Red Emitting, Cucurbituril-Capped, pH-Responsive Conjugated Oligomer-Based Nanoparticles for Drug Delivery and Cellular Imaging
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ABSTRACT: Here we report the synthesis of nanoparticles based on a conjugated oligomer which is synthesized through Heck-coupling of divinylfluorene and dibromobenzothiazole monomers. These water dispersible nanoparticles emit in the region of red tailing to the near-infrared region of the spectrum with high fluorescent quantum yield and brightness. The nanoparticles were found to be stable in water for a prolonged time without forming any aggregates and could carry camptothecin, an anticancer drug with high loading efficiency. MTT cell viability studies performed with breast cancer cell lines showed that half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) values of nanoparticles for MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 were 44.7 μM and 24.8 μM, respectively. In order to further decrease the cytotoxicity and increase the stability of nanoparticles, amine groups were disguised by capping with cucurbit[7]uril (CB7). Drug release studies showed that drugs were released at low pH (at 5.0) faster than physiological pH (7.4) confirming the pH-responsive nature of the nanoparticles. On the other hand, CB7-capped drug-loaded nanoparticles regulated the release rate by providing slower release at pH 7.4 than the nanoparticles in the absence of CB7s. IC50 values for camptothecin in the presence of nanoparticles with or without CB7 were significantly reduced in MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells.

INTRODUCTION

Conjugated polymer nanoparticles (CPNs) are highly appealing for various advanced applications such as in vivo imaging, cell labeling, and delivery of therapeutic agents, as well as nanophotonics, owing to their high quantum yields and molar absorptivity, tunable properties, easy functionalization, photostability, and so forth.1–7 To date, the use of CPNs has been demonstrated successfully in cell imaging, oxygen sensing, drug delivery, and nucleic acid delivery.8–16

When these nanostructures are judiciously designed, they can be utilized in theranostic applications by combining more than one functionality to deliver therapeutic and imaging agents.17–21 For the controlled delivery of therapeutic agents to the targets the nanoparticles could also include responsive groups that will respond to stimuli such as pH, oxidation–reduction, and enzymes. However, in the literature, examples are scarce regarding the multifunctional conjugated polymer nanoparticles (CPNs) and even less with conjugated oligomer-based nanoparticles (CONs).22,23 Recently, Schenning et al. compared the capabilities of conjugated polymer nanoparticles to self-assembled oligomer-based nanoparticles in terms of their fluorescent quantum yields, stabilities, molar absorptivity, guest-holding, and releasing.24 They demonstrated that oligomer nanoparticles have higher fluorescent quantum yields and comparable stabilities and molar absorptivity, but they release the guest faster than the conjugated polymer nanoparticles. Thus, this feature should be considered for the further design of oligomer-based nanoparticles for theranostic applications. CONs also offer some useful additional features compared to CPNs such as having well-defined molecular weight and easier metabolism and excretion from body after delivery due to their smaller size compared to large polymeric species.

In this context, here we developed a strategy to utilize conjugated oligomer-based nanoparticles in controlled drug delivery and cellular imaging by designing and synthesizing nanoparticles which are pH-sensitive, emitting in the region of red tailing to infrared. Most importantly, the cytotoxicity of the nanoparticles could be reduced and the drug release rate could be regulated by capping the nanoparticles with cucurbituril as demonstrated in the selected breast cancer cell lines. Cucurbituril...
(CB) is a rigid macrocycle which is constructed from an acid catalyzed condensation of glycuril with formaldehyde.25–28 CB homologues can vary as CB5, CB6, CB7, CB8, and CB10 depending on the number of glycuril units which they contain. Among the CB homologues, CB5 and CB7 have good solubility in water. CB homologues have a hydrophobic cavity and two identical hydrophilic portals; owing to these features, they have high affinity toward ammonium and metal ions and form host–guest complexes with suitable organic molecules mainly through ion–dipole and hydrophobic interactions. CB homologues have been used extensively in the construction of supramolecular structures and more recently as vehicles for drug delivery due to their nontoxic nature.29–31

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials.** All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. and were used as received. Morphological characterization was achieved by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI Tecnai G2 F30) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The size of the nanoparticles was measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS, Zetasizer Nano-ZS). Measurements were carried out at 633 nm and the laser, as the light source, was used at room temperature. The time-dependent autocorrelation function of the scattered light intensity was measured at an angle of 90°. The average particle diameter was calculated by the Marquardt method. The DLS measurements were usually repeated at least three times and the average values are reported.

For the optical characterization, a UV–vis spectrophotometer (Cary UV–vis) and a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Cary Eclipse Fluorescent spectrophotometer) equipped with a xenon lamp as the excitation source were used. For the structural characterization, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR, a Bruker Avance III 400 for 1H and a 100 MHz spectrometer for 13C) and FT-IR (Bruker Tensor 27) spectra were obtained. Mass spectra were obtained under high resolution (HRMS, Agilent, Model: 7890A/5975C) and elemental analysis was carried out by a CHNS/O elemental analyzer (Thermo Scientific, Model: Flash 2000). Deionized water was used to prepare the nanoparticles.

**Synthesis of 9,9-Bis(bromopropyl)-2-vinyl-9h-fluorene, M2.** 2-Bromo-9,9-bis(bromopropyl)-9H-fluorene, M1, 34 (700 mg, 1.43 mmol) and 2,6-diteriary butyl phenol (4.54 mg, 0.022 mmol) were placed in a 2-necked RB flask and dried under vacuum for 30 min. Degas the toluene (20 mL) was added to it, followed by tributyl vinyl tin (1.72 mmol, 544 mg, 0.6 mL), and the mixture was stirred for a while to dissolve the contents completely. An aqueous solution of Na2S2O3 (5.5 mmol, 756 mg in 10 mL H2O) was added to the reaction mixture was heated to 90 °C for 30 min. Then, degassed DMF (10 mL) was added to it and stirred for a while to dissolve the contents completely. A catalytic amount of Pd(OAc)2 (25.3 mg, 0.113 mmol) and Pd(PPh3)4 (26 mg, 0.023 mmol) were added to it and the reaction mixture was heated to 90 °C for 24 h while stirring under N2. After completion of the reaction, the solvent was evaporated and the resulting residue was washed with cyclohexane to get a brown-colored sticky solid which was further dissolved in THF and precipitated into cold MeOH to yield a brownish sticky solid. Yield: 621 mg; 80%. IR (solid state, KBr) ν (cm−1): 3100, 3000, 2850, 1650, 1470, 1240. 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz, 25 °C) δ ppm: 0.90 (m, 8H, CH2), 2.01 (m, 40H, −CH2−), 7.70 (d, J = 7 Hz, 4H, −CH=CH−), 7.41 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.70 (m, 10H, Ar-H). 13C (CDCl3,100 MHz, 25 °C) ppm: 24.0, 30.5, 37.5, 43.0, 45.3, 54.4, 59.8, 112.9, 120.4, 140.8, 149.7, 151.0. IR (solid state, KBr), ν (cm−1): 3100, 1470, 1300, 2800, 1640, 1240. Elemental analysis: calcd.: C, 78.45; H, 8.00; N, 7.55. Found: C, 78.91; H, 9.53; N, 7.36.

**Synthesis of Oligomer, 3,3′,3′′-((1E,1′E)-2,1,3-benzothia-diazole-4,7-diylbis(ethene-2,1-diyl))-bis(9H-fluorene-9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9-triyl)-tetrakis(N,N-dimethylpropan-1-amine).** 4,7-Dibromo-2,1,3-benzothia-diazole (322 mg, 1.10 mmol) and 3,3′-((2-vinyl-9H-fluorene-9,9,9-diyl)-bis(N,N-dimethylpropan-1-amine), M3, (786 mg, 2.25 mmol) were placed in a 2-necked RB and dried under vacuum for 30 min. Then, degassed DMF (10 mL) was added to it and stirred for a while to dissolve the contents completely. A catalytic amount of Pd(OAc)2 (25.3 mg, 0.113 mmol) and Pd(PPh3)4 (26 mg, 0.023 mmol) were added to it and the reaction mixture was heated to 90 °C for 24 h while stirring under N2. After completion of the reaction, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product was extracted by chloroform. Then, the mixture was evaporated and the resulting residue was washed with cyclohexane to get a brown-colored sticky solid which was further dissolved in THF and precipitated into cold MeOH to yield a brownish sticky solid. Yield: 621 mg; 80%. IR (solid state, KBr) ν (cm−1): 3100, 3000, 2850, 1650, 1470, 1240. 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz, 25 °C) δ ppm: 0.90 (m, 8H, CH2), 2.01 (m, 40H, −CH2−), 7.70 (d, J = 7 Hz, 4H, −CH=CH−), 7.41 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.70 (m, 10H, Ar-H). 13C (CDCl3,100 MHz, 25 °C) ppm: 20.5, 30.5, 37.5, 43.0, 45.3, 54.4, 59.8, 112.9, 120.4, 140.8, 149.7, 151.0. IR (solid state, KBr) ν (cm−1): 3100, 3000, 2850, 1650, 1240. Elemental analysis: calcd.: C, 78.45; H, 8.00; N, 7.55. Found: C, 78.49; H, 8.21; N, 9.99. Mass (m/z) M+ = 857.51, 858.52, 859.53, 860.53, 861.53.

**Nanoparticle Preparation.** For preparation of nanoparticles of oligomer, a stock solution of oligomer in THF (10 mg/mL) was prepared before dilution with THF to get different concentrations. One milliliter of oligomer solution was injected into 20 mL of deionized water under sonication. After the nanoparticle preparation THF was removed from the dispersion by rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. Then the nanoparticles were characterized by zeta sizer, SEM, and TEM.

**CB7-Capped Nanoparticles.** To prepare CB7-capped NPs, we mixed the aqueous solution of CB7 (by taking into consideration the number of amine groups on the oligomer) with preprepared NPs. The mixture was stirred gently and dialyzed using a 14 kDa MWCO regenerated cellulose membrane for about 5 h against water to remove uncomplexed CB7.

**Synthesis of Drug Loaded Nanoparticles.** In a typical procedure to prepare drug loaded NP with a drug to oligomer ratio of 1:25 (w/w), oligomer (4.0 mg, 4.66 × 10−3 mmol) was dissolved in 1 mL THF. Camptothecin, CPT, (160 μg, 0.46 × 10−3 mmol) was dissolved in 320 μL THF. Then these two solutions were well dissolved by sonication for 10 min. The resulting solution was injected rapidly into 20 mL of deionized water while sonicating, and sonication was continued for 30 min. THF was removed under reduced pressure, and the nanoparticle dispersion was concentrated to 10 mL and dialyzed against 100 mL deionized water using a 14 kDa MWCO regenerated cellulose membrane for 24 h to remove any remaining unloaded CPT. Tween 20 (0.2% v/v) was added to dialysate to solubilize the free CPT. UV–vis absorbance spectrum of (λmax = 366 nm) of the dialysates were recorded to determine the concentration of CPT. Samples of known concentration
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of CPT dissolved in water containing Tween 20 (0.2%;w/v) were used to obtain a calibration curve of CPT.

**In Vitro Drug Release Studies.** Concentrated (5 mL) drug encapsulated NP dispersion was mixed with 5 mL of phosphate (pH 7.4) or acetate (pH 5.0) buffer for neutral or acidic medium release, respectively. Phosphate buffer (10 mM, 100 mL, pH = 7.4) or acetate buffer (10 mM, 100 mL, pH = 5) containing 0.2% Tween 20 was used as the release medium. Drug encapsulated NP dispersion mixed with phosphate or acetate buffer transferred into a cellulose tubular membrane with a molecular weight cutoff 14 kDa. These were placed in a beaker containing phosphate or acetate buffer medium, respectively, and shaken at 60 rpm and 37 °C in an incubator. Two milliliters of aliquot was taken from dialysate at different time intervals. At each time, equal amount of fresh PBS or acetate buffer was added to the dialysate beaker to keep the dialysis conditions constant. The release medium was completely replaced by fresh buffer solution at specific time intervals to keep different concentration gradients inside and outside the tubular membrane.

**MTT Cell Viability Assay.** Breast cancer cell lines (MCF7 and MDA-MB-231, ATCC) were cultured in 10% FBS at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. For cell viability assays, 2 × 10⁵ MDA-MB-231; ATCC) were cultured in 10% FBS at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. For cell viability assays, 2 × 10⁵ cells were seeded on 96-well tissue culture plates, and the next day cells were treated with different concentration gradients inside and outside the tubular membrane.

**Statistical Analysis.**


differences in MTT relative cell viability (normalized to DMEM control group) were analyzed for each dose in each cell line separately using one-way ANOVAs followed by multiple comparisons (Tukey's at α = 0.05; Matlab). IC₅₀ values of different formulations in breast cancer cell lines were calculated based on four-parameter polynomial model (Graphpad).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Oligomer, namely, 3,3',3''-(((E,1'E)-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-4,7-diylibis(ethene-2,1-diyl))-bis(9H-fluorene-9,9,2-triyil))-tetrakis(N,N-dimethylpropan-1-amine) was synthesized according to Scheme 1. Briefly, the reaction of 2-bromofluorene with 1,3-dibromopropane, aq. NaOH (50%, w/w), tetra-butyl ammonium bromide (TBAB), 80 °C, 1 h, 64%; (b) tributyl vinyltin, Pd(Cl)(PPh₃)₂, 2,6-di-tet-butyl phenol, toluene, 100 °C, 24 h, 90%; (c) dimethyl amine, THF, 0 °C–RT, 24 h, 91%; (d) aq. K₂CO₃, Pd(OAc)₂, Pd(PPh₃)₄, DMF, 90 °C, 24 h, 80%.

**Scheme 1. Synthetic Route to Oligomer, 3,3',3''-(((E,1'E)-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-4,7-diylibis(ethene-2,1-diyl))-bis(9H-fluorene-9,9,2-triyil))-tetrakis(N,N-dimethylpropan-1-amine)**

**Figure 1.** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 25 °C) spectrum of oligomer.
NaOH afforded 2-bromo-9,9-bis(3-bromopropyl)-9H-fluorene (M1).3,4 The reaction of M1 with tributylvinyltin in toluene in the presence of palladium catalyst gave 9,9-bis(3-bromopropyl)-2-vinyl-9H-fluorene, M2. Then, the compound M2 was reacted with dimethyl amine to yield 3,3′-(2-vinyl-9H-fluorene-9,9-diyl)-bis(N,N-dimethylpropane-1-amine), M3. Oligomer was synthesized by the Heck coupling of M1 with 4,7-dibromo-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole and purified by the precipitation of its THF solution in cold methanol. It was characterized by spectroscopic techniques (FT-IR, 1H NMR, 13C NMR) including elemental analysis and mass spectrometer. Figure 1 shows the 1H NMR spectrum of oligomer which confirms its expected structure. The oligomer exhibits good solubility in chloroform, THF, acetonitrile, and DMP but not in methanol, ethanol, and water.

Synthesis of Nanoparticles and Investigation of Their Stabilities. Oligomer contains a large hydrophobic backbone (divinyl fluorene and benzothiadiazole) and side chains with hydrophilic amine groups owing to the structural features these self-assembled nanoparticles could form in water. In order to investigate the effect of oligomer concentration on the nanoparticle formation and optimize the sizes of the nanoparticles, oligomer solution in THF with varying concentrations of 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 mg/mL were selected to prepare nanoparticles. The hydrodynamic diameter of the prepared nanoparticles (NPs) was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements. For each concentration, the experiments were repeated at least 3 times by keeping the same preparation conditions. It was observed that, by increasing oligomer concentration, the size of NPs increased gradually ranging from 48.97 ± 0.34 nm for 1 mg/mL to 90.75 ± 0.96 nm for 5 mg/mL. The zeta potential values were found to be ranging from +20 ± 1.4 to +57.2 ± 0.6 for concentrations between 1 mg/mL to 5 mg/mL. This positive value of zeta potential was due to the amine functional group in the pendant chain of oligomer indicating the formation of stable nanoparticle dispersion caused by repulsion between the nanoparticles. SEM and TEM images showed a good agreement with the DLS data with a particle size of ~50 nm. Supporting Information Table S1 and Figures S11, S12 show the average zeta size, polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta potential of NPs for different concentration of oligomer solution. For further investigation we selected the oligomer concentration as 4 mg/mL with the NP size of 57.0 ± 0.69 nm with PDI of 0.185 ± 0.031 and zeta potential of 52.5 ± 1.3. Figure 2a,b,c shows the SEM, TEM images and DLS histogram, respectively, of oligomer with concentration of 4 mg/mL THF.

The stability of NPs over time was investigated in water and phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). During this period, the NPs were highly stable and no precipitation occurred. On the other hand, nanoparticles precipitated in acetate buffer (pH 5.0) within a short time period of ~5 h, indicating the protonation of amine groups on the nanoparticles; once the amine groups are protonated, nanoparticles start to swell leading to the disassembly of nanoparticles. The stability of NPs was also investigated in different biological media including milk protein, bovine serum albumin, DMEM, and human serum albumin. For these experiments, 1 mL of NP dispersion was mixed with an equal amount of medium and shaken at 60 rpm at 37 °C for 24 h. As can be seen from Figure 3a, NPs were highly stable in DMEM maintaining their initial size. Although in BSA and milk no precipitate formation was observed, the sizes of nanoparticles

Figure 2. (a) SEM (scale 1 μm), (b) TEM (scale 50 nm) images and dynamic light scattering (DLS) histogram of oligomer nanoparticles.

Figure 3. Stability of oligomer nanoparticles (a) in water and phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and (b) in BSA, Milk, DMEM, and blood serum.
slightly increased (Figure 3b). On the other hand, NPs precipitated in human serum albumin during the incubation possibly due to the nonspecific interaction of amine groups of nanoparticles with blood serum proteins causing the aggregate formation.

In order to circumvent the nonspecific interactions of amine groups with proteins and increase the stability of NPs in the biological media, we developed a simple strategy by taking advantage of the high binding affinity of amine groups toward cucurbiturils26−30 (CBs) (Scheme 2). CB7 was selected in this study due its good water solubility. To prepare CB7-capped NPs, we mixed the aqueous solution of CB7 (by taking into consideration the number of amine groups on the oligomer) with preprepared NPs. The mixture was stirred gently and dialyzed briefly against water to remove uncomplexed CB7. DLS measurement showed that the sizes of CB7-capped nanoparticles have increased only by ∼5 nm to get 62.13 ± 2.11 nm (Supporting Information Figure S16). The SEM data also support the result obtained from DLS experiment (Supporting Information Figure S16-c). However, the zeta potential of CB7 capped oligomer NPs were decreased to +24.4 ± 2.31 mV from its original value (without CB addition) of +52.5 ± 1.3 mV indicating the complexation between CB7 and amine groups (Supporting Information Figure S17).

Furthermore, CB-capped NPs have remained stable in water and in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) solutions over a period of 35 days, but precipitated in the acetate buffer as observed with the nanoparticles without CB7s. We have also found that CB-capped NPs were highly stable without any precipitation in blood serum proteins over 24 h incubation period; this clearly indicates that CB7s have been preventing the interaction of nanoparticles with proteins by capping amine groups.

To gain insight into the location of CB7 on the NPs, we have conducted 1H NMR experiments. For these experiments, samples were prepared by freeze−drying the aqueous dispersions of NPs with CB7 and without CB7. In both cases, the freeze−dried NPs redispersed well in water and D2O. Only a slight increase was observed in their sizes according to DLS measurements. Although the peaks in the 1H NMR spectra of NPs are quite broad due to self-assembly of oligomer chains, we can still differentiate the differences in the spectra of CB-capped NPs and NPs without CBs (Supporting Information Figure S15). In the 1H NMR spectrum of CB-capped NPs, the peaks for the protons of pendant groups of oligomer are downfield shifted compared to the peaks in the spectrum of NPs in the absent of CB7. Especially, the downfield-shifting is more significant (approx. 0.2 ppm) in the case of dimethylamine protons, indicating that pendant groups are not encapsulated by CB7 but CB7 is in close proximity to the pendant group and there is an interaction between the nitrogen of the dimethyl amine and the carbonyl groups of CB7; because of this interaction the protons of dimethylamine groups were deshielded and the signal due to these protons shifted downfield.

Optical Properties of Oligomer and Its Nanoparticles. The optical properties of oligomer in THF, chloroform, and the nanoparticle dispersion in water were studied by UV−vis and fluorescence spectroscopy (Figure 4) and tabulated in Table 1. UV−vis absorption wavelengths of oligomer in chloroform, THF, and DMF were not significantly different (λmax at 473, 466, and 473 nm, respectively), while for the nanoparticle dispersion in water, UV−vis absorption wavelength (λmax) appeared at 455 nm exhibiting an 11−18 nm blue-shift compared to the aforementioned solutions of oligomer. Fluorescence spectra showed that the emission of oligomer in chloroform, THF, and DMF and NPs in water were 595, 605, 602, and 615 nm, respectively. Nanoparticles in water exhibited a red shift compared to its solution in organic solvents.

The changes in the optical properties can be explained by both inter- and intrachain interaction, as well as the solvent polarity.12,20 Fluorescent quantum yields of oligomer in chloroform, THF, DMF, and nanoparticles of oligomer in water were calculated as 51.3%, 46.8%, 36.1%, and 15.6%, respectively.

Drug Loading Study of Oligomer Nanoparticles. To investigate the drug loading and drug release properties of nanoparticles, camptothecin (CPT) was used as the model
anticancer drug. The amount of loaded drug was calculated by measuring the drug concentrations before and after being loaded by nanoparticles. The absorption of dialysate was recorded for \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) of CPT at 366 nm and the concentration of loaded CPT was computed using a standard calibration plot that was prepared under identical conditions for known concentrations of CPT.

The entrapment efficiency (EE) and drug loading efficiency (DLE) were calculated according to the following eqs 1 and 2.

\[
\text{EE\%} = \frac{\text{Amount of loaded drug}}{\text{total amount of drug}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{DLE\%} = \frac{\text{Amount of loaded drug}}{(\text{amount of loaded drug} + \text{amount of oligomer})} \times 100
\]

Four sets of drug loaded NPs were prepared with drug to oligomer ratios of 1:25 (w/w), 17.2:1 (w/w), 12.5:1 (w/w), and 6.25:1 (w/w) to investigate the entrapment and drug loading efficiency of nanoparticles. After encapsulation of drug, the nanoparticles retained their spherical shape with a small increment of \( \sim 5-10 \) nm in the average hydrodynamic size. The drug loading content (EE) increased and reached a maximum value 95.8 \( \pm \) 0.7% with an increase of feed amount ratio of CPT to oligomer at 17.2:1 (Figure 5). The entrapment ratio was dropped to 40.0 \( \pm \) 1.1 by further increase of feed amount of CPT to oligomer by 6.25:1. Figure 6 showed that the entrapment efficiency and drug loading efficiency of nanoparticles varied with different drug to oligomer ratios.

In Vitro Drug Release Study of Oligomer Nanoparticles.

The in vitro drug release studies of oligomer nanoparticles were carried out in two pH media, viz., in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and in acetate buffer (pH 5.0) as described in the Experimental Section. For drug release studies the drug to oligomer ratio was

![Figure 4. UV–vis absorption and emission spectra of oligomer in THF, chloroform, and oligomer NPs in water.](image)

![Figure 5. (a) SEM (scale 1 \( \mu \)m), (b) TEM (scale 100 nm), and (c) DLS histogram of CPT loaded oligomer nanoparticles.](image)

![Figure 6. Entrapment efficiency and drug loading efficiency of nanoparticles with different drug to oligomer ratios.](image)
kept at 17.2:1 as optimized in drug loading studies. Release of the CPT from the nanoparticles in acetate buffer was relatively faster than that in the phosphate buffer (Figure 7). About 97% of the CPT was released from the nanoparticle at pH 5.0 in the first 12 h, but only 46% of CPT was released in a neutral environment in the same time period. CPT release from the nanoparticles in phosphate buffer was completed (~98%) only in 84 h. The faster release of CPT from the nanoparticles in an acidic environment can be explained by the disassembly of nanoparticles by the positive charge formation at amino group (to ammonium ion) in the presence of an acidic environment as shown in Scheme 2.

Further, drug release studies of CB7-capped NPs were conducted using the same drug to oligomer ratio. Scheme 2 illustrates the preparation of CB-capped drug loaded nanoparticles and the pH-triggered drug release mechanism of these nanoparticles. Release of CPT at pH 5.0 from CB7-capped NPs was found to be almost similar to that in the absence of CB. However, in the case of phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), the release of drug was much slower; in the first 12 h, only 7.5% and around 60 h 50% of the CPT were released. The CPT release from the CB capped nanoparticles was completed (~98%) by 144 h, at a rate slower than that obtained from CB uncapped nanoparticles.

The results clearly show that the presence of CBs provides a sustained drug release in the physiological pH but enables fast release at low pH, which can be found in the tumor microenvironments.32,33

In Vitro Cell Assays. MTT assays have been frequently used to test the cytotoxic activity of nanoparticles in breast cancer cell lines.34,35 In our study, MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells treated with NP exhibited significant reductions in relative cell viability dose-dependently (Figure 8). MDA-MD-231 cells were more resistant to NP treatment at lower concentrations 0.125–0.5 μM (0.332–0.934; log10 μM) but exhibited similar reductions in cell viability as obtained for MCF7 cells. At the given concentrations a subpopulation of cells remained alive in both cell types (Figure 8). IC50 values of NP, calculated based on four-parameter polynomial model, were 44.7 μM and 24.8 μM for MCF7 and MDA-MB-231, respectively. Viabilities in CB alone and NP+CB treatments were similar and comparable to DEME control group. These results demonstrated that NP driven toxicity could be reversed in NP+CB complex suggesting that toxicity of NP was reduced in the presence of CB at the given 1:4 (NP:CB) ratio.

Increased efficiency in drug delivery is another functionality nanoparticles can offer. Drug delivery capacity of NP and NP + CB treatments was measured in MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines in response to CPT in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 9). Both cell lines exhibited reduced cell viability when given together with NP or NP+CPT in comparison to CPT treatment alone. DMEM treatment had no significant effect on cell viability when normalized to DMEM untreated controls. IC50 values for treatments, calculated using a four-parameter polynomial fit on logarithmically transformed CPT concentrations, showed that CPT+NP and CPT+NP+CB complexes resulted in significant reductions in cell viability when compared with that obtained by treatment with CPT alone (Figure 10). One-way ANOVA of the relative cell viability performed for each cell line at each dose followed by multiple test comparisons revealed significant pairwise differences between CPT+NP/CPT +NP+CB and CPT alone (Figure 9).

MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines have been effective models to estimate toxicity as well as drug delivery capacity of nanoparticles. For example, emodin (EMO) loaded solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) exhibited higher cytotoxicity with respect to EMO solution using MTT tests in MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells.35 In our study, MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells had IC50 values for camptothecin (CPT) at 0.541 and 0.615 μM, respectively. In both cell lines, complex formation of NP with CB (NP+CB) effectively reduced IC50 values by 35% and 23%.

Figure 7. CPT release profile of oligomer nanoparticle in phosphate (pH 7.4) and acetate buffer (pH 5.0).

Figure 8. Relative cell viability (%) measurements obtained from MTT analyses at the given concentrations (log10, μM) of NP, CB, and NP+CB treatments upon normalization with DMEM control group in MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines (Supporting Information Table S2). Experimental groups with significant multiple pairwise test differences were indicated in comparison with NP group at p < 0.05.
respectively, for MCF7 and MDA-MB-231. The dose dependent cytotoxic effect of NP/NP+CB encapsulating CPT was relatively greater for MCF7 than for MDA-MB-231 (Figure 9).

Dual-fluorescent staining in microscopy plays an important role for obtaining insight into the location of nanoparticles taken up in the cell. We used phalloidin cytoskeletal staining together with nuclear DAPI staining in MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells to overlay with the images obtained for the NP and NP+CB (Figure 10).

Merged images indicated that nanoparticles were relatively enriched near the nuclear membrane and mostly located in the cytoplasm as diffuse or relatively aggregated specks (as in the case of MCF7 and MDA-MB-231, respectively; Figure 10) raising the possibility of nanoparticles being enriched in intracellular compartments including endosomes and/or lysosomes. Similar studies performed with other nanoparticle types indeed showed that nanoparticles might be trapped in cytosolic vesicles upon uptake.36 For example, distribution of quantum dots differed from phase to phase in a time dependent manner, and phase 3 was characterized by perinuclear vesicular enrichment of these dots.36,37 Xiao et al. have suggested that the route taken by quantum dots into the cell could be through endocytosis followed with sequestration into early endosomes and then targeting to late endosomes or lysosomes.38 pH values of early endosomes (5.9–6.0) and lysosomes (4.0–5.5) vary, and thus translocation of nanoparticles from one compartment to another might have an effect on visibility of nanoparticles that exhibit sensitivity to pH.38,39 The NP in the present study exhibited pH sensitivity, and at low pH values NP had lower emission intensity as we also studied the pH sensitivity of NPs by fluorescence spectroscopy (see SI for discussion and Figure S13). Therefore, intracellular pH sensitivity and differential targeting of naive and drug-loaded NP and NP+CB should be tested over time in future studies by simultaneously tracing the particles for colocalization with early endosomal and late endosomal/lysosomal markers.

Our findings also demonstrated that naive as well as camptothecin-loaded NP and NP+CB were taken up by MCF7 cells as early as 24 h of exposure (SI, Figure S18). Naive NP upon complexation with CB produced weaker signals in the cell at 72 h (Figure 10) but not at 24 h (SI Figure S18). Florescent signals from drug-loaded NP and NP+CB were detected densely in the cytoplasm potentially due to reduced cytoplasmic volume, and at times overlapped with the signal obtained from nuclear stain DAPI at both 24 and 72 h time points (SI Figure S18). Expectedly, drug loaded NP and NP+CB resulted in cytotoxicity as evidenced by reduced cell number and nuclear size when compared with DMEM control groups at 24 and 72 h treatments (SI Figure S18). These results suggest that intracellular targeting, metabolism, pH sensitivity, and intensity of NP and NP+CB might be affected by the batch of NP/NP+CB, absence/presence of drugs in NP, as well as cell type and dose/time of the exposure. Hence, future studies should focus on quantitative analysis of differences in the uptake and intensity of fluorescence signals emitted by NP and NP+CB in a time and drug-dose dependent manner.
manner using differential organelle markers and high resolution microscopy.

**CONCLUSION**

In this paper we have demonstrated the use of red emitting conjugated oligomer in the nanoparticle synthesis. These conjugated oligomer-based self-luminescent, pH-responsive nanoparticles could be used for controlled-drug delivery and in vivo imaging owing to its red to far-red emission with high quantum yield and brightness, which are highly sought after for optical imaging.

MTT cell viability studies performed with breast cancer cell lines for MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 clearly showed that the presence of CBs reduced the cytotoxicity. The toxicity effect could be attributable to the presence of amine groups on the side chains of oligomer. In order to decrease the cytotoxicity and increase further the stability of nanoparticles, amine groups were disguised by capping with CB7 as the partially protonated amines could complex with the carbonyl groups of CBs. Moreover, through CB-capping of nanoparticles, the drug-release rate could be regulated.
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