive interactions, angular momentum carried by the impurity saturates half the value of total angular momentum and the effective mass saturates twice the mass of the particle, which confirm the presence of the dimer as a composite particle.

The physical properties calculated in this paper are experimentally accessible through the standard tools of ultracold-atom experiments. Artificial magnetic fields have been demonstrated in a variety of settings and we find combining them with toroidal traps would prove useful. We believe our exact results would be relevant for such an experiment.

V. CONCLUSION

FIG. 13. (Color online) Kinetic energy of the particles vs interaction strength for $\beta = 0.2$ and $\beta = 10.2$ for $N = 50$ particles. Inset: The interaction potential contribution to the total energy. The initial increase in interaction energy follows the increase in the interaction strength. However, beyond a certain strength, the tendency of the fermions to avoid the impurity is more dominant. These plots are obtained by taking the derivative of the total energy with respect to $c$. Alternatively, the interaction potential energy is also obtained by using the two-particle correlation function at zero separation. Both results are plotted in the inset, showing remarkable agreement.

Finally, we calculate a thermodynamic quantity which is also related to $g_{12}(0)$. The derivative of the energy with respect to interaction strength $c$ gives us the interaction potential, so the kinetic and interaction contributions to the total energy can be separated. In Fig. 13, one can notice that the interaction energy makes a peak and then decreases for increasing $c$. The initial increase is expected; however, as interactions become stronger, the tendency of the fermions to avoid the impurity dominates and the impurity is effectively fermionized. This is apparent in the $\delta$-function BC given by Eq. (4). Additionally, the interaction potential is equal to the correlation function at zero $g_{12}[(x_2 - x_1) = 0]$ times the interaction strength, which reproduces the results obtained by taking the derivative of the total energy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

F.N.Ü. is supported by Türkiye Bilimsel ve Teknolojik Araştırma Kurumu (TÜBİTAK) Scholarship No. 2211. M.O.O. was supported by Türkiye Bilimsel ve Teknolojik Araştırma Kurumu (TÜBİTAK) Grant No. 112T974. B.H. is supported by Türkiye Bilimsel ve Teknolojik Araştırma Kurumu (TÜBİTAK) Grant No. 113F334.