Reconstruction of the polarization distribution of the Rice-Mele model

M. Yahyavi and B. Hetényi
Department of Physics, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey

(Received 6 July 2016; revised manuscript received 20 April 2017; published 5 June 2017)

We calculate the gauge-invariant cumulants (and moments) associated with the Zak phase in the Rice-Mele model. We reconstruct the underlying probability distribution by maximizing the information entropy and applying the moments as constraints. When the Wannier functions are localized within one unit cell, the probability distribution so obtained corresponds to that of the Wannier function. We show that in the fully dimerized limit the magnitudes of the moments are all equal. In this limit, if the on-site interaction is decreased towards zero, the distribution shifts towards the midpoint of the unit cell, but the overall shape of the distribution remains the same. Away from this limit, if alternate hoppings are finite and the on-site interaction is decreased, the distribution also shifts towards the midpoint of the unit cell, but it does this by changing shape, by becoming asymmetric around the maximum, and by shifting. We also follow the probability distribution of the polarization in cycles around the topologically nontrivial point of the model. The distribution moves across to the next unit cell, its shape distorting considerably in the process. If the radius of the cycle is large, the shift of the distribution is accompanied by large variations in the maximum.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One way to derive the Berry phase [1–3] is to form a product of scalar products between quantum states at different points of the space of external parameters (Bargmann invariant [4]) and to take the continuous limit along a cyclic curve. An extension [5,6] of this derivation, keeping higher-order terms, leads to gauge-invariant cumulants (GICs) associated with the Berry phase. One is led to ask two questions. The GICs give information about the distribution of what physical quantity? Can one reconstruct the probability distribution from the GICs?

The answer to the first question depends on the physical context in which the Berry phase is defined. In a crystalline solid the Berry phase (or Zak phase [7] in this context) corresponds to the macroscopic polarization. Zak showed [7] that the phase itself corresponds to the expectation value of the position over a Wannier function. For the higher-order GICs Souza et al. [5] showed that they only correspond to the cumulants of the distribution of the position associated with Wannier functions if the Wannier functions themselves are localized within the unit cell (nonoverlapping among different unit cells). Indeed, in the construction of tight-binding-based lattice models, one starts with a continuum description and assumes a localized basis of nonoverlapping Wannier functions (see, for example, Ref. [8]). In practice, however, constructing such a localized basis is not trivial [9].

The distribution of the polarization gauges the extent to which the system is localized in the full configuration space, a criterion [10] which distinguishes an insulator from a conductor. The second GIC was shown [5,11] to be proportional to the integrated frequency-dependent conductivity (sum rule). A gauge-dependent definition of the spread (similar to the second GIC) was used to define the maximally localized Wannier function [9]. Also, the second cumulant was proposed [12] to distinguish conductors from insulators. In Ref. [6] the simplest system with a Berry phase, an isolated spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle in a magnetic field, was considered, and it was shown that (based on calculating the first four cumulants) the moments of this underlying distribution are all equal.

The Zak phase was measured in Ref. [13] in an optical lattice setup which corresponds to the experimental realization of the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model [14] and its extension the Rice-Mele (RM) model [15]. The RM model is a lattice model with an alternating on-site potential and hoppings with alternating strengths, depending on whether a given bond is odd or even. An interesting characteristic [3,16] of the RM model is its topological behavior, which manifests when an adiabatic cycle in the parameter space of the Hamiltonian encircles the point ($\Delta = 0$, $J = J' = 1$). Due to the fact that the polarization as a function of the parameters of the Hamiltonian is not single valued, the polarization in such a process changes by a “polarization quantum.” A recent related study [17] realized quantized adiabatic charge pumping [18], also in the RM model.

In this paper we calculate the leading GICs associated with the Zak phase for the RM model. Based on the GICs (or associated gauge-invariant moments, GIMs) we approximately reconstruct the distribution associated with the polarization. The RM model is a lattice model, which implies that the underlying Wannier functions are nonoverlapping among different unit cells and that the GICs correspond to the distribution associated with the Wannier function. Hence, our reconstructed probabilities correspond to the square modulus of the Wannier function. We show that in the fully dimerized limit the GIMs should all have the same magnitude and that the sign of odd GIMs switches sign with respect to the direction of the polarization. We also focus on the line of the parameter plane where the polarization shows a line of discontinuity (see the left inset in the bottom panel of Fig. 3 below). We also present two model calculations in which the evolution of the probability distribution is followed around the topologically nontrivial point of the RM model. As expected, the distribution migrates to the next unit cell, although its shape varies considerably during the cycle.

Reconstructing a probability distribution from knowledge of a finite set of moments is an ill-posed mathematical problem which already has a long history [19], although there has been renewed interest in recent decades [20,21]. The scientific
applications are also quite broad: image processing [22], calculating magnetic moments [23], and molecular electronic structure [24]. In our study, we opt for a reconstruction based on maximizing the entropy [24–26] of the underlying probability distribution.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we introduce the GICs associated with the Zak phase. We then discuss their connection to the distribution associated with the Wannier functions. In Sec. IV we discuss the connection of the cumulants to response functions, after which the reconstruction procedure is presented. In Sec. VI the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger and Rice-Mele models are introduced. Subsequently, the behavior of the moments for the fully dimerized limit is studied. Section VIII contains our results and analysis before concluding our work.

II. GAUGE-ININVARIANT CUMULANTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ZAK PHASE

Consider a one-dimensional system whose Hamiltonian is periodic in $L$. We take Bloch functions parametrized by the crystal momentum $\Psi(K)$ on a grid of $M$ points, $K_j = 2\pi j/(M L) - \pi / L$, with $j = 0, \ldots, M - 1$. The Zak phase can be derived from a product of the form

$$\phi_{\text{Zak}} = \text{Im} \ln \prod_{I=0}^{M-1} \langle \Psi(K_I) | \Psi(K_{I+1}) \rangle$$

by taking the continuous limit ($M \to \infty$). The product in Eq. (1) is known as the Bargmann invariant [4]. We will derive the Zak phase, as well as the associated GICs. We start by equating the product in Eq. (1) to a cumulant expansion,

$$\left[ \prod_{I=0}^{M-1} \langle \Psi(K_I) | \Psi(K_{I+1}) \rangle \right]^{\Delta K} = \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i \Delta K)^n}{n!} \tilde{C}_n \right),$$

with $\Delta K = 2\pi / M$. We now expand both sides and equate like powers of $\Delta K$ term by term, mindful of the fact that the left-hand side includes a product over $I$. For example, the first-order term will be

$$\tilde{C}_1 = i \sum_{I=0}^{M-1} \Delta K \gamma_1(K_I),$$

and the second will be

$$\tilde{C}_2 = - \sum_{I=0}^{M-1} \Delta K [\gamma_2(K_I) - \gamma_1(K_I)^2],$$

with $\gamma_i(K) = \langle \Psi(K) | \hat{\partial}_K^i | \Psi(K) \rangle$. Straightforward algebra and taking the continuous limit ($\Delta K \to 0, M \to \infty$) give up to the fourth-order term,

$$C_3 = -i \frac{L}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dK \gamma_1,$$

$$C_4 = \frac{L}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dK \gamma_4 = \frac{L}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{L} dx \langle u_{\alpha} | u_{\alpha} \rangle = 1.$$
We can rewrite a scalar product appearing in Eq. (7) as
\[ \langle u_{n,k} | \delta_K | u_{n,k} \rangle = \sum_{\Delta p = -\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i K \Delta p L) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \times a_n^*(x - \Delta p L)(-i x)^m a_n(x). \] (10)
Substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (7) and integrating in \( K \) results in
\[ C_{M,\alpha} = \sum_{\Delta p_i = -\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{\Delta p_d = -\infty}^{\infty} \delta[\Delta P,0] \times \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_j (-i x_j)^{m_i} a_n^*(x_j - \Delta p_j L) a_n(x_j) \right), \] (11)
where \( \Delta P = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \Delta p_j \) and \( \delta[\Delta P,0] \) is a Kronecker delta.
We note that if the Wannier functions are localized in one unit cell, then the summation in the scalar product of Eq. (10) will be restricted to the term \( \Delta p = 0 \). In this case, the cumulants \( C_M \) will correspond to those of the Wannier centers.

IV. RELATION TO RESPONSE FUNCTIONS

The second GIC associated with the polarization gives a sum rule for the frequency-dependent conductivity. This was shown for a finite system by Kudinov [11], and the derivation was extended to periodic systems by Souza et al. [5] by replacing the ordinary matrix elements of the total position operator with their counterparts valid in the crystalline case. Their result is
\[ C_2 = \frac{\hbar}{\pi q_e^2 n_0} \int d\omega \bar{\sigma}(\omega), \] (12)
where \( q_e \) denotes the charge, \( n_0 \) is the density, and \( \bar{\sigma}(\omega) = (V/8\pi^2) \int d\omega^* \bar{\sigma}^*(\omega) \).
For an insulating (gapped) system one can show that the second cumulant provides an upper bound for the dielectric susceptibility \( \chi \). This was shown by Baeriswyl [30] for an open system. This derivation is also easily extended to periodic systems by the appropriate replacement of the total position matrix elements, resulting in
\[ \chi \leq \frac{2q_e}{V\Delta g} C_2. \] (13)
In this equation \( \Delta g \) denotes the gap, and \( V \) denotes the volume of the system.
For higher-order cumulants, the derivation of relations such as Eq. (13) is not possible. However, in the classical limit, the cumulants correspond exactly to the response functions of their respective order (\( C_2 \) gives \( \chi \), \( C_3 \) gives the first nonlinear response function, etc.).

V. RECONSTRUCTION OF THE PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION

If the Wannier functions can be assumed to be localized within a unit cell, the moments calculated based on the GICs correspond to the actual moments associated with the Wannier orbitals. If all the moments are known, the full probability distribution can be reconstructed. However, in practice, usually only a finite number of cumulants are available. In this case the cumulants can be used as constraints to improve the form of the probability distribution. The first and second cumulants give the average and the variance, and if only these two are available, the best guess for the probability distribution is a Gaussian. Higher-order cumulants refine this guess. The third cumulant (skewness) provides information about the asymmetry of the distribution around the mean, while the fourth-order one (kurtosis) represents how sharp the maximum of the distribution is approached from either side.

Below we calculate the GICs of the Rice-Mele model, which is a lattice model (in other words, the Wannier functions are completely localized on particular sites), and approximately reconstruct the probability distribution of the polarization. Our reconstruction is based [24–26] on maximizing the information entropy under the constraints provided by the moments calculated. The expression we use for the entropy is
\[ S[P(x)] = -\int dx \ln P(x), \] (14)
minimized as a functional of \( P(x) \) under the constraints
\[ \mu_p^{(k)} = \int dx P(x) x^k, \] (15)
as well as the constraint that \( P(x) \) is normalized. The functional minimization of Eq. (14) under the constraints results in the functional differential equation
\[ \frac{\delta}{\delta P(x)} \left[ S[P(x)] - \sum_k A_k (\mu_p^{(k)} - \mu_C^{(k)}) \right] = 0, \] (16)
where \( \mu_p^{(k)} \) are the moments obtained from the cumulants of the Berry phase [see Eq. (6)] and \( A_k \) are Lagrange multipliers. The solution of Eq. (16) is
\[ P(x) = C \exp \left( -\sum_k A_k x^k \right), \] (17)
where \( C \) is the normalization constant. We determine the constants \( A_k \) by numerically minimizing the quantity
\[ \chi^2 = \sum_k \left( \mu_p^{(k)} - \mu_C^{(k)} \right)^2 \] (18)
as a function of \( A_k \). As our initial guess in all cases studied below, we take the Gaussian distribution defined by the first two cumulants obtained for the particular case. The minimization procedure we applied is the simulated annealing technique [31]. Below our reconstructions are based on calculating the first six GIMs in all cases.

VI. SU-SCHRIEFFER-HEEGER AND RICE-MELE MODELS

The SSH model was first introduced [14] to understand the properties of one-dimensional polyacetylene. The RM model is an extension of the SSH model; it includes an additional term, consisting of an alternating on-site potential, added in order to extend the SSH model to diatomic polymers. In recent decades it has been studied extensively due to the wealth
of interesting physical phenomena it displays: topological 

soliton excitation, fractional charge, and nontrivial edge states

[32–37]. It was also realized as a system of cold atoms trapped

in an optical lattice in one dimension recently [13]. The

Berry phase in the RM model was studied by Vanderbilt and

King-Smith [16]. In that study the point of the model in the

parameter space of the model which is metallic (and which

was responsible for the topologically nontrivial behavior) was

encircled in the parameter space. This leads to the increase in

the cumulants can now be written in terms of the eigenstates.

For example,

\[ C_1 = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} dk (\alpha_k^* \delta_k \alpha_k + \beta_k^* \delta_k \beta_k), \]

and the other cumulants can be constructed accordingly (note

that the unit cell is \( L = 2 \)).

VII. FULLY DIMERIZED LIMIT

Here we show that in the fully dimerized limit the GIMs should all have the same magnitude. In Ref. [6] we pointed out

that the Berry phase can be related to an observable \( \hat{O} \) fixed by requiring that

\[ \partial_K H(K) = i [H(K), \hat{O}]. \] (28)

This definition does not uniquely fix the operator \( \hat{O} \). For example, for the magnetic-field example the matrices \( \sigma_z/2 \) and \( (\sigma_z + 1)/2 \) both satisfy Eq. (28). This arbitrariness causes a shift in the first cumulant. However, only the operator \( (\sigma_z + 1)/2 \) will give a distribution in which all moments are

equal since this matrix has the form

\[ (\sigma_z + 1)/2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \] (29)

and is equal to itself when raised to any power.

In the case of the RM model we first write the Hamiltonian with the parameter \( K \) explicitly as

\[ \hat{H}(K) = -J \exp(iK) \sum_{j=1}^{L/2} c_{j,A}^\dagger c_{j,B} + \text{H.c.} \]

- \( J' \exp(iK) \sum_{j=1}^{L/2} c_{j,B}^\dagger c_{j+1,A} + \text{H.c.} \] \( + \hat{H}_\Delta. \) (30)

The operator \( \partial_K \hat{H} \) is the current,

\[ \partial_K \hat{H}(K) = -i J \exp(iK) \sum_{j=1}^{L/2} c_{j,A}^\dagger c_{j,B} + \text{H.c.} \]

- \( i J' \exp(iK) \sum_{j=1}^{L/2} c_{j,B}^\dagger c_{j+1,A} + \text{H.c.} \) (31)
We now write a form for the operator $\hat{O}$ as

$$\hat{O} = \sum_{j=1}^{L/2} x_j c_{j,A}^\dagger c_{j,B} + y_j c_{j,B}^\dagger c_{j,A}.$$  

(32)

Evaluating the commutator gives

$$i[\hat{H}(K),\hat{O}] = i \sum_{j=1}^{L/2} (y_j - x_j) J \exp(i K) c_{j,A}^\dagger c_{j,B} + \text{H.c.}$$

$$+ i \sum_{j=1}^{L/2} (x_{j+1} - y_j) J' \exp(i K) c_{j+1,A}^\dagger c_{j,B} + \text{H.c.}$$

(33)

For the case $J' = 0$ we can choose $x_j = 0$ and $y_j = 1$, so that $i[\hat{H}(K),\hat{O}]$ corresponds to the current. This is not the only choice, but with this choice the operator $\hat{O}$ when written in $k$ space corresponds to

$$\hat{O} = \sum_k (G_{k,A}^\dagger G_{k,B})(0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0)c_{k,A} c_{k,B}.$$  

(34)

which gives equal moments. Clearly, the choices for the spatial coefficients $x_j$ and $y_j$ are due to the fact that in this case the system consists of a set of independent dipoles. When $J$ is taken to zero and $J'$ is kept finite, then the appropriate choice to fix $\hat{O}$ is $x_j = 0$ and $y_j = -1$. If instead the sign of $\Delta$ is changed, $\hat{O}$ is again defined by $x_j = 0$ and $y_j = -1$. These results are clearly due to the reversal of the direction of the dipole moment within the unit cell. The results presented in Fig. 2 corroborate our derivation.

**VIII. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

We first look at the system with $J' = 0$. In this case, the band structure of the system is simply two flat lines in the Brillouin zone. The system can be thought of as a simple two-state system. We calculated the first four GICs, from which we obtained the corresponding GIMs. The results are shown in the first panel of Fig. 2. The moments as a function of $\Delta/J$ all fall on the same curve in this case. If the hopping parameters $J$ and $J'$ are switched (not shown), the sign of the odd moments changes; the even moments remain the same. These results are in accordance with Sec. VII.

Figure 2 also shows the cumulants for different ratios: $J'/J = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7$. The deviation of the cumulants from one another is more pronounced and increases with an increase in $J'/J$. However, the moments become equal for any $J'/J$ when $\Delta \to \pm \infty$. In this case also, the system becomes an independent array of two state systems. The band energies in all these cases vary continuously with $k$ across the Brillouin zone.

The results for the case $J'/J = 1$ are also shown separately in Fig. 3, along with the limits $J' \to J^\pm$. For finite $\Delta/J$ the odd cumulants are zero, indicating an even probability distribution. The ratio of the second and fourth cumulants rules out a Gaussian. As $\Delta/J \to 0$, a discontinuity in the slope of the band develops. In this case, the cumulants $C_2$ and $C_4$ diverge. The bottom panel in Fig. 3 shows what happens when $J'$ is close to $J$ (larger or smaller) but the two are not quite equal ($J' = J + \epsilon$, $\epsilon$ is a small number). We see that in this case the first moment is 1 or $-1$, depending on the sign of $\epsilon$, and zero is not approached as $\epsilon \to 0$ from either side. The

FIG. 2. Moments for $J'/J = 0, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7$ as a function of $\Delta/J$. In these calculations $J = 1$. For $J'/J = 0$ the curves are identical. The insets show the corresponding cumulants.
FIG. 3. Top: Moments for $J'/J = 1$ as a function of $\Delta/J$. In these calculations $J = 1$. The inset shows the corresponding cumulants. In the limit $\Delta/J \rightarrow 0$ (the topological point of the model) the even cumulants diverge, while the odd cumulants are always zero for this case. Bottom: first two moments and cumulants (right inset) for $J = 1, J' = J \pm 0.006$. The left inset shows the first moment on the $\Delta - \delta$ plane, indicating the singular behavior along the line $\Delta < 0, \delta = 0$.

The left inset in the bottom panel shows the behavior of the first moment on the $\Delta - \delta$ plane, indicating a discontinuity along the line $\Delta < 0, \delta = 0$ (the well-known result of Vanderbilt and King-Smith [3,16]). The moments and cumulants we find are consistent with the behavior shown in the left inset in the bottom panel of Fig. 3.

In Fig. 4 we show examples of reconstructed probability distributions for $J'/J = 0.0, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7$, in each case for several values of $\Delta/J$. Note that $\chi^2$ [defined in Eq. (18)] is tabulated in the Appendix (Table I). The most localized example ($J'/J = 0$ and $\Delta/J = -2$) shows a sharp peak around $x = 1$; as $\Delta$ decreases, the curves shift to the left and spread out, but their shape is always very similar (for smaller values of $\Delta/J$ this is emphasized in the inset). The maximum of the probability distribution is always between 0 and 1. These curves are all cases for which the moments are equal. As the alternate hoppings $J'$ are turned on, the shifting occurs in a qualitatively different manner. Initially ($\Delta/J = -2$ in all cases), the curves are centered very near $x = 1$. $\Delta/J = -2$ is, for most cases, well in the region where the moments are equal. As $\Delta$ decreases, the distributions shift, but they do this by becoming asymmetric about their mean, with the density increasing on the side to the left of the maxima.
TABLE I. Value of $-\log_{10} \chi^2$ rounded to the first digit shown for the reconstructed probabilities in Figs. 4 and 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta/J$</th>
<th>$-\log_{10}(\chi^2)$</th>
<th>$\Delta/J$</th>
<th>$-\log_{10}(\chi^2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 4, first panel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 4, second panel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 4, third panel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 4, fourth panel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 0$</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 1.0$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 1.0$</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 1.0$</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J'/J = 1.0$</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of the distributions. The shape of the distributions changes considerably. This is clearly due to the fact that in these latter cases the moments vary as $\Delta$ is varied, and they are not all equal. The maxima for the cases for which $J'/J \neq 0$ shift much less as $\Delta/J$ is varied. When $\Delta/J$ changes sign (results not shown), the polarization becomes centered around the $x = 0$ end of the unit cell, and the probability distributions are reflections of the ones shown in Fig. 4 across $x = 1/2$.

The probability distributions for the case $J' = J = 1$ are also shown separately in Fig. 5 (with $\chi^2$ tabulated in Table I), along with the case of $J'$ close to $J$. All of the $J' = J$ distributions are symmetric around the origin. As $\Delta/J \to 0$, the distribution broadens, and it is clear that a conducting phase is approached [12]. If $\Delta < 0$, then the polarizations are localized near $x = \pm 1$, depending on whether $J'$ is smaller or larger than $J$. This is consistent with Fig. 3.

In Figs. 6 and 7 we show the evolution of the reconstructed probability distributions along two cyclic paths which encircle the topologically nontrivial point of the RM model, one with a radius of unity and the other with a radius of 0.2 in the $\Delta/t$, $\delta/t$ plane. In these calculations the parametrization was different from the previous ones; here $t$ was set to unity, rather than $J$ [see Eq. (21)]. For points $A'$, $B$, . . . in Figs. 6 and 7 the values of $\Delta/J$ and $J'/J$ are shown in Table II. The top panels in both figures show the evolution of the different GICs (GICs). The even moments are single valued; the odd ones are not. This follows from gauge-invariance properties of the cumulants [Eq. (5)]. The first cumulant is gauge invariant only modulo $2\pi$ times an integer $[3,16]$; the others do not change.

FIG. 5. Normalized probability distribution of the polarization for cases $J' = J$ and $J' = J \pm \epsilon$ ($\epsilon = 0.006$). In these calculations $J = 1$. The unit of length is the lattice constant. Different values of $\Delta/J$ are shown. Top: $\Delta/J < 0$. Bottom: $\Delta/J > 0$.

FIG. 6. Moments, cumulants, and probability distribution along a circle of radius 1 in the $\Delta/t$ vs $\delta/t$ plane. In these calculations $t = 1$. The path encircles the topological point $\Delta/t = 0$, $\delta/t = 0$. The top panels show the gauge-invariant moments and cumulants along the circle as a function of angle. The bottom panel follows the evolution of the probability distribution. The point $A'$ is at an angle $\phi = -\pi/2 + 2\pi/1000$, not $\phi = -\pi/2$. In the bottom panel the unit of $x$ is the lattice constant. The points $\phi = -\pi/2, 3\pi/2$ are excluded from the curves shown in the top panels.
Fig. 7. Moments, cumulants, and probability distribution along a circle of radius 0.2 in the $\Delta/j$ vs $\delta/j$ plane. In these calculations $r = 1$. The path encircles the topological point $\Delta/j = 0, \delta/j = 0$. The top panels show the gauge-invariant moments and cumulants along the circle as a function of angle. The bottom panel follows the evolution of the probability distribution. The point $A^*$ is at an angle $\phi = -\pi/2 + 2\pi/1000$, not $\phi = -\pi/2$. In the bottom panel the unit of $x$ is the lattice constant. The points $\phi = -\pi/2, 3\pi/2$ are excluded from the curves shown in the top panels.

The example with a radius of unity (Fig. 6) remains mostly in the fully dimerized limit, as can be seen in the top panels. The odd moments and even moments are always equal. Except for a small region near $\phi/\pi = 0.5$, the absolute values of the moments are equal. The bottom panel shows the evolution of the probability distribution along the path. Starting from a relatively sharp distribution localized near $x = 1$, the maximum moves to the left. Before reaching half the unit cell, the distribution spreads. After passing through the midpoint of the system, where the maximum is the smallest, the distribution begins to localize again until $x = 0$. From there this tendency is repeated. Indeed, the distribution ends up at $x = -1$ at the end of the process: the Wannier function “walked” to an equivalent position in the next unit cell. For the case with the smaller radius (0.2; Fig. 7) the initial distribution is broader, and as the cycle is traversed, the maximum of the distribution oscillates with a smaller amplitude, but the walking to a new equivalent position still occurs.

In both Figs. 6 and 7 it is clear that the odd moments do not correspond to single-valued functions. The values of the odd moments depend on whether we approach the original point from which the cycle begins ($\delta = 0, \Delta < 0$) from the left or the right. At the same time, the probability distributions for some cases with $\delta = 0, \Delta < 0$ are shown in Fig. 5; they are centered around zero, and they spread as $\Delta/j$ is decreased. The limiting cases from either direction give different results from the result for fixing the Hamiltonian parameters such that $\delta = 0, \Delta < 0$.

IX. CONCLUSION

We studied the gauge-invariant cumulants associated with the Zak phase. We have shown that for localized Wannier functions they correspond to the cumulants of the Wannier centers. They are also related to the dielectric response functions of a given system. We calculated the cumulants for the Rice-Mele model. In the limit of isolated dimers, all the moments (extracted from the gauge-invariant cumulants) are equal. This can be justified for this case by constructing the operator which corresponds to the Berry phase explicitly. Deviations from this behavior come about when the hopping parameters are both finite. For a system with equal hopping parameters the odd cumulants vanish. We have also reconstructed the full probability distribution of the polarization based on the gauge-invariant cumulants and have studied how they evolve as functions of different parameters of the Hamiltonian. In particular we calculated the evolution of the distribution around the topologically nontrivial point of the model. We anticipate that detailed experimental measurements could also provide a probability distribution of the polarization for comparison with our predictions.
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APPENDIX

In Table I values of the negative base-10 logarithm of $\chi^2$ rounded down to the first digit [defined in Eq. (18)] are shown for the reconstructed probabilities in Figs. 4 and 5. In all cases $\chi^2$ decreased at least eight orders of magnitude from its initial value during the simulated annealing calculation.
In Table II the values of the parameters according to the parametrization used in Figs. 2–5 are shown. Also shown are values of the negative base-10 logarithm of $\chi^2$ rounded down to the first digit for probability distributions corresponding to the points in Figs. 6 and 7.