A nine-channel transmit/receive array for spine imaging at 10.5 T: Introduction to a nonuniform dielectric substrate antenna
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Purpose: The purpose of this study is to introduce a new antenna element with improved transmit performance, named the nonuniform dielectric substrate (NODES) antenna, for building transmit arrays at ultrahigh-field.

Methods: We optimized a dipole antenna at 10.5 Tesla by maximizing the $B_1^+$-SAR efficiency in a phantom for a human spine target. The optimization parameters included permittivity variation in the substrate, substrate thickness, antenna length, and conductor geometry. We conducted electromagnetic simulations as well as phantom experiments to compare the transmit/receive performance of the proposed NODES antenna design with existing coil elements from the literature.

Results: Single NODES element showed up to 18% and 30% higher $B_1^+$-SAR efficiency than the fractionated dipole and loop elements, respectively. The new element is substantially shorter than a commonly used dipole, which enables z-stacked array formation; it is additionally capable of providing a relatively uniform current distribution along its conductors. The nine-channel transmit/receive NODES array achieved 7.5% higher $B_1^+$ homogeneity than a loop array with the same number of elements. Excitation with the NODES array resulted in 33% lower peak 10g-averaged SAR and required 34% lower input power than the loop array for the target anatomy of the spine.

Conclusion: In this study, we introduced a new RF coil element: the NODES antenna. NODES antenna outperformed the widely used loop and dipole elements and may provide improved transmit/receive performance for future ultrahigh field MRI applications.
1 | INTRODUCTION

Various advantages of ultrahigh-field (B₀ ≥ 7 Tesla [T]) MRI, including an increase in SNR¹⁻⁶ and enhancement in susceptibility contrast (e.g., Refs. 7–9), are drawing significant attention in many clinical and research applications such as anatomical imaging (e.g., Refs. [8–14]) and functional MRI studies.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Despite the benefits of ultrahigh-field MRI, a higher magnetic field (B₀) requires using RF excitations with shorter electromagnetic (EM) wavelengths and results in highly variant excitation profiles and, consequently, degradation in overall image quality. On the other hand, constructive interference of the RF electric field can cause high local specific absorption rate (SAR) levels, which is the predominant safety concern at ultrahigh-field MRI. Transmit arrays (TxArrays) tackle both these issues (e.g., Refs. 18–24) by providing flexibility on RF magnetic and electric fields. Still, arranging elements of a TxArray in both the transverse and longitudinal directions, which is critical for mitigating the excitation inhomogeneity in some applications (e.g., spine imaging²⁵⁻²⁹), faces some technical difficulties due to the physical size of an RF coil’s elements as well as EM interactions (i.e., coupling) between them.²⁹

In the literature, different types of coil elements are proposed, including the transmission line,¹⁸,³⁰⁻³³ loop coil,²⁵⁻²⁷,³⁴⁻³⁶ and dipole-like structures³,³⁷⁻⁴³ which are used to compose TxArrays for ultrahigh-field MRI applications. For example, Adriany et al.₄⁴ designed and built a single-row 16-channel transmission line array for head imaging at 7 T. They employed capacitive decoupling for the nearest neighbors and exploited the advantage of a shield for decoupling the next-nearest neighbors. Later, Shahjan et al.₃⁵ used inductive decoupling for the nearest neighbors and stacked loop elements in both transverse and longitudinal directions to design a dual-row 16-channel TxArray for 9.4 T head imaging. Nevertheless, a few experimental and numerical studies demonstrated that dipole elements could be advantageous over loop and transmission line elements. For example, a numerical study by Lattanzi et al.₄⁵ suggested that the ideal current distribution at higher field strengths tends to be similar to that of electrical dipoles. In a different study³⁸ the single-side adapted dipole antenna outperformed the loop and stripe line elements in terms of B¹⁺-power and B¹⁺-SAR efficiency in some applications (e.g., organ of interest is in-depth) at 7 T. Another study demonstrated that the dipoles can be inherently decoupled by placing them at a proper distance from each other without using further decoupling methods,³ which is an advantage over conventional loop designs.

Raaijmakers et al.²⁹ introduced the fractionated dipole—an inductively shortened dipole (~30 cm)—without sacrificing its transmit performance, for body imaging at 7 T. Later, several studies were performed to improve the B¹⁺-SAR efficiency of the dipole by altering its geometry (e.g., snake antenna⁴⁰,⁴⁶), spatial positioning⁴¹,⁴² and resonant nature.⁴⁸ Duan et al.²⁹ used 2 dipoles (i.e., arranged in transversal direction), along with 4 loops (arranged in z-direction), as transmitters for spine imaging at 7 T. The dipoles were not stacked in z-direction due to their length (~25 cm). Ertürk et al. combined this structure with loops for 7 T body imaging,⁴⁹ re-designed the fractionated dipole to have a physical length of ~20 cm, and arranged a 10-channel single-row TxArray for 10.5 T torso imaging.³ It is also shown that adding high-permittivity pads underneath the RF coil can increase its transmit and receive performances.⁵₀⁻⁵² Ozerdem et al.³⁷ proposed to use a short bowtie antenna (~15 cm) immersed into a high-permittivity material (deionized D₂O) and designed a dual-row 16-channel TxArray for cardiac imaging at 7 T. Bowtie antenna had a shorter length compared to other dipole antennas previously proposed for MRI; therefore, it allowed distributing Tx elements in the longitudinal direction. Its conductor configuration increased power transmission stability with respect to different loading conditions. However, its impact on B¹⁺-power and B¹⁺-SAR efficiencies was not investigated. In addition, the strategy of using D₂O to shorten the effective length of the antenna introduced other potential problems, including increased weight per element and pronounced EM losses at higher frequencies (i.e., > 400 MHz).

In this study, we propose a new dipole-like antenna utilizing nonuniform dielectric substrate (NODES) placed underneath the element. This modification enables us to effectively decrease the length of a commonly used dipole while increasing the uniformity of the current distribution on the dipole and reducing local SAR. Due to its short length, NODES antennas can be stacked around the body longitudinally as well as circumferentially to cover large anatomies of interest.

In order to design the NODES antenna, we optimized the geometry of a dipole-like element at 10.5 T using EM simulations. The optimization parameters included permittivity variation of the substrate, substrate thickness, antenna length, and conductor geometry. We evaluated the B¹⁺-power efficiency and B¹⁺-SAR efficiency of the proposed...
design and compared it to the fractionated dipole and loop in EM simulations. We also conducted phantom imaging experiments at 10.5 T and compared the power efficiencies and 10g-averaged SAR distributions of the same elements, thereby validating our EM simulations.

In addition, we compared transmit/receive (Tx/Rx) arrays consisting of NODES and loop elements for spinal cord imaging, evaluating power requirements and peak local SAR performance. Finally, we acquired human cadaver images using the proposed NODES array at 10.5 T and demonstrated its imaging performance.

2 | THEORY

Decreasing the length of a dipole forces the current to rapidly decrease toward the ends (i.e., current vanishes at two ends of the dipole due to the high impedances at these points). To analyze the consequences of this phenomenon, we assume the dipole in Figure 1A as a perfect electric conductor in the vicinity of a lossy medium (e.g., human body) and investigate the following Maxwell’s equation and boundary conditions:

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = (j \omega \varepsilon + \sigma) \mathbf{E} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\hat{a}_n \cdot (\mathbf{\mu} \mathbf{H}) = 0 \tag{2}
\]

\[
\hat{a}_n \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}_s \tag{3}
\]

where \(\hat{a}_n\) is the unit vector normal to the perfect electric conductor boundary, \(\mathbf{H}\) is the magnetic field intensity, and \(\mathbf{J}_s\) is the surface current density. For the configuration in Figure 1A, Equation 2 leads to

\[
\hat{a}_x \cdot (H_x \hat{a}_x + H_y \hat{a}_y + H_z \hat{a}_z) = 0 \rightarrow H_x = 0 \tag{4}
\]

Elaborating Equation 3 and substituting the corresponding value from Equation 4 result in the following,

\[
\hat{a}_x \times (0 \hat{a}_x + H_y \hat{a}_y + H_z \hat{a}_z) = J_0 \hat{a}_z \rightarrow H_y = J_0, \quad H_z = 0 \tag{5}
\]

The \(\mathbf{E}\) field can be obtained by substituting the \(\mathbf{H}\) field from Equation 5 into Equation 1 as follows:

\[
\mathbf{E} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{H}) = \frac{\nabla \times (J_0 \hat{a}_y)}{j \omega \varepsilon + \sigma} \rightarrow \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial J_0}{\partial z} \hat{a}_x \hat{a}_y \tag{6}
\]

![Figure 1](image-url)  
Figure 1: Adding high-permittivity blocks to a short dipole. (A) Configuration used to solve Equations 1 through 3. (B) Simulation setups corresponding to a short dipole with and without the high-permittivity blocks. (C) Distributions of the surface current density on the two dipoles, and (D) \(B_z^*\) on z-directed reference line, 5 mm away from surface of the phantom and 15 mm away from the dipole, generated by a unit current distribution. PEC, perfect electric conductor.
Therefore, based on Maxwell’s equations, when the current rapidly changes along the conductor (z-direction), the transverse electric field and therefore SAR in the tissue are elevated as a result.

Placing high-permittivity blocks at two ends of the dipole can potentially increase the effective capacitance between these points and the body. As a result, the corresponding impedance can be decreased. This effect, in turn, increases the uniformity of the current on the dipole and reduces the electric field. Also, the $B_1^+$ generated by this current becomes considerably more uniform in the z-direction. This concept is demonstrated as an example in Figure 1B–D using EM simulations. For this purpose, a finite element method-based frequency domain EM simulator (HFSS, Ansys, Canonsburg, PA) is used to simulate two short dipoles (10 cm) in close proximity (1 cm apart) of a lossy phantom ($\varepsilon_r = 78$, $\sigma = 66$ S/m) at 447 MHz (i.e., the Larmor frequency at 10.5 T): one with the high-permittivity blocks ($\varepsilon_r = 100$) and the other without the blocks (Figure 1B). Both dipoles are excited using unit current sources at the middle. The surface current densities corresponding to the 2 dipoles are shown in Figure 1C. Figure 1D shows the $B_1^+$ fields of the dipoles along a z-directed line, 5 mm away from surface of the phantom (dashed line in Figure 1B).

In addition, it has been previously shown that placing a high-permittivity material underneath the entire transmitter element can increase the magnetic field’s penetration depth.\(^{50,51,56}\)

To balance these potential benefits, we numerically optimized several design parameters.

### 3 | METHODS

#### 3.1 | Numerical optimization of the NODES antenna’s parameters

To determine the optimum design parameters, a relatively deep-body target (e.g., spine imaging) is defined. The dipole is optimized by considering six design parameters, including conductor length ($l$), conductor width at two endpoints ($w$), distance or height between the conductor and sample ($h$), and dielectric constants of the segmented substrate ($\varepsilon_{r1}$, $\varepsilon_{r2}$, $\varepsilon_{r3}$). We set the following optimization goal to maximize the $B_1^+$-SAR efficiency at depths of 50 to 100 mm from the posterior surface of the body.

$$\max_{l,w,h,\varepsilon_{r1},\varepsilon_{r2},\varepsilon_{r3}} \{ \xi (r;l,w,h,\varepsilon_{r1},\varepsilon_{r2},\varepsilon_{r3}) \text{ at depth of 50 to 100mm} \}$$

where $\xi(r)$ represents the $B_1^+$-SAR efficiency at the position $r$ and is defined as

$$\xi(r) = \frac{B_1^+(r)}{\sqrt{pSAR_{10g}}}$$

where $pSAR_{10g}$ is the peak local 10g-averaged SAR over the entire load.

A commercial EM simulator (HFSS) was used to simulate coil elements. We performed an exhaustive search over the results obtained from fast, coarsely meshed EM simulations (i.e., $2 \times 10^4$ tetrahedrons implemented by the EM solver using an iterative mesh refinement approach with $10^{-2}$ DS stop criterion). As a result of the optimization, a NODES antenna was designed. Figure 2A shows the structure we used for the optimization purpose as well as the ranges for each parameter. A cubic phantom with relative permittivity of 78.3 and conductivity of 0.66 S/m was used to mimic the human body. Figure 2B shows the optimum values of the investigated parameters (i.e., $l$, $w$, $h$, $\varepsilon_{r1}$, $\varepsilon_{r2}$, $\varepsilon_{r3}$).

For the experimental setup, we employed a high-dielectric constant block made of TiO$_2$ ($\varepsilon_r = 100$, $\sigma = 1.1$ mS/m), designed and manufactured in the Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics at the Pennsylvania State University (University Park, PA), to construct the NODES antenna. 30 mm-thick housing of the NODES antenna was 3D-printed using polylactic acid (PLA) material with $\varepsilon_r=2.8$, $\sigma = 0.1$ mS/m. Eventually, the element shown in Figure 2C with 420g weight was built, and the simulations were validated experimentally.

Comparing the optimum values (see Figure 2B) to the values used to build the experimental setup (see Figure 2C), apparent discrepancies exist in permittivity values (i.e., $\varepsilon_{r1}$, $\varepsilon_{r2}$, $\varepsilon_{r3}$) due to some practical concerns (e.g., availability of the material with the exact permittivity value). To ensure that such deviations from the optimum values do not harm the overall performance of the NODES antenna, we perturbed $\varepsilon_{r1}$, $\varepsilon_{r2}$ and $\varepsilon_{r3}$ as pairs around the optimum point (see Figure 3) and evaluated the SAR performance. In other words, in each case (Figure 3A–C) $l$, $w$, $h$, and one of the $\varepsilon_r$s were kept as their optimum values, and then two other $\varepsilon_r$s were swept within the search interval. The plots in Figure 3 show the alteration of $B_1^+$-SAR efficiency with $\varepsilon_r$s normalized to its optimal value. In each plot, the blue circle indicates the optimum point and the yellow square points to the experimentally used values. Consequently, deviating from the optimal NODES antenna leads to only a 3% reduction in the $B_1^+$-SAR efficiency.

#### 3.2 | Single-element comparison

A single-element comparison was performed between the NODES antenna, fractionated dipole (FD),\(^3\) and loop coil,\(^{54}\) as shown in Figure 4A. For this purpose, a
torso-sized elliptical body phantom (450 × 180 × 290 mm³) filled with hydroxyethyl cellulose and 2.9 g/L NaCl with electrical properties of εᵣ = 78 and σ = 0.66 S/m was used. The fractionated dipole and loop coil consisted of conductors on an FR4 PCB mounted on a polyethylene terephthalate glycol (PETG) block with a thickness of 20 mm and 10 mm, respectively. Two series hand-wound inductors along with a parallel variable capacitor were used for tuning and matching the NODES element. To match the FD and loop elements, first-order lattice balun networks were utilized. In addition, the loop element was segmented using eight identical fix capacitors to mitigate current nonuniformity along the conductor. All three elements were matched to 50 Ω with a reflection coefficient of less than −15 dB.

To validate the numerical results, the same 3 elements in Figure 4A (except the FD was mounted on a 10 mm-thick block) were used, and B₁⁺-power efficiency (B₁⁺/Pᵢₒ; Pᵢₒ, input power) maps were acquired numerically and experimentally on both axial and sagittal planes. Furthermore, a setup (see Figure 4B) consists of a NODESₚₐₓ, and an FD placed on the elliptical body phantom was utilized to map the 10g-averaged SAR distributions numerically and experimentally on an axial plane.

All computations and numerical simulations were performed using a commercial EM simulator (HFSS) on a workstation with two quad-core Intel(R) processors with a 3.4 GHz clock rate and 128 GB RAM.

Experimental studies with the above setup were conducted in a whole-body 10.5 T Magnet (Agilent Technologies, Oxford, UK) and associated imaging system (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). The scanner is equipped with a 16-channel parallel transmit system with each channel driven by a 2-kW RF power amplifier (Stolberg HF-Technik AG, Stolberg, Germany). Transmit B₁⁺ maps were acquired using the actual flip-angle imaging technique. Temperature mapping was performed using MR thermometry based on the proton resonance offset method with a 3D multi-echo gradient-echo sequence. 10 g-averaged SAR values were calculated by finding the slope of the initial part of the heating curve and multiplying with the heat capacity of the hydroxyethyl cellulose gel (4386 J/kg/°C).

To show the improved local SAR performance of the NODES antenna compared to the FD and the loop coil, the peak 10 g-SAR efficiencies of the three structures were numerically computed using EM simulations of the validated models. Furthermore, we slightly modified the NODES antenna by reducing patient–coil separation (20 mm) to enhance its reception performance.

3.3 | TxArray comparison

Nine-channel spine arrays consisting of NODES antennas and loops were compared through EM simulations (Figure 5). For both arrays, elements were conformed to the posterior surface of a realistic human body model with 2 × 2 × 2 mm³ voxel size (Duke, ITIS Foundation, Zurich, Switzerland). The NODES array consisted of six NODES antennas with the 30 mm-thick block (i.e., NODESₚₐₓ, optimized for local SAR efficiency) and three NODES antenna with the 20 mm-thick block (i.e., NODESₚₐₓ, improved SNR performance). The loop array consisted of the loop elements shown in Figure 4A. For both arrays, all elements served as transceiver elements.
An infinite integration technique-based time-domain solver of an EM simulator, CST Studio Suite 2019 (CST, Darmstadt, Germany), was used for the simulations. Employing the EM field solutions from the two arrays (Figure 5), we performed phase-only RF shimming to achieve maximum excitation homogeneity for an average $B_1^+$ of 1 μT over the spine. Then, the coefficient of variation of the resulting $B_1^+$ distribution, average $B_1^+$ value, and $p\text{SAR}_{10g}$ were calculated.
The nine-channel NODES antenna array, shown in Figure 5C, was built to be used for spine imaging at 10.5 T. All elements were matched to better than −9.5 dB, and the highest coupling between the elements was −12.5 dB. Two floating current traps were used on each coaxial cable connected to feed points to prevent the unbalanced current flow on the outer conductors.

### 3.4 | Human cadaver spine imaging

Human cadaver images were collected following guidelines from Anatomy Bequest Program review committee. We performed phase-only RF shimming\(^\text{19}\) with the goal of maximum \(B_1^+\) homogeneity over the spine (defined by user-drawn region of interest [ROI]) in both the simulation environment and the cadaver experiment.

We acquired sagittal images using the FLASH pulse sequence with flip-angle = 20°, TR/TE = 168 ms/3.69 ms, in-plane resolution = 0.5 mm, slice thickness = 2 mm, acquisition matrix = 576 × 432, no. of averages = 2, and pixel bandwidth = 212 Hz/pixel. In addition, \(T_2^+\)-weighted axial images were acquired using a multi-echo data image combination (MEDIC) pulse sequence with flip-angle = 30°, echo train length = 4, TR/TE = 500 ms/19 ms, in-plane resolution = 0.24 mm, slice thickness = 2 mm, acquisition matrix = 640 × 640, no. of averages = 4, and pixel bandwidth = 244 Hz/pixel. We also acquired turbo spin-echo images with...
refocusing flip-angle = 120°, TR/TE = 5000 ms/56 ms, in-plane resolution = 0.5 mm, slice thickness = 2 mm, acquisition matrix = 640 × 480, turbo spin-echo factor 9, no. of averages = 1, and pixel bandwidth = 313 Hz/pixel.

4 | RESULTS

4.1 | Single-element comparison

Figure 6A,B show the $B_1^+$-power efficiency of the three Tx elements (i.e., NODES$_{Tx}$ antenna, FD, and loop coil) on axial and sagittal planes. Figure 6A represents the axial view of the numerical and experimental results, whereas Figure 6B corresponds to the sagittal view of the $B_1^+$-power efficiency maps. In addition, numerically simulated and experimentally measured 10g-averaged SAR maps are given in Figure 6C. A good agreement between the simulation and experimental results is achieved.

Figure 7A–C show the axial $B_1^+$-SAR efficiency maps of the three Tx elements obtained using the EM simulations. Comparing the SAR performance of these elements with increasing distance, Figure 7D demonstrates the corresponding $B_1^+$-SAR efficiencies plotted over the
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dashed lines shown in Figure 7A–C Improvement in $B^+_{1\text{SAR}}$ efficiency of the NODES$_{\text{TX}}$ antenna with respect to the fractionated dipole and loop coil is shown in Figure 7E. According to Figure 7D,E, the NODES$_{\text{TX}}$ antenna outperforms both the fractionated dipole and loop coil up to 18% and 30%, respectively, at the depth range between 50 and 100 mm (i.e., the depth of interest in the optimization problem). Similarly, the three elements’ reception performance is compared through their intrinsic SNR plots and presented in the Supporting Information Figure S1.

4.2 | TxArray comparison

Performing phase-only shimming over a fraction of the lumbar and thoracic spine (see Figure 8C,F) as the ROI, Figure 8A,B and D,E show the $B^+_{1\text{SAR}}$-maps obtained with the two arrays on an axial and a sagittal plane, respectively. The coefficient of variation of $B^+_{1\text{SAR}}$ distribution in the ROI achieved by the NODES and loop arrays were 0.37 and 0.4, respectively. The improvement in the excitation homogeneity by the NODES array was also accompanied by 33% lower pSAR$_{10g}$ compared to the loop array for a given average $B^+_{1\text{SAR}}$ value (1 $\mu$T) in the ROI. Note that the pSAR$_{10g}$ corresponding to the phase-only shimming solutions has occurred at the surface of the human body model for both arrays as shown in Figure 8G,H. On the other hand, comparing the two arrays in terms of power efficiency, the NODES array generated same average $B^+_{1\text{SAR}}$ value (1 $\mu$T) in the ROI using 34% lower input power ($P_{in}$). Evaluating the reception performance of the two arrays, Supporting Information Figure S2 shows the axial and sagittal maps of the relative SNR over the ROI, which were obtained using the sum-of-square technique.

4.3 | Human cadaver spine imaging

We acquired MR images from a human cadaver at 10.5 T using the NODES antenna spine array. We focused on
FIGURE 8  Comparison of the transmit performance between the nine-channel Tx/Rx loop and NODES spine arrays. A phase-only shimming was performed over a fraction of the lumbar and thoracic spine. CoV, coefficient of variation

FIGURE 9  Consecutive sagittal cadaver spine images at 10.5 T acquired using the FLASH pulse sequence with FA = 20°, TR/TE = 168 ms/3.69 ms, matrix = 576 × 432, in-plane resolution = 0.5 mm, slice thickness = 2 mm, no. of averages = 2, and pixel bandwidth = 212 Hz/pixel. FA, flip-angle; T, Tesla
two basic pulse sequences for these preliminary studies, namely, FLASH and $T_2^*$-weighted multi-echo data image combination. Figure 9 shows the sagittal view of consecutive cadaver spine images at 10.5 T acquired using the FLASH pulse sequence. Figure 10 shows an axial view of the $T_2^*$-weighted cadaver spine image at 10.5 T acquired using the multi-echo data image combination pulse sequence. Phase-only shimming was performed to maximize the excitation homogeneity in the spine.

Figure 9 shows the sagittal FLASH images, which provided a good tissue contrast between CSF and the spinal cord. Phase-only shimming provided a uniform image intensity over the entire thoracic and lumbar spinal cord. Figure 10 shows an axial multi-echo data image combination image acquired at the lumbar spine, which reveals the motor nerve pathway in the spinal cord, including the ventral and dorsal horns. A sagittal view of eight consecutive turbo spin-echo images is presented in Supporting Information Figure S8.

5 | DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we introduced the NODES antenna, a short dipole with improved SAR performance mounted on a block with a nonuniform dielectric constant. The design of the NODES antenna enables us to stack the coil elements around the body longitudinally as well as circumferentially in order to cover large anatomies of interest. To prove the concept, we constructed a NODES antenna and compared its transmission performance with the fractionated dipole and loop coil at 10.5 T, numerically and experimentally. Results show that $B_1^+$-SAR efficiency can be significantly improved. We built a nine-channel Tx/Rx NODES array and acquired cadaver spine MR images at 10.5 T.

Although the impact of placing high-permittivity material blocks between the RF coil and imaging object on Tx efficiency, $B_1$ inhomogeneity, SAR, and SNR performance has been previously investigated by optimizing the block’s thickness as well as its permittivity value, the idea of altering the permittivity within the high-permittivity material block has not been studied. In this work, we considered the permittivity values of a nonuniform block as design parameters.

We performed the optimization process over the predefined parameters with the goal of maximum SAR efficiency in deep-body imaging. Consequently, we used the determined values for both Tx and Rx elements. However, they are not necessarily the optimal values for the reception elements. Investigating the optimum Rx elements is beyond the scope of this work and can be considered in future studies as we have partially investigated in Ref. [61] for 7 T cardiac imaging. The optimum NODES$_{Rx}$ for 7 T cardiac imaging is shown in Supporting Information Figure S3 and is compared to the FD and loop elements used in the combined loop-dipole block. The results of this comparison in terms of transmission and reception...
performance in both single-element and TxArray regimes are presented in Supporting Information Figures S3–S7.

In this study, both NODES$_{Rx}$ and NODES$_{Tx}$ elements were used as transceiver elements in spite of their names, which are chosen to discriminate between two elements. In fact, the NODES$_{Rx}$ elements have the same design parameters as the NODES$_{Tx}$ elements except for the block thickness ($h$). We decreased the distance between the conductor and sample in NODES$_{Rx}$ elements to enhance the SNR. As a result of this modification, single-element NODES$_{Rx}$ achieves up to 35% and 36% higher SNR in the ROI than single FD and loop elements, respectively (see Supporting Information Figure S1). Also, the array of NODES antennas promises 45% and 4% improvement in average and peak SNR values, respectively, compared to the array of loops (see Supporting Information Figure S2). Furthermore, evaluation of the pSAR$_{10g}$ performance of the nine-channel NODES array shows that NODES$_{Rx}$ elements, with a closer conductor to the body, do not significantly impact the peak local SAR (see Figure 8H).

The NODES antenna built in this study is a prototype, proof-of-concept study. Therefore for ease of fabrication due to the availability of the high-permittivity material blocks, the exact outcomes of the optimization problem were not utilized to construct the high-dielectric materials.

Similar to other types of a dipole, the NODES element’s matching is highly sensitive to the antenna-body separation. On the other hand, the idea of placing high-permittivity blocks at two ends of the NODES element is based on increasing the capacitance between the antenna’s conductor and tissue; thus, introducing an air gap between the antenna and the body can significantly lower its performance. These matters make the NODES element a better candidate for surface arrays (i.e., mostly body applications) rather than volume arrays.

Despite the single-element comparison of the NODES antenna with both fractionated dipole and loop, we excluded the fractionated dipole from the numerical comparison between the Tx/Rx arrays. The main reason for this exclusion is the relatively large size of the FD (~20 cm) in z-direction, which unfit the FD elements for a three-row array in the limited range of the human spine.

In this study, a short and novel Tx/Rx dipole-like element, a NODES antenna, with significantly improved SAR performance is introduced as a candidate for designing a highly dense Tx/Rx array for the MR imaging at 10.5 T. To prove the concept, a nine-channel Tx/Rx NODES array was constructed and utilized for the cadaver spine imaging at 10.5 T. In the next step, we will validate the numerical model for the nine-channel NODES array to obtain US Food and Drug Administration approval for in vivo human studies. The future studies will focus on designing and building denser array coils for in vivo human head and spine MR imaging.
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**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher’s website.

**FIGURE S1** ISNR comparison. Axial relative ISNR map of (A) NODESrx antenna, (B) fractionated dipole, and (C) loop coil. (D) Relative ISNR of the three structures in-depth. (E) Improvement of ISNR in-depth achieved by the NODESrx compared to the fractionated dipole and loop coil.

**FIGURE S2** Comparison of the reception performance between the nine-channel Tx/Rx loop and NODES spine arrays.

**FIGURE S3** The EM simulation models of the (A) fractionated dipole, (B) loop, and (C) NODES antenna optimized for SNR. A rectangular $200 \times 200 \times 400 \text{mm}^2$ uniform phantom with $\varepsilon_r = 78.3$ and $\sigma = 0.66 \text{S/m}$ was used to mimic the human body electrical properties.

**FIGURE S4** Coronal and sagittal views from the formation of 16-channel 1D loop-dipole and 2D NODESrx over the anterior and posterior sides of a realistic human body model in the EM simulation environment. These EM simulations were used for evaluation of the transmit and receive performances of the three arrays in cardiac imaging at 7T.

**FIGURE S5** Comparison of Transmit and receive performances of the single elements shown in Supporting Information Figure S2. SAR efficiency maps on an axial plane passing through the middle of the (A) fractionated dipole, (B) loop, and (C) NODES rx. Relative ISNR maps on an axial plane passing through the middle of the (D) fractionated dipole, (E) loop, and (F) NODES rx. (G) The SAR efficiency of the single elements over the dashed line shown in Supporting Information Figure S3B-C. (H) The relative ISNR of the single elements over the dashed line shown in Supporting Information Figure SSD-F. The depth of interest, where the optimizations have been performed, is shown by the vertical lines. The average SAR efficiency and ISNR in the depth of interest are given for all elements.

**FIGURE S6** Transmit performance of the two arrays. First row: An axial view of $B_1^+$-maps obtained by 3D phase-only shimming over the heart with maximum homogeneity constraint. Second row: A coronal view of the $B_1^+$-maps obtained by the same shimming solution. Third row: Anterior and posterior views of the 10g-averaged SAR caused by the same shimming solution. The input power level was adjusted to achieve the average $1\mu T B_1^+$ over the heart.
**FIGURE S7** Relative SNR comparison between the two arrays

**FIGURE S8** Consecutive sagittal cadaver spine images at 10.5 T acquired using the TSE pulse sequence with refocusing FA = 120°, TR/TE = 5000 ms/56 ms, in-plane resolution = 0.5 mm, slice thickness = 2 mm, acquisition matrix = 640 x 480, TSE-factor 9, no. of averages = 1, and pixel bandwidth = 313 Hz/pixel