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Abstract

Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) are widely used as a robust negative contrast agent on conventional MRI. In this study, we (a) synthesized a new class of cubic SPIONs as a dual-mode contrast agent in MRI and (b) showed the in-vivo feasibility of these nanoparticles as a simoutaneous positive and negative contrast agent. Relaxation properties and contrast enhancement analysis of the synthesized SPIONs with two different shapes (cubic vs. spherical) and three different sizes 7 nm, 11 nm, and 14 nm were investigated to evaluate contrast enhancement in-vitro. In-vivo MRI experiments were performed on a 3T MR scanner, where a healthy anesthetized rat was imaged before, and from 20 to 80 min after intravenous injection of 1 mg/kg of contrast agent. Representative transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the synthesized nanoparticles reveal that the particles are well dispersed in a solvent and do not aggregate. The in-vitro relaxivity and contrast enhancement analysis show that, among all six SPIONs tested, 11-nm cubic SPIONs possess optimal molar relaxivities and contrast enhancement values, which can shorten the spin-lattice and spin-spin relaxation times, simultaneously. No noticeable toxicity is observed during in-vitro cytotoxicity analysis. In-vivo T₁- and T₂-weighted acquisitions at 60-min post-injection of 11-nm cubic SPIONs result in 64% and 48% contrast enhancement on the T₁- and T₂-weighted images, respectively. By controlling the shape and size of SPIONs, we have introduced a new class of cubic SPIONs as a synergistic (dual-mode) MRI contrast agent. 11-nm cubic SPIONs with smaller size and high positive and negative contrast enhancements were selected as a promising candidate for dual-mode contrast agent. Our proof-of-concept MRI experiments on rat demonstrate the in-vivo dual-mode contrast enhancement feasibility of these nanoparticles.
1. INTRODUCTION

Superior soft tissue contrast is a major advantage of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) over alternative medical imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT) and X-ray [1],[2]. The difference of spin-lattice ($T_1$) and spin-spin ($T_2$) relaxation times among tissues, is the origin of the unique soft tissue contrast in MRI. However, in some applications, difference in relaxation parameters may be insufficient to provide reliable discrimination of tissue structure. In these instances, the use of contrast agents that alter relaxivity can significantly enhance the contrast between target tissues and the background. These contrast agents are usually in the form of $T_1$ or $T_2$ contrast agents [3],[4],[5],[5],[6]. $T_1$ agents are commonly paramagnetic materials that increase longitudinal relaxivity and thereby yield higher signal levels [7],[8]. While superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) with ultrasmall size (e.g., around 3 nm diameter) can also be used as $T_1$ contrast agents, currently the Gadolinium species with seven unpaired electrons are the dominant positive contrast agent used in clinical applications [9],[10]. On the other hand, $T_2$ agents are typically SPIONs that induce magnetic field perturbations and increase transverse relaxivity, which in turn reduces signal levels [11],[12],[13]. However, the difficulty in distinguishing a region of weak signal due to SPIONs from regions that suffer from other sources of signal loss (e.g., susceptibility differences among tissues, $B_0$ field inhomogeneity) is a drawback of $T_2$ contrast agents [14],[15],[16]. One solution to this problem is to utilize SPIONs as dual-modal contrast agents. In MRI, $T_1$-weighted images typically provide better spatial resolution, while $T_2$-weighted images can provide enhanced detection of lesions [17]. Hence, a synergistic combination of $T_1$- and $T_2$-contrast-enhanced imaging can potentially provide more comprehensive imaging information and lead to higher diagnostic accuracy [18].

To date, numerous studies have considered developing methods for synthesizing simultaneous $T_1$ and $T_2$ contrast agents. For this purpose, hybrid nanoparticles have recently been developed, where the Gadolinium species integrated with iron oxide nanoparticles in a core-shell format systematically demonstrated the feasibility of such
hybrid probes [19]. In another study, Mn–Fe heterodoped ZnSe tetrapod nanocrystals were proposed to simultaneously enhance contrast in both T₁- and T₂-weighted MRI [20]. However, the use of hybrid materials as in these two examples may cause magnetic interactions of nanoparticles, which in turn result in the perturbation of the relaxation process [21]. An alternative method for achieving dual-mode contrast agent is to utilize a single material for enabling multiple contrasts. For example, Jung et al. generated both negative and positive image contrasts by manipulating the pulse sequences together with the use of size-controlled SPIONs [22]. In another study, T₁-weighted positive contrast obtained from SPIONs using ultrashort-echo-time was reported by Kwon et al. [23]. In the clinic, Ferumoxytol (an ultrasmall SPION) can be utilized to obtain regional T₁ and T₂⁻ signal enhancement or loss with conventional pulse sequences [24]. However, SPION’s performance as MRI contrast agents strongly depends on their size and shape. Hence, as dual-mode contrast agents are gaining interest, there is a strong need to investigate both features to reach a high performance synergistic contrast agent.

In this study, by taking advantage of the strong shape and size dependence of SPION’s performance, we synthesized a new class of cubic SPIONs as a synergistic T₁- and T₂-contrast-enhancement agent for MRI. We compared the performance of these cubic SPIONs with their spherical counterparts via measurements of molar relaxivities (r₁ and r₂) on a 3T MRI scanner. Here, we used silica for surface modification, since previous reports showed negligible toxicity level for nano-sized silica shells. As a feasibility study, both positive and negative contrast effects of 11-nm cubic SPIONs were demonstrated in-vivo on T₁- and T₂-weighted spin-echo MRI images obtained before and after intravenous injection of 1 mg/kg contrast agent trough the tail vein of a Sprague-Dawley rat.

2. MATERIALS and METHODS

2.1. Theory

The magnetic properties of SPIONs are affected by their crystallinity and size, which in turn significantly affects the MR relaxivity properties of the SPIONs. Accordingly, as the
particle size increases the corresponding saturation magnetization increases, enhancing the T_2 (transverse) relaxation of the surrounding tissue. On the other hand, as the particle size decreases, both the surface-to-volume ratio and the percentage of unpaired electrons on the particle surface increases. The interaction of unpaired electrons with the protons of water molecules reduces the T_1 (longitudinal) relaxation time of the protons and results in MRI signal enhancement, and this effect is more pronounced for small-sized SPIONs [25]. In addition, the reduced magnetic moments of these smaller particles suppress their T_2 effect. Moreover, particles with sharp surfaces (for example cubic particles) possess higher surface-to-volume ratio in comparison with spherical particles, causing them to exhibit improved T_1 and T_2 effects. Therefore, the shape anisotropy and large effective region of magnetic cores in cubic samples can increase their T_2-contrast-enhancement capabilities, and large surface-to-volume ratio and consequently a large number of unpaired electrons on their cubic surface can increase their T_1-contrast-enhancement capabilities [26],[27].

In the well-known form, T_1 and T_2 can be represented in terms of their corresponding R_1 and R_2 relaxation rates, respectively [28]. The relaxation rates are functions of unenhanced tissue relaxation rates (R_{10} and R_{20}) in s^{-1}, longitudinal and transverse Molar relaxivities (r_1 and r_2) in mM^{-1} s^{-1}, and iron concentration (C) in mM. Using the standard linear relaxivity approximation, the relaxation rate can be written as:

\begin{align}
R_1 &= R_{10} + r_1 \cdot C \\
R_2 &= R_{20} + r_2 \cdot C
\end{align}

where

\[ R_x = \frac{1}{T_x} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{x0} = \frac{1}{T_{x0}} \]  
(x is either 1 or 2)

In the current study, the MR contrast optimization is conducted with multi-echo spin-echo sequences and inversion recovery sequences for transverse and longitudinal relaxation estimation, respectively. Under these approximations, the T_1-weighted and T_2-weighted signals can be estimated by the following equations:

For longitudinal relaxation:
\[ I_2 = M_2^0 \left[ 1 - 2 \exp \left( \frac{-T_1}{T_1} \right) + \exp \left( \frac{-T_R}{T_1} \right) \right] = \\
M_2^0 \left[ 1 - 2 \exp \left( -T_1 (R_{10} + r_1 \cdot C) \right) + \exp \left( -T_R (R_{10} + r_1 \cdot C) \right) \right] \tag{3} \]

and for transverse relaxation:

\[ I_{xy} = M_2^0 \exp \left\{ \frac{-T_E}{T_2} \right\} = M_2^0 \exp \left( -T_E (R_{20} + r_2 \cdot C) \right) \tag{4} \]

Here, TE is the echo time, TI is the inversion time, TR is the repetition time, and \( M_2^0 \) is the thermal equilibrium value of bulk magnetization vector. TE and TI are image acquisition parameters controlled by the operator. \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) depend on the surrounding medium and the magnetic field strength.

As the contrast agents shorten the spin-lattice and spin-spin relaxation times, the ratio of their molar relaxivities (\( \alpha = \frac{r_2}{r_1} \)) is generally used to describe the dominant contrast agent features and their contrast enhancement efficiency [29]. Accordingly, \( \alpha \) is a parameter comparing the effectiveness of \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) contrast mechanisms, and this parameter strongly depends on particle properties, contrast regime, and \( B_0 \) field strength [30].

2.2. Materials

For the synthesis of SPIONs, the following materials were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO): oleic acid (tech 90%), iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (99.99%), Igepal-CO520 (polyoxyethylene (5) nonyl phenyl ether), ammonia (28 wt%), tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS 99%) and 1-octadecene (tech 90%). Hexane, sodium hydroxide, cyclohexane, ethanol and other reagents were obtained from Alfa Aesar Chemical Co. (Germany). All other chemicals were of analytic grade and used directly without further purification.

2.3. Synthesis of SPIONs
In this work, six different types of SPION samples were synthesized: 7-nm spherical, 7-nm cubic, 11-nm spherical, 11-nm cubic, 14-nm spherical, and 14-nm cubic. For the cubic nanoparticles, nanoparticle size was measured diagonally. For all samples, iron oxide nanoparticles were synthesized using a modified procedure reported by Byung et al. [15]. Briefly, 20 g (25 mL) of 1-octadecene (ODE) solution, oleic acid and freshly synthesized iron-oleate were stirred in a three-neck bottle flask and degassed at 70 °C for 2-hour under vacuum. Then, the temperature of the reaction mixture was increased to 320 °C with constant heating rates of 3.3 °C min\(^{-1}\), 4.2 °C min\(^{-1}\) or 5.5 °C min\(^{-1}\) under argon flow. The growth of the SPIONs was done at 320 °C for 30 min. Following the growth, the solution was cooled down to room temperature and SPIONs were cleaned with 2-propanol and acetone for three times. Then, SPIONs were dispersed in 16 mL of hexane and filtered using 0.2 µm Millipore filter. In this work, we achieved the differences in the size and shape of SPIONs by varying the oleic acid amount, heating rate, and iron-oleate amount of the mixture.

2.4. Surface Modification

Principally, nanoparticles must possess low toxicity and good colloidal stability in a biological environment to be suitable for in-vivo applications, which mandates a surface modification of these nanoparticles. Formation of biocompatible shells surrounding the nanoparticles is a typical method for surface modification. Briefly, 10 mL of cyclohexane and 1.3 mL of Igepal®CO520 (polyoxyethylene (5) nonyl phenyl ether) were stirred for 15 min to obtain a microemulsion dispersion. Followed by the addition of 1.5 mg iron oxide nanoparticles (in 1.6 mL of cyclohexane), the mixture was continuously stirred for further 15 min. Subsequently, 40 µL of TEOS was added, and the solution was stirred for 30 min in the dark. Finally, 150 µL of ammonium hydroxide (1.5%) solution was added, and the mixture was stirred for 72-hour. For the cleaning of resulting silica-coated iron oxide nanoparticles, the solution was centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 20 min. After discarding the supernatant, 10 mL of ethanol was added, and the nanoparticles were dispersed using an ultrasonic bath. This dispersion was centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 40 min, and the same procedure was repeated one more time. At the end, the
supernatant was removed, and 6 mL of autoclaved ddH₂O and 24 µL of 2 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution was added.

2.5. Characterization

Morphological structures of the iron oxide nanoparticles were investigated using an FEI Tecnai G2 F30 transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Before imaging, 2 µL of iron oxide nanoparticle solution in hexane was dropped on a 200 mesh copper grid, and the sample was dried. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurement was conducted to study nanoparticles size distributions.

2.6. In-vitro Relaxivity Measurement

For in-vitro relaxivity analysis, solutions of all six types of SPIONs in varying concentrations were prepared in hexane to measure at 3T MRI scanner (Siemens Magnetron Trio, f₀=123 MHz) using a 32-channel receive-only head coil (Siemens). The medium containing SPIONs was systematically diluted with hexane over the range of concentrations (0.025-1 mM) in glass vials (10 mm in diameter and 20 mm in height). The vials were placed vertically within the head coil. Experiments were performed at room temperature. T₁ values were measured using an inversion recovery sequence: TI = [24, 60, 90, 120, 200, 400, 800, 1250, 1750, 2000, 2500, 2900] ms, TR = 3000 ms, TE = 12 ms. T₂ values were measured using a multi-echo spin-echo sequences: with TE = [9, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 100, 150] ms, TR = 2000 ms. Other parameters were kept the same for both sequences: slice thickness = 3 mm, field-of-view (FOV) = 74×149 mm, matrix size = 256×256 pixels.

MR data were quantitatively analyzed off-line with the use of an in-house software developed in MATLAB (The MathWorks, Natick, MA). A circular region of interest (ROI) was outlined within each vial. For a given pixel within a ROI, the signal intensities at all TI values were gathered, and the T₁ relaxation time was determined via a Levenberg-Marquardt nonlinear fitting of these signal intensities to Eq. (3). This was repeated for all pixels within the ROI, and the mean and standard deviation of T₁ was computed across all pixels in the ROI. A similar procedure was performed for T₂ measurement, this time
gathering the signal intensity for a given pixel at all TE values, and nonlinear fitting these intensities to Eq. (4). The relaxation rates $R_1$ and $R_2$ were calculated from the estimated $T_1$ and $T_2$ values. Finally, Molar relaxivities $r_1$ and $r_2$ were quantified by a linear fitting of the $R_1$ or $R_2$ values to Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively.

2.7. Cytotoxicity

As recommended by ISO 10993-5:2009, we used L929 mouse cell line to investigate the in-vitro cellular toxicity of 11-nm silica-coated cubic SPIONs. The cell line was grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's media (DMEM) solution containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). For analysis of cellular visibility, the cell line was cultured in a 96-well plate ($n=3$) with different concentrations of 11-nm silica-coated cubic SPIONs. An incubation time of 24 hours was utilized [11],[12]. After incubation, the 200 µL of supernatant was transferred into the 96-well plate to mix with Alamar Blue solution. After several repetitions, 10 µL of the mixed solution was picked up to count the number of living cells using automated cell counter.

2.8. In-vivo MR Imaging

In-vivo MRI experiments were approved by the institutional Animal Ethics Committee and performed by the national standards of animal care. Sprague Dawley rat (250-300 gr) was obtained from institutional Animal Laboratory and were maintained in standard laboratory conditions. In-vivo MRI studies were conducted with 3T MRI scanner. The rat was placed headfirst inside an in-house-developed cylindrical 5-cm-diameter birdcage rat MRI coil. This custom receive coil was used for all of our in-vivo experiments. Due to their small-size advantage and high $T_1$- and $T_2$-contrast-enhancement (see results), 11-nm cubic silica-coated SPIONs were chosen for the in-vivo experiments. MR contrast enhancement simulations were conducted before and after SPION injections at various imaging parameters (TR, TE, and flip angle). The relationships of the signal enhancement with respect to the imaging parameters as function of SPION concentration (22, 23) showed that SPION can act as positive and negative contrast
With a short TR, short TE, and large flip angle, the signal intensity increased with the increasing SPION concentration, denoting a $T_1$-enhancement effect. Increasing SPION concentration at a long TR values, long TE values, and low flip angles resulted in reduced signal intensity, denoting a $T_2$-enhancement effect.

The aim of the in-vivo experiments was to show the proof-of-concept feasibility of synergistic contrast enhancement feature of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs in-vivo. Our animal experiments did not include an exhaustive analysis of the effects of these nanoparticles on all anatomical organs. Instead, we focused on the kidney as the ROI due to the rapid accumulation of SPIONs in the kidney.

To observe the synergistic contrast effect, healthy anesthetized rat was imaged before, and 5-min, 35-min, 70-min, 90-min, and 18-hour after intravenous injection of 1 mg/kg 11-nm cubic silica-coated SPIONs. A conventional spin-echo sequence (TR/TE = 1000 ms / 11 ms, 2 mm slice thickness, and 320×320 matrix size) was performed to obtain $T_1$-weighted anatomical scans. $T_2$-weighted spin-echo imaging was conducted with the following parameters: TR/TE = 4000 ms / 90 ms, 2 mm slice thickness, and 320×320 matrix size.

### 2.9. Contrast Enhancement Analysis

The in-vitro and in-vivo SPION-induced contrast enhancement analysis was performed using calculation of contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR), SNR, and percentage of contrast enhancement ($C_{Enh}$).

For in-vitro contrast enhancement analysis, 0.4 mM of all six types of SPIONs as well as a reference sample (without contrast material) were prepared on 2 mL vials. We used inversion recovery (TR=3000 ms, TE=12 ms and TI=600 ms) and multi-echo spin-echo (TR=2000 ms, TE=100 ms) MRI sequences to analyze the $T_1$- and $T_2$-contrast-enhancement, respectively. In-vivo contrast enhancement analysis was performed on the images resulting from the experiments described in the in-vivo MR Imaging section above.

For each image group, SNR analysis was performed to determine the signal variations on the ROI with and without contrast agents. The signal intensities were gathered for all
given pixels within a ROI, then mean signal intensity was calculated. The SNR was calculated by dividing mean signal intensity of ROI by standard deviation of noise. The \( \text{C}_{\text{Enh}} \) in the phantom and \textit{in-vivo} were calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{C}_{\text{Enh}} = \left| \frac{I_{\text{post}} - I_{\text{pre}}}{I_{\text{pre}}} \right| \times 100
\]

where \( I_{\text{post}} \) and \( I_{\text{pre}} \) are the mean signal intensities of the ROI with and without SPIONs, respectively.

The CNR was calculated using the following equations:

\[
\text{CNR} = \left| \frac{I_{\text{ROI}} - I_{\text{REF}}}{\sigma_{N}} \right|
\]

where \( I_{\text{ROI}} \) and \( I_{\text{REF}} \) are the mean signal intensities of the ROI and a reference region, respectively. \( \sigma_{N} \) is the standard deviation of noise. For \textit{in-vivo} contrast enhancement analysis, we focused on the kidneys as the ROI, and psoas minor muscle was selected as the reference region to calculate SNR, CNR, and \( \text{C}_{\text{Enh}} \) (Fig 5, 6).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Synthesis of Silica-Coated SPION and Characterization

Spherical and cubic SPIONs with the size of 7 nm, 11 nm, and 14 nm were synthesized using the thermal decomposition method. TEM was utilized for the morphological and structural characterizations of iron oxide nanocrystals. Representative TEM images and a comparison of core sizes of the synthesized SPIONs reveal that the particles are monodisperse, well separated in a solvent, and do not aggregate. An example TEM image corresponding to the 11-nm cubic SPIONs is shown in Figure 1a. DLS measurements of the same SPIONs is given Figure 1b, showing a hydrodynamic size of up to ~18 nm.

3.2. \textit{In-vitro} Relaxivity Analysis of Synthesized SPIONs

\textit{In-vitro} \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) relaxation times of all prepared vial phantoms as a function of the iron concentration were calculated for all samples. The relaxation times were determined by
nonlinear fitting of the signal intensities (Fig. 2b). An example T_1-weighted image shown in Figure 2a shows that mean signal intensities of the phantoms first go down and then increase with increasing iron concentration, due to inversion recovery. In the T_2-weighted images, by increasing the iron concentration the mean signal intensities decrease. As expected, the relaxation rates (R_1 and R_2) increase linearly with increasing iron concentration of the nanoparticles. More importantly, the longitudinal and transverse molar relaxivities, r_1 and r_2, of both the spherical and cubic SPIONs show a trend of increasing values with increasing particles size (Fig. 3a, b). When compared with spherical SPIONs, the cubic SPIONs show a 1.3- to 2.2-fold increase in longitudinal molar (r_1) relaxivity (r_1: 0.5 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [7-nm sphere]; 1.2 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [11-nm sphere]; 3.2 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [14-nm sphere]; 1.1 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [7-nm cubic]; 3.4 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [11-nm cubic]; 4.2 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [14-nm cubic]; Table 1). Likewise, the cubic SPIONs show 1.7- to 6.3-fold increase in transverse molar (r_2) relaxivity when compared to the spherical SPIONs (r_2: 0.6 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [7-nm sphere]; 9.8 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [11-nm sphere]; 40 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [14-nm sphere]; 3.8 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [7-nm cubic]; 36.8 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [11-nm cubic]; 68.8 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [14-nm cubic]; Table 1). These differences can be explained by the dominant surface-to-volume ratio and spin canting effects of the cubic nanoparticles. In short, cubic samples show better T_1- and T_2-contrast- enhancement compared to their spherical counterparts.

Results show that \(\alpha = r_2/r_1\) ratio increased with increasing nanoparticle size for both spherical and cubic SPIONs (\(\alpha\): 1.2 [7-nm sphere]; 8.2 [11-nm sphere]; 12.5 [14-nm sphere]; 3.4 [7-nm cubic]; 10.8 [11-nm cubic]; 16.4 [14-nm cubic]; Table 1). Among these six samples, 14-nm cubic SPIONs have higher molar relaxivities, but a high \(\alpha\) value of \(\alpha = 16.4\) at 3T, which can suffer from T_2-related negative signal voids, especially at high iron concentrations. 11-nm cubic and 14-nm sphere samples possess comparable features (\(\alpha_{11\text{nm (cubic)}} = 10.8\), \(\alpha_{14\text{nm (sphere)}} = 12.5\) at 3T) with higher possibility to show the dual-mode contrast effect. To confirm these claims, we have performed T_1- and T_2-weighted contrast enhancement analysis, as shown in Figure 4. Accordingly, 11-nm cubic and 14-nm sphere SPIONs show the highest SNR and C_enh values on T_1-weighted images and lowest SNR and highest C_enh values on T_2-weighted images, demonstrating their dual-mode contrast effect. It is interesting to note that the 14-nm
cubic SPIONs with the highest $r_1$ relaxivity did not yield the highest $C_{\text{Enh}}$ for T$_1$-weighted images. This result was due to the very high $r_2$ relaxivity value of these nanoparticles, which caused a signal reduction even at the relatively short TE of 12 ms for the T$_1$-weighted images. Hence, 11-nm cubic SPIONs as the smaller particles with higher positive and negative contrast effect are selected as an optimal tracer for synergistic MRI experiment for in-vivo studies performed in this work.

3.3. Cell Survival

Cellular toxicity of 11-nm silica-coated cubic SPIONs was examined using the L929 mouse cell line with different iron concentrations in ddH$_2$O. In-vitro visibility of the L929 cell showed that more than 90% of the cells survived for 24-hour even at a very high concentration of 100 µg Fe mL$^{-1}$, indicating negligible toxicity.

3.4. In-vivo Experiments

In-vivo T$_1$- and T$_2$-contrast-enhancement analysis performed on the selected ROI at six different time points is summarized in Table 2. The rat was injected with 1 mg/kg of contrast agent as described in the Methods section. The analysis on T$_1$-weighted images showed that SNR, CNR, and $C_{\text{Enh}}$ gradually increased as the time progressed, and reached their maximum values at 70-min post-contrast. No significant changes with respect to maximum value (at 70-min post-contrast) were seen at 90-min post-contrast. On the other hand, the analysis on T$_2$-weighted images showed that SNR and CNR decreased as time progressed and reached their lowest values at 70-min post-contrast. This reduction in SNR and CNR is expected due to the negative contrast effect of SPIONs in T$_2$-weighted images. Importantly, $C_{\text{Enh}}$ gradually increased to reach a maximum at 70-min post contrast, indicating the T$_2$-contrast-enhancement capability of the 11-nm silica-coated cubic SPIONs. For both T$_1$-weighted and T$_2$-weighted images, SNR, CNR, and $C_{\text{Enh}}$ metrics returned back to values close to their pre-contrast levels at 18-hour post-contrast, which indicates a half-life less than 18 hours for 11-nm cubic SPIONs.
In-vivo $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted spin-echo images in rat kidney pre- and 70-min post-injection of the 11-nm silica-coated cubic SPIONs are shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6, respectively. The first, second, and third rows of the figures show coronal, sagittal, and transversal images from spin-echo acquisitions before and after injection of the contrast agent, respectively. At 70-min post-injection, the kidney region appears brighter on the $T_1$-weighted spin-echo images, demonstrating the positive contrast improvement of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs. The ROI is surrounded by the red dashed circle (Fig. 5). Likewise, abdominal $T_2$-weighted spin-echo images taken 70 min after injection show that kidney turned to darker, demonstrating the negative contrast-enhancement of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs. The negatively-enhanced region that we focused as ROI is marked by the red dashed circle (Fig. 6).

The analysis of the $C_{\text{Enh}}$ and SNR in the kidney region at 70-min post-injection indicated that contrast is enhanced in the region of interest on both the $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted acquisitions. As given in Table 2, post-injection $C_{\text{Enh}}$ analysis in the kidney region resulted in 64% contrast enhancement on $T_1$-weighted transversal images. Also, $C_{\text{Enh}}$ study reported 48% contrast enhancement on $T_2$-weighted transversal images. These results demonstrate the feasibility of using the 11-nm cubic SPIONs to synergistically enhance the contrast on both $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted in-vivo MR imaging.

4. DISCUSSION

The need for a synergistic positive and negative contrast enhancing and safe MRI contrast agent that can be utilized in clinical diagnosis has attracted the interest of many research groups [18],[19]. The combination of both $T_1$-contrast-enhanced image with high spatial resolution and $T_2$-contrast-enhanced image with increased lesion detection capability allows complementary anatomic and pathologic information [20]. In this study, by controlling the shape and size of the SPIONs, we targeted a new class of synergistic MRI contrast agents. We synthesized two different groups of nanoparticles, in spherical and cubic shapes. Our investigations resulted in two main findings: First, experimental analysis on both the spherical and cubic iron oxides showed an increase of $r_1$ and $r_2$ as the size increases. More importantly, in comparing the two groups of the synthesized
SPIONs, cubic SPIONs showed higher molar relaxivities (r₁ and r₂) than their spherical counterparts. This observation can be explained by increased surface-to-volume ratio and spin canting effects in the large surfaces and sharp edges of the cubic samples [26],[27]. Also, α = r₂/r₁ ratio was larger for the same-sized cubic nanoparticles when compared to spherical nanoparticles, because of the relatively large increases in r₂. In-vitro contrast enhancement analysis results showed that 11-nm cubic SPIONs can be an optimal sample as a synergistic contrast agent for in-vivo MRI experiments. Second, as a proof-of-concept feasibility study, we showed that 11-nm cubic SPIONs are promising candidates as a single agent for synergistic contrast in MRI. The results of the in-vivo experiments were in agreement with the in-vitro relaxivity and contrast enhancement analysis of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs. It should be noted that the in-vivo SNR and C_{Enh} was lower than the in-vitro case, which could be due to the reduced in-vivo iron concentration in the kidney, as well as environmental differences between the in-vitro and in-vivo cases (such as the T₁ and T₂ of the in-vitro medium vs. that of the kidney tissue before injection), and differences in pulse sequence parameters. Gadolinium complexes are the most commonly used T₁ contrast agents in MRI. In contrast to SPIONs, however, gadolinium complexes have short blood half-life. As reported in the literature, MR images show high contrast immediately after injection of gadolinium, but this effect vanishes rapidly. The SPIONs are shown to maintain the enhancement of contrast at a high value for more than 1 h, while the gadolinium-enhanced contrast drops within 3 min [15]. This rapid reduction restricts the usage of gadolinium complexes in conjunction with long scan time (e.g., longer than 10 min). The contrast enhancement analysis of the proposed cubic SPIONs also showed that the highest contrast level was maintained at a constant level between 70-min and 90-min after injection, providing a wide window for in-vivo imaging. Furthermore, the free gadolinium ions from various labels of gadolinium complexes are known to be very toxic [31], [32]. SPIONs, on the other hand, are known to have increased biocompatibility and can even be used safely for iron therapy in humans [33]. By taking advantage of both positive and negative contrast effect using a single contrast material, the proposed contrast agent may be used as a promising synergistic contrast tracer. It should be noted that the MR signal intensity and contrast effects are
dependent on image acquisition parameters, particularly TR, TE and flip angle [22], as well as the magnetic property of contrast materials. This work did not aim to optimize the imaging parameters, but rather focused on optimizing the nanoparticles based on their size and shape characteristics. Accordingly, the in-vivo experiments were geared towards showing the feasibility of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs as a dual-mode contrast agent. Investigating the pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetic effects of these nanoparticles on different organs using complementary in-vivo MRI experiments remains as important future work.

5. CONCLUSION

In this study, by controlling the shape and size of SPIONs, we have synthesized a new class of synergistic (dual-mode) MRI contrast agent. The in-vitro contrast enhancement analysis showed that the synthesized 11-nm cubic SPIONs with small size have high dual-contrast effect, suitable for use during in-vivo imaging. Results of the toxicity analysis showed that the antagonistic effects of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs on the L929 line cell were very low even at high concentrations of the contrast agent. The feasibility of the synergistic contrast effect was investigated in-vivo on a 3T MRI scanner, demonstrating high levels of contrast enhancement 70-min post-injection. These results demonstrate the promising potential of the synthesized 11-nm silica-coated cubic SPIONs as a synergistic MRI contrast agent.
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Figure Legends

Figure. 1: TEM image of the 11-nm cubic SPIONs reveals a well-defined, monodisperse morphology (a). DLS size distribution result reports the overall nanoparticle hydrodynamic size up to ~18 nm (b).

Figure. 2: Representative T₁-weighted (TR/TE = 3000/12 ms, TI = 800 ms, and matrix size = 256 × 256, reformatted for display purposes) and T₂-weighted (TR/TE = 2000/100 ms, and matrix size = 256 × 256, reformatted for display purposes) MRI images obtained from vial phantoms with increasing concentration of SPIONs at 3T (a). Example signal-to-time curves of T₁ recovery (left) and T₂ decay (right) of 11-nm cubic SPIONs obtained by inversion recovery and multi-echo spin-echo sequences, respectively. The data points are fitted to mono-exponential functions given in Eqs. [3] and [4] to estimate T₁ = 500 ms and T₂ = 35 ms values for 11-nm cubic SPIONs at 0.4 mM concentration (b).

Figure. 3: Measured relaxation rates (r₁ and r₂) of spherical particles with the size of 7-nm, 11-nm, and 14-nm in diameter (a) and cubic particles with the size of 7-nm, 11-nm, and 14-nm in diagonal (b) at 3T. Plots show the change in relaxation rates as a function of iron concentration. Lines represent a linear fit for all data points for calculating Molar relaxivities r₁ and r₂ (R₀₁ and R₀₂ were not included on molar relaxivities calculations). The concentrations of SPIONs were 0.025 mM, 0.05 mM, 0.1 mM, 0.2 mM, 0.3 mM, 0.4 mM, 1 mM for the molar relaxivities measurement.

Figure. 4: In-vitro contrast enhancement analysis of the synthesized SPIONs. SNR and C_{Enh} calculation results show that 11-nm cubic and 14-nm spherical particles have better dual-mode contrast enhancement behavior. Six different samples with 0.4 mM concentration were utilized for T₁- and T₂-weighted MRI imaging. T₁-weighted images were obtained using inversion recovery sequences: TR= 3000 ms, TE= 12 ms, TI=700 ms. T₂-weighted images were obtained using multi-echo spin-echo sequences:
TR=2000 ms, TE=100 ms. Here, the gray scale display windowing was adjusted to better display the differences between signal levels.

**Figure. 5:** *In-vivo* $T_1$-weighted spin-echo MRI images of a healthy rat, acquired on a 3T MRI scanner. Images were acquired before and 70-min post contrast injection of 11-nm cubic silica-coated SPIONs. A dose of 1 mg/kg of contrast agent was injected via the tail vein. Areas of signal enhancement (after injection) indicate approximately 64% contrast improvement in the kidney (marked with red dashed circles).

**Figure. 6:** *In-vivo* $T_2$-weighted spin-echo MRI images of a healthy rat, acquired on a 3T MRI scanner. Images were acquired before and 70-min post contrast injection of 11-nm cubic silica-coated SPIONs. A dose of 1 mg/kg of contrast agent was injected via the tail vein. At 70-min post-injection of the contrast agent, the signal in the kidney became noticeably dark and resulted in approximately 48% contrast enhancement (marked with red dashed circles).
Table 1:
Molar relaxivities measured at 3T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle</th>
<th>Group A Spherical SPION</th>
<th>Group B Cubic SPION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 nm</td>
<td>11 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_1$ (mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_2$ (mM$^{-1}$s$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha = r_2/r_1$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2:
Contrast enhancement analysis results of the in vivo experiment
SNR: signal-to-noise ratio, CNR: contrast-to-noise ratio, $C_{\text{Enh}}$: contrast enhancement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging Timeline</th>
<th>$T_1$-weighted</th>
<th></th>
<th>$T_2$-weighted</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>CNR</td>
<td>$C_{\text{Enh}}$ (%)</td>
<td>SNR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-contrast</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min post-contrast</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 min post-contrast</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 min post-contrast</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 min post-contrast</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-hour post-contrast</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1
Fig. 2
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$T_1$-W MRI Image</th>
<th>$T_2$-W MRI Image</th>
<th>$C_{\text{enh}} (T_1\text{-}W)$ %</th>
<th>$C_{\text{enh}} (T_2\text{-}W)$ %</th>
<th>SNR ($T_1\text{-}W$)</th>
<th>SNR ($T_2\text{-}W$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference Phantom</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-nm Sphere</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>570</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-nm Sphere</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>650</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-nm Sphere</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>990</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-nm Cubic</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>650</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-nm Cubic</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>940</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-nm Cubic</td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>790</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Before injection 70-min post-injection
A new class of cubic SPIONs as a dual-mode $T_1$ and $T_2$ contrast agent for MRI

Akbar Alipour, Zeliha Soran-Erdem, Mustafa Utkur, Vijay Kumar Shamra, Oktay Algin, Emine Ulku Saritas, and Hilmi Volkan Demir

In this study, we synthesized a new class of cubic SPIONs as a dual-mode contrast agent in MRI. In vivo MRI experiments were performed on a 3T MR scanner, where healthy anesthetized rat was imaged before, and after intravenous injection of contrast agent. By controlling the shape and size of SPIONs, we have introduced a new class of cubic SPIONs as a synergistic MRI contrast agent. 11-nm cubic SPIONs were selected as a promising candidate for dual-mode contrast agent.
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- 11 nm cubic SPIONs simultaneously enhanced both positive and negative contrast in MR images.

- Various SPIONs in defined crystallinity and size were synthesized to study the magnetic characteristics of the particles.

- Complementary T1- and T2-weighted in vivo MRI experiments obtained using 11 nm cubic SPIONs contrast agent.