Enhanced coupling to microsphere resonances with optical fibers
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Morphology-dependent resonances (MDR's) of polystyrene microspheres were excited by an optical fiber coupler. For optical elimination of the air–cladding interface at the optical fiber coupler surface, the microsphere was immersed in an index-matching oil. MDR's were observed, even though the relative refractive index between the microsphere and the oil was only 1.09. The observed MDR spectra are in good agreement with the generalized Lorenz–Mie theory and the localization principle. The scattering efficiency into each MDR is estimated as a function of the impact parameter by means of generalized Lorenz–Mie theory. © 1997 Optical Society of America [S0740-3224(97)01804-3]
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1. INTRODUCTION

Because of their unique optical properties, microspheres have enjoyed the attention of the optical spectroscopy community in recent years.1 Because of the spherical interface, three electromagnetic and quantum-electrodynamic effects take place in a microsphere. First, the microsphere acts as an optical cavity for specific wavelengths λ's, which satisfy the morphology-dependent resonance (MDR) condition. MDR’s can be considered as standing waves, which may be decomposed into two counterpropagating waves traveling around the microsphere rim. Second, the transition cross sections in the microsphere can be larger than bulk transition cross sections, because of the modified density of final electromagnetic states.2 In the microsphere, the final states correspond to the microsphere cavity resonances, which are described by MDR's. For a bulk sample, however, the final states are the continuum modes of an infinite system.3,4 Third, for plane-wave illumination, the internal intensity is concentrated along the principal diameter near the front and the back surfaces of the microsphere. If the incident plane wave is resonant with a MDR (i.e., on resonance), there will be, in addition, a uniform intensity distribution within the rim of the microsphere in the volume determined by the MDR.5 However, if an off-axis Gaussian beam is used at a resonant wavelength, the internal intensity is distributed only within the rim of the microsphere in the volume determined by the MDR and is no longer concentrated near the front and the back surfaces of the microsphere.6 Therefore a resonant off-axis Gaussian beam excites the MDR's of a microsphere more uniformly and more efficiently than does a plane wave. Even edge illumination with a focused beam excites MDR's more efficiently than do plane waves.7,8 The off-axis Gaussian beam calculations have recently been realized by means of generalized Lorenz–Mie theory (GLMT)9,10 In this paper we report, for the first time to our knowledge, exact formulas for the GLMT scattering cross sections to estimate the efficiency of the coupling (i.e., scattering) into the MDR's.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND OBSERVATIONS

The first experimental realization of the off-axis Gaussian beam excitation geometry was performed by use of an optical fiber coupler (OFC).11 The frequency shift and the linewidth broadening of the MDR's, which are both due to the OFC–microsphere interaction, were also studied.12 However, in these OFC–microsphere experiments, the cladding of the fiber was not index matched to the media surrounding the microsphere, so there was an optical interface at the OFC surface. In this paper we report, for the first time to our knowledge, the excitation of the MDR's of a microsphere resting on the surface of an OFC whose surface has been wet with an index-matching oil to eliminate the air–fiber interface. The beam in the optical fiber thereby effectively becomes the equivalent of a Gaussian beam with an infinitely long skirt length.
Figure 1 is a schematic of our experimental setup. A polystyrene (PS) microsphere with an approximate radius of 12 \( \mu m \) and a refractive index of 1.59 is placed on an OFC. The OFC is made from a single-mode optical fiber (SMOF) with a core diameter of 3.8 \( \mu m \) (refractive index, 1.462) and a cladding diameter of 125 \( \mu m \) (refractive index, 1.457). The cladding below the microsphere is shaved down to 0.7 \( \mu m \). The SMOF mode has approximately a Gaussian intensity profile and is doubly degenerate with both horizontal and vertical polarizations. The OFC surface and the microsphere were wet with a few millimeters of index-matching oil (refractive index of 1.456, same as the cladding).

The excitation is provided by a tunable and linearly polarized cw dye laser with optogalvanic calibration and a linewidth of 0.025 nm. The output of the dye laser is coupled to the SMOF with a microscope objective. Although the output of the dye laser was linearly polarized, the output of the SMOF was observed to be elliptically polarized because of the fiber birefringence. Therefore the OFC provides both linear polarizations to the microsphere. The scattering from the microsphere was collected at 90° with a microscope objective (numerical aperture, 0.17) followed by a polarizer and was detected with a photomultiplier tube.

If one used plane-wave illumination, the image of the microsphere (either on resonance or off resonance) would show three principal glare spots [Fig. 2(a)]\(^{13} \). However, in our case of coupling an external beam from the SMOF,
we observe only one glare spot on the far side of the microsphere [Fig. 2(b)]. In contrast to the non-index-matched case, this far-side glare spot is observed for all the laser wavelengths, even when the incident wavelength is not on a MDR (i.e., off resonance). However, when the incident light is on resonance, the far-side glare spot intensity is enhanced by a factor of $\approx 2$. Apparently, the standing-wave pattern that is set up by a plane-wave excitation of a MDR, with its counterpropagating traveling waves [Fig. 2(a)], is replaced with a single counterclockwise traveling wave in the Gaussian beam excitation [Fig. 2(b)]. Also, in the Gaussian beam excitation [Fig. 2(b)], the off-resonance glare spots are due only to refraction (i.e., $p = 1$ rays), whereas for a plane-wave illumination the off-resonance glare spots are due to both refraction (i.e., $p = 1, 3$ rays) and specular reflection (i.e., $p = 0$ rays).

Figure 3 shows the scattering spectra at a scattering angle of $90 \pm 5^\circ$ from the microsphere; these were obtained through a polarizer with its polarization axis at $90^\circ$ (a) and $0^\circ$ (b) to the SMOF. The MDR’s that are present in Fig. 3(a) are missing when compared with Fig. 3(b), a result that attests to the polarization dependence of the MDR’s. From the polarizer orientation, we can deduce that the MDR’s that are present in Fig. 3(a) are the transverse-electric (TE) type and that the MDR’s that are present in Fig. 3(b) are the transverse-magnetic (TM) type. Both spectra have been normalized by the laser intensity spectrum, which decreases continually with increasing wavelength. To rule out the ellipticity of the microparticle, we also observed the plane-wave scattering spectra (not shown) at a scattering angle of $45^\circ$ from the microparticle and confirmed that the microparticle was indeed spherical, since the MDR’s corresponding to different great-circle trajectories occurred at the same wavelength.$^{14}$

Comparing the spectra shown in Fig. 3 with the scattering spectra obtained with a plane wave, we noticed several features: (1) There is a large background intensity, which cannot be explained by the light scattering that results from OFC surface imperfections; and (2) The MDR’s have nearly Lorentzian line shapes as opposed to the Fano line shapes$^{15}$ of the plane-wave scattering spectra.

3. THEORY OF OFF-AXIS MORPHOLOGY-DEPENDENT RESONANCE EXCITATION

Most of the prominent features of these scattering spectra are described by the interaction of the microsphere with an external beam having a Gaussian intensity profile and propagating at an impact parameter $b$, which is slightly greater than the microsphere radius $a$. Since the excitation by such a beam occurs beyond the edge of the microsphere, the light scattering can be calculated by (i) removal of the partial waves with angular-momentum quantum numbers $n$ less than the size parameter ($x = 2 \pi a / \lambda$) from the conventional Lorenz–Mie (plane-wave excitation) infinite series, and (ii) application of GLMT to parameterize the incident-beam profile. This removal of partial waves is justified by the localization principle,$^{16}$ which associates a light ray having an impact parameter $b$ with a partial wave with mode number $n$. This relationship can be expressed as follows:

$$b = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{a}{x}. \quad (1)$$

Plane-wave Lorenz–Mie theory restricts the angular-momentum quantum number $n$ range of the light rays, passing by the microsphere surface but yet interacting with it, to be $N_x \gg n \gg x$, where $N$ is the relative refractive index of the microsphere with respect to the outside medium. This condition, together with the localization principle, restricts the impact parameter $b$ range to be $Na \gg b \gg a$. Therefore only the light rays within the impact parameter range of $Na \gg b \gg a$ can couple to the MDR’s of the microsphere.

To check the validity of this approach and to simulate our experimental results, we used the GLMT computation algorithm of Ref. 17 (Figs. 4 and 5), which can be applied to on- and off-axis focused Gaussian beam excitation geometries. In GLMT, the plane-wave partial-wave expansion coefficients ($a_n$ for TM or $b_n$ for TE resonances) are replaced by the partial-wave expansion coefficients ($a_{nm}$ for TM coefficients or $b_{nm}$ for TE coefficients). For example, for TE contributions, $b_{nm} = b_n B_{nm}$, where

$$B_{nm} = \frac{a^2}{n(n + 1)\Phi_n(x)} \int_0^{\frac{4\pi}{x}} d\Omega H_n (\Omega) Y_{nm}^\ast (\Omega), \quad (2)$$

with $\Phi_n(x)$ being a Ricatti–Bessel function; $\Omega$, the solid angle; $H_n (\Omega)$, the radial component of the incident magnetic field evaluated on the microsphere surface ($r = a$); and $Y_{nm}^\ast (\Omega)$, a spherical harmonic function. In other words, $B_{nm}$ describe the angular overlap of the excitation field and the spherical harmonics.

For our external off-axis Gaussian beam excitation geometry and as seen in Ref. 17, $B_{nm}$ become significant as
n exceeds x. As n is increased further, \( B_n^m \) goes through a series of resonances (i.e., MDR’s), with none being seen beyond \( n = N \). The background in the spectra of Figs. 4(b) and 5(b) is due to the refraction for nonresonant partial waves with \( n < x \). For a plane-wave excitation, \( B_n^m \) would have been significant for all \( n \).

For the calculations [Figs. 4(b) and 5(b)], we used a Gaussian beam (with an infinite skirt length and a beam waist with a half-width \( \omega_0 = 2.176 \mu m \) propagating at an impact parameter of 14.94 \( \mu m \) from a microsphere (with \( a = 12.34 \mu m \) and a relative refractive index of 1.09). The calculation of the scattered intensity is averaged over the scattering angle from 90° ± 5°. The results of this calculation [Figs. 4(b) and 5(b)] are compelling. The theoretical MDR’s for an off-axis incidence bear a good relationship to the experimental data [Figs. 4(a) and 5(a)] and appear to correspond to first-order MDR’s with theoretical quality factors \( Q’s \) of approximately 2000. The mode numbers \( n \) for the MDR’s are within the range of \( n = 194–198 \).

By using the localization principle, we can estimate where the beam should have been placed for maximal excitation of these MDR’s with \( n = 194–198 \). We obtain \( b = n/k = 12.9 \mu m \) by using Eq. (1), which is smaller than our experimental value of \( b = 14.94 \mu m \). Therefore we are not coupling to these MDR’s with \( b = 14.94–198 \) with maximal efficiency.

The coupling (scattering) efficiency can be estimated by calculation of the power coupled (scattered) into the partial-wave modes. The power coupled (scattered) into a mode can be calculated by use of the scattering cross section \( \sigma \). The power coupled into a mode can be expressed as the ratio of the partial-wave scattering cross section \( \sigma_{\text{mode}} \) to the total cross section \( \sigma_{\text{total}} \) multiplied by the incident beam power \( P_i \) or, equivalently, \( \sigma_{\text{mode}} \) multiplied by the average incident beam intensity \( \langle I_i \rangle \). The power leaking (coupling out) from a mode is proportional to the energy \( \epsilon \) stored in the mode divided by the time constant \( \tau \) of that mode. Hence the total power going into a mode can be expressed as

\[
\frac{\text{d} \epsilon}{\text{d} t} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{mode}}}{\sigma_{\text{total}}} P_i - \frac{\epsilon}{\tau} = \frac{\langle \sigma_{\text{mode}} \rangle P_i}{\tau} - \frac{\epsilon}{\tau} = \sigma_{\text{mode}} \langle I_i \rangle - \frac{\epsilon}{\tau},
\]

where we have defined \( \langle \sigma_{\text{mode}} \rangle \) as the scattering (or power coupling) efficiency into a mode. Then the steady-state energy \( \epsilon_0 \) in the mode can be expressed as

\[
\epsilon_0 = \frac{\sigma_{\text{mode}}}{\sigma_{\text{total}}} P_i \tau = \langle \sigma_{\text{mode}} \rangle P_i \tau = \sigma_{\text{mode}} \langle I_i \rangle \tau.
\]

For a plane wave, the total cross section \( \sigma_{\text{total}} \) is \( 2 \pi a^2 \). A Gaussian beam with the same intensity as the plane wave, however, has a total cross section of \( \pi a_0^2/2 \). Therefore an off-axis Gaussian beam will couple more efficiently into a mode if it happens to be propagating at the impact parameter \( b \) corresponding to that mode. One can estimate the scattering efficiency for a Gaussian beam \( (\sigma_{\text{mode}}/\langle \text{Gaussian} \rangle) \) by following this geometrical point of view. Figure 6 is a sketch of the transverse view of the Gaussian beam with area \( \pi a_0^2 \) and the cross-sectional mode area \( (2 \pi b d b) \). The mode thickness \( db \) can be estimated from the localization principle \( (b_x = n/k) \) to be \( db = 1/k \). Only the part of the Gaussian beam that overlaps with the ribbon contributes to the coupling to a specific mode. This area is \( \sim \sqrt{2} \omega_0 db = \sqrt{2} \omega_0^2/k = \omega_0 A \sqrt{2}/\pi \). We then obtain \( (\sigma_{\text{mode}}/\langle \text{Gaussian} \rangle) \sim \sqrt{2} A/\pi^2 \omega_0 = 0.03 = 3\% \) for our experimental parameters and assuming that our beam was propagating at the appropriate impact parameter. For a plane-wave excitation, however, \( (\sigma_{\text{mode}}/\text{plane wave}) \sim \lambda/2 \pi a_1 = 1/x = 0.005 = 0.5\% \) for our experimental parameters.

For a better estimate of the power scattered (coupled) into a mode, we can use Lorenz–Mie theory. For a plane-wave illumination, the scattering cross section for a TM-polarized partial wave \( \sigma_{n\alpha} \) is\(^{18} \)
the total scattering cross section

where $\sigma_{an}$ is the scattered-electric-field coefficient and $n$ is the angular-momentum quantum (mode) number. Similarly, the scattering cross section for a TE-polarized partial wave $\sigma_{bn}$ is

$$ \sigma_{bn} = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} |b_n|^2 (2n + 1), \quad (5b) $$

where $b_n$ is the scattered-electric-field coefficient. Hence the total scattering cross section $\sigma_{total}$ is

$$ \sigma_{total} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{an} + \sigma_{bn} $$

$$ = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2) $$

$$ \times (2n + 1) = 2\pi a^2. \quad (6) $$

For the more general case of an off-axis Gaussian beam illumination, we calculated the scattering cross section for a TM-polarized partial wave $\sigma_{an}$ to be

$$ \sigma_{an} = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} |a_n|^2 \left( \frac{2n + 1}{2n(n + 1)} \right) \sum_{m=-n}^{n} |A_n|^2 \frac{(n + |m|)!}{(n - |m|)!}, \quad (7a) $$

where $A_n^m$ is the generalized Lorenz–Mie coefficient of the scattered electric field and $m$ is the azimuthal quantum number. Similarly, we have calculated the scattering cross section for a TE-polarized partial wave $\sigma_{bn}$ to be

$$ \sigma_{bn} = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} |b_n|^2 \left( \frac{2n + 1}{2n(n + 1)} \right) \sum_{m=-n}^{n} |B_n|^2 \frac{(n + |m|)!}{(n - |m|)!}, \quad (7b) $$

where $B_n^m$ is the generalized Lorenz–Mie coefficient of the scattered electric field.

For the more symmetric on-axis Gaussian beam (i.e., with $m = \pm 1$), the expressions for the TM-polarized partial-wave scattering cross section $\sigma_{an}$ reduce to

$$ \sigma_{an} = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} |a_n|^2 (2n + 1)|A_n^{-1}|, \quad (8a) $$

and the expressions for the TE-polarized partial-wave scattering cross section $\sigma_{bn}$ reduce to

$$ \sigma_{bn} = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} |b_n|^2 (2n + 1)|B_n^{-1}|. \quad (8b) $$

All these scattering cross sections (Eqs. 7 and 8) reduce to the plane-wave scattering cross sections [Eqs. (5)], for a plane-wave excitation (with $|A_n^{-1}| = |B_n^{-1}| = 1$).

Using Eqs. (7) for an off-axis Gaussian beam illuminating our microsphere, we calculated (Fig. 7) the scattering efficiency $((\sigma_{bn}) = 2\sigma_{bn}/\pi\omega_0^2)$ for the TE modes as a function of the impact parameter $b$ and the angular-momentum quantum (mode) number $n$. Different mode numbers are excited at different impact parameters. For our modes with $n = 194–198$, in accordance with the localization principle, the maximum of the scattering efficiency occurs at an impact parameter of 12.9 $\mu$m. At our impact parameter of 14.94 $\mu$m, the scattering efficiency is less than 1%. To couple most efficiently (i.e., 1% is a factor of 3 smaller than our geometric estimate of 3%) to our modes with $n = 194–198$, we would have had to excite the microsphere at an impact parameter of 12.9 $\mu$m.

However, efficient coupling is not sufficient for maximum energy storage in the microsphere. As can be seen from Eq. 4, the total energy that can be stored in the mode is proportional to the lifetime $\tau$ of that mode, which can further be enhanced with the use of a higher refractive-index contrast between the PS microsphere and its surrounding medium, e.g., $N = 1.09$ (index-matching liquid) or 1.18 (water). Although the water results are more difficult to simulate because of the refractive-index step at the cladding–water interface and because the excitation electric field is evanescent rather than Gaussian, it is possible to have modes with higher measured $Q$’s of $\approx 24,000$. Figure 8 shows the experimental elastic scattering intensity of the TE-polarized spectrum for a PS microsphere in water ($N = 1.18$). These TE resonances are suppressed when the polarizer axis is parallel to the SMOF (not shown). A noteworthy
feature of the spectra shown in Fig. 8 is that MDR’s with linewidths as narrow as 0.04 nm were observed. Since our dye laser has a linewidth of 0.025 nm, the measured MDR linewidths are clearly limited by the convolution of our dye laser linewidth.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the microsphere–optical fiber system with the index-matching geometry has proved to be very useful in the verification of the generalized Lorenz–Mie theory and the localization principle. The microsphere–optical fiber system also shows promise as a possible building block for photonic memories and can be used as an external-cavity feedback system to line narrow a broader light source such as a diode laser.
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